DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 041 341 CG 005 686

AUTHOR	Neog, Prafulla; And Others
TITLE	Chicago Indians: The Effects of Urban Migration. The National Study of American Indian Education.
INSTITUTION	Minnesota Univ., Minneapolis. Training Center for Community Programs.
SPONS AGENCY	Office of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C.
PUB DATE	Jan 70
NOTE	148p.
EDRS PRICE	EDRS Price MF-$0.75 HC-$7.50
DESCRIPTORS	Academic Achievement, American Indian Culture, *American Indians, *Counseling, *Cultural Factors, Cultural Pluralism, Emergency Programs, Family Background, *Individual Characteristics, Urban Culture, *Urban Environment

ABSTRACT

This study reviews the characteristics and service activities of all clients of the St. Augustine's Center for American Indians in Chicago in 1968 and compares them with the clients of 1967. This center focused its attention upon intensive counseling, emergency assistance, and referrals of Indian American in Chicago, or other urban settings. Data is included on: (1) 1968 client characteristics and service activities; (2) mobility; (3) family; (4) services; (5) the typical male client; (6) the typical female client; (7) differences in orientation; (8) differences associated with educational achievement; (9) non high school graduates; (10) high school graduates; (11) characteristics of 1967 and 1968 clients compared; and (12) 1969 service highlights and nature of the current operation. (KJ)

ED041341

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION
& WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

TRAINING CENTER for Community Programs

in coordination with the Office of Community Programs,
Center for Urban and Regional Affairs

CHICAGO INDIANS:

THE EFFECTS OF URBAN MIGRATION

University of Minnesota

CG 005 686

ED041341

CHICAGO INDIANS:

THE EFFECTS OF URBAN MIGRATION

CHICAGO INDIANS: THE EFFECTS OF URBAN MIGRATION

by

Prafulla Neog

Richard G. Woods

Arthur M. Harkins

Training Center for
Community Programs
in coordination with the
Office of Community Programs
Center for Urban and Regional Affairs

University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, Minnesota

January, 1970

THE NATIONAL STUDY OF AMERICAN INDIAN EDUCATION

CHICAGO INDIANS: THE EFFECTS OF URBAN MIGRATION

USOE	Date
OEC-0-8-080147-2805	January, 1970

This is a section of the Final Report of the National Study of American Indian Education, which has been funded by the United States Office of Education.

The work reported here is part of a large University of Minnesota project, which has been financed from several sources.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction..... 1

Map: Concentration of Indian Residents within Chicago
Map: Uptown Residential Pattern of Indians Served by St. Augustine's Center

1968 Client Characteristics and Service Activity..... 6

Mobility.....10

Family.....12

Services.....13

Chart: Service Components of the St. Augustine's Center Casework Program

The "Typical" Male Client.....17

The "Typical" Female Client.....20

A Difference in Orientation.....23

Differences Associated with Educational Achievement.....23

Non High School Graduates.....24

High School Graduates.....27

Non High School Graduates Compared with High School Graduates.....30

Characteristics of 1967 and 1968 Clients Compared.....34

1969 Service Highlights and Nature of the Current Operation.....37

Summary and Conclusions.....42

REFERENCES

APPENDIX

1968 MALES AND FEMALES COMBINED
1968 MALES ONLY
1968 FEMALES ONLY
1968 EDUCATION UNKNOWN
1968 NON HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES
1968 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES
1967-1968 COMPARISON: MALES AND FEMALES COMBINED
1967-1968 COMPARISON: MALES ONLY
1967-1968 COMPARISON: FEMALES ONLY
1967-1968 COMPARISON: EDUCATION UNKNOWN
1967-1968 COMPARISON: NON HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES
1967-1968 COMPARISON: HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES

CHICAGO INDIANS: THE EFFECTS OF URBAN MIGRATION

Introduction

More than a year ago, Indian Americans in Chicago reported the nature and 1967 client experience of the St. Augustine's Center for American Indians in Chicago.[1] That Center, a social agency of the Episcopal Church, operates in Chicago's Uptown area providing practical social services to recent Indian migrants to Chicago. Realizing that re-establishing kinship and tribal affiliations at the same time as adapting to the economic and social requirements of an urban living situation creates much difficulty for migrant Indians in Chicago, the Center focused its attention upon intensive counseling, emergency assistance, and referrals. Counseling experiences revealed many individual and family problems having to do with marital, alcoholism, and delinquency problems. Much of the underlying personal and social disturbance seemed to be related to "feelings of isolation and estrangement from family and friends and from a familiar way of life no longer possible to pursue in an urban environment where meaningful relationships have broken down and where work is both unsatisfactory and sporadic."[2] In addition, it was noted that Indians' awareness of their systematic exploitation, both historic and contemporary, by non-Indians led to an inner rage which often became expressed as exploitation by Indians of others leading to feelings of guilt, shame and general hopelessness over a deteriorating situation. Center staff members reported that, "Underneath one can usually find strong indications of pride and a wish not to get emotionally involved with the helping person. It takes many months to build up trust and confidence and often one mistake to undo it....."[3] The demands upon the Center for emergency survival assistance in the form of cash, food, and clothing were strong confirmation of the desperate economic position of many Chicago Indians, and referrals to other social service agencies in Chicago for medical, legal, employment and other services were additional evidence of the magnitude of the adjustment problems for Center clients.

As a subsidiary function, the Center facilitated social, recreational, fund-raising and arts and crafts activities for Indian people, and it was active in scholarship assistance, maintaining contact with reservation officials, publishing The Cross and the Calumet, working with others concerned with Indian affairs, and providing various pastoral ministrations.

Center representatives noted the priority which most Indian people attached to family and interpersonal relationships, including the extended family, with the result often being enlarged family responsibilities. They characterized most Indians as non-competitive in relation to non-Indians, but intensively competitive with each other. The strong concern for family, inter-personal, tribal, and Indian affairs was not matched with attention to such matters as effective utilization of time and accumulation of wealth.[4]

Lack of concern on the part of many Indians about finding an effective link with the urban economic system was seen as a major impediment to making the adjustment from reservation to urban life and work.[5] Center personnel observed that Indian people wanted meaningful and satisfying occupations, yet there was evidence that, in terms of tenure on the job and the type of work most commonly secured, this desire was seldom realized.[6] Staff members reported that most of their Indian clients did not desire welfare assistance, even when they were entitled to it.

The need for emergency cash assistance demonstrated by the Center's clients during 1967 appeared to be one aspect of the rural-urban migration of a poor people, and it was viewed by Center staff members as a major pressure upon Indians to accept the first available work, regardless of its nature and its compensation. Often, the result was subsequent disenchantment with the type of work and/or pay, and a search for more rewarding employment. Even more casusl attachment to the labor market was exhibited by a "floating population of single men who were inclined to work at jobs providing daily pay.[8] Tenure on the job did not appear to be a function of educational achievement for Center clients.[9] Drinking problems viewed by Center staff members as a powerful indicator of social distress, rather consistently appeared as a personal handicap for between 30% and 40% of the Center's clients, and there was some indication that drinking was related to post high school training or education, marriage to a non-Indian, advanced age of the head of household, single-person status, separated and widowed marital status, and certain occupations or employment status.[10]

Before comparing the characteristics of 1967 St. Augustine's Center clients with those of the 1968 clients, this study will review the characteristics and service activities of the total group of 1968 clients as well

the profiles for typical male, female, non high school graduates and high school graduates and the differences associated with educational attainment.



Map Specifications

COMMUNITY AREAS OF CHICAGO, 1960

LEGEND

NO.	NAME	NO.	NAME
1	ROGERS PARK	39	KENWOOD
2	WEST RIDGE	40	WASHINGTON PK
3	UPTOWN	41	HYDE PARK
4	LINCOLN SQUARE	42	WOODLAWN
5	NORTH CENTER	43	SOUTH SHORE
6	LAKEVIEW	44	CHATHAM
7	LINCOLN PARK	45	AVALON PARK
8	NEAR NORTH SIDE	46	SOUTH CHICAGO
9	EDISON PARK	47	BURNSIDE
10	NORWOOD PARK	48	CALUMET HEIGHTS
11	JEFFERSON PARK	49	ROSELAND
12	FOREST GLEN	50	PULLMAN
13	NORTH PARK	51	SOUTH DEERING
14	ALBANY PARK	52	EAST SIDE
15	[illegible] PARK	53	WEST PULLMAN
16	[illegible] PARK	54	RIVERDALE
17	BURNING	55	HEGEWISCH
18	MONTCLARE	56	GARFIELD RIDGE
19	BELMONT CRAGIN	57	ARCHER HEIGHTS
20	HERMOSA	58	BRIGHTON PARK
21	AVONDALE	59	MCKINLEY PARK
22	LOGAN SQUARE	60	BRIDGEPORT
23	HUMBOLDT PARK	61	NEW CITY
24	WEST TOWN	62	WEST ENGLEWOOD
25	AUSTIN	63	GAGE PARK
26	WEST GARFIELD PK	64	CLEARING
27	EAST GARFIELD PK	65	WEST LAWN
28	NEAR WEST SIDE	66	CHICAGO LAWN
29	NORTH LAWNDALE	67	WEST ENGLEWOOD
30	SOUTH LAWNDALE	68	ENGLEWOOD
31	LOWER WEST SIDE	69	GREATER GRAND CR
32	LOOP	70	ASHBURN
33	NEAR SOUTH SIDE	71	AUBURN GRESHAM
34	ARMOUR SQUARE	72	BEVERLY
35	DOUGLAS	73	WASHINGTON HGTS
36	OAKLAND	74	MOUNT GREENWOOD
37	FULLER PARK	75	MORGAN PARK
38	GRAND BLVD	76	AIRPORT AREA 1950 1960

Map By Courtesy of Chicago Committee On Urban Opportunity

UPTOWN

RESIDENTIAL PATTERN OF INDIANS
SERVED BY ST. AUGUSTINE'S CENTER

(HIGH CONCENTRATION AREA)



1968 Client Characteristics and Service Activity

During 1968, St. Augustine's Center served 1,250 persons, including 182 non-Indians who were mostly Caucasians, Mexican Americans, Negroes, and Orientals. This report, however, presents a total of 1,051 persons for whom there was accurate and complete information. These 1,051 cases, which are the appropriate data to be compared with the 1967 report of experience, were split into 53.7% male and 46.1% female. Only 61 (5.8%) of these persons were non-Indians who were served because of such factors as marriage to Indians, and the remaining 94% were affiliated with more than 60 different tribes. The tribal groups most prominently represented among the 1968 clients were the Chippewa, Menominee, Sioux (Dakota), Winnebago, Potawatomi, Oneida, Cherokee, Stockbridge, Ottawa, Navajo, Eskimo, Choctaw, and Cheyenne. More Chippewa than any other tribal group (29.7%) were clients of the Center. Only the Menominee (with 17.6% of the clientele) in addition to the Chippewa accounted for more than 10% of the total number of persons served. For most of these persons (56.7%) it was hard to determine whether or not they spoke the native language. About one-fourth (24.4%) did speak it, and the remainder did not speak the language or were non-Indian. The blood quantum of slightly less than one/half the clients was unknown to Center personnel, but of those for whom it was known, more than one-fourth(27.8%) reported from three-fourths to full "Indian blood", 13% said they were one-half to three-fourths "Indian blood", and 4.9% classified themselves in the one-fourth but less than one-half category.

More than one'half (55.4%) were born on a reservation, about one-fifth (19.9%) were born in an Indian community, and approximately one-tenth (11.1%) were from a small town or urban area. Only 6.7% were born in a city with more than 100,000 population. Thus, Center clients showed a strong tendency to have originated in a rural, non-farm, reservation setting. Nearly one-half (46.6%) were born in Wisconsin, while 8.5% cited Minnesota as their birth place, 6.9% said they were born in South Dakota, and 5% listed Oklahoma as the state of birth. Other states cited as birth places (each representing less than 5% of the 1968 total clientele of the Center) were North Dakota, Michigan, Illinois, Kansas, Utah, Arizona, New Mexico, Nebraska, Montana, Nevada, Mississippi,

Texas, California, Washington, Iowa, New York, Florida, Alaska, Wyoming, Colorado, Oregon, Kentucky, Tennessee, Indiana, Puerto Rico, West Virginia, Missouri, Arkansas, Rhode Island, and Massachusetts. A few clients came from foreign countries, including Canada, Mexico, and South America.

Those who came to the Center were mostly young. A majority (56.6%) were between 20 and 34 years of age, approximately one-fifth (22.6%) were between 35 and 44, and 16.2% were 45 years of age and older. Only 3.8% were in the age range 15 to 19.

Slightly less than half (46.9%) were married, while 18.2% were single and 20.5% were separated. Thos who were divorced accounted for 7.3%, there were 3.1% who were widowed, and 3.9% unmarried mothers. In 30.9% of the cases, the type of marriage was either unknown or not applicable because of unmarried status. For the rest, intertribal marriages accounted for 23.4%, intratribal marriages were the case for 30.2% and 15.5% had an interracial marriage. A large majority of the clientele (74.8%) were heads of households, 22.6% were not, and this status was unknown for 2.6%.

Expressed religious preferences of the 1968 center clients revealed that very few were affiliated with the Native American Church (0.4%) or with other tribal religious organizations (0.3%). Most (49.2%) were Roman Catholic, and about one-quarter were Protestant. Episcopalians accounted for 8.0%, Orthodox for 0.5%, and other religious preferences for 0.2%. Only 6.9% showed no religious preference; for 8.2% religious affiliation was unknown. It is obvious that the Center's affiliation with the Episcopal Church does not serve to inhibit Indian persons not sharing that affiliation from utilizing its services.

The educational achievement of clients showed that about one-fourth (26.4%) had completed at least twelve years of schooling, and that 1.3% had received some vocational training. In about one-tenth (9.7%) of the cases educational attainment was unknown, and the remainder (62.5%) had completed eleven years of school or less. A fairly large proportion (19.8%) had terminated their formal education following completion of the tenth grade.

For most (59.9%) it was not known where this education had occurred. But 15.1% named an Indian reservation day school and another 5.9% specified an Indian reservation residential school. Less than one-tenth (9.0%) said they had attended an off-reservation integrated day school, 0.6% specified

an off-reservation integrated residential school, and 3.0% reported an integrated reservation day school. An urban school was mentioned by 6.9%.

The educational attainment of the spouses of those served by St. Augustine's Center during 1968 was not known in 12.4% of the cases. For another 36.2% it was not applicable since there was no spouse. Those married to spouses with twelve or more years of schooling represented 16.9% and 1.1% had received some vocational training. One-third of the clients' spouses (33.5%) had completed eleven years of school or less.

The nature of the childhood home was unknown for two-thirds (66.0%) of the Center's clients. Those raised by both parents accounted for 17.8%, while 5.7% said they were raised by only one parent. One parent and a step parent was specified by 2.2%, and 2.5% were raised by relatives. Those brought up in a foster home represented 0.5%, while 0.2% cited an institutional childhood home. Some (5.1%) were raised in childhood homes with some combination of the above circumstances.

The employment status of the Center's clients revealed an interesting variety of work. For only 4.2% was the employment status unknown, and another 3.9% counted themselves as unemployed. More than one-tenth (13.5%) said they were housewives who were not employed outside the home. ADC recipients accounted for 6.7%, and 4.0% said they were receiving OAA, Social Security, welfare, or pension payments. Students accounted for 0.9% of the total. The remainder specified some sort of occupation. Unskilled labor seemed to be especially popular with 21.7% of the Center's clients employed on daily-paid jobs (casual labor) and another 10.2% functioning as laborers with no special skills. Factory or garage work accounted for 17.1%, while construction, landscaping and decorating occupied 3.3%, 3.2% and 1.1% respectively. Trucking jobs were held by 1.5% and miscellaneous services, crafts and hospital work for the army or air force employed 5.0%. Housework and child care jobs were held by 2.4%. It is striking that only 1.1% (12) were employed on clerical jobs, and that this category of employment was the only white-collar employment reported by the clients. This was in spite of the fact that there were 292 persons among the Center's clients who had completed at least 12 years of formal education. Subsequent investigation might focus on the relative importance of such factors as poor quality education, employment discrimination, and occupational self-selection in

the concentration of Center clients in blue-collar, and especially marginal blue-collar, employment. Currently, there is some concern about Chicago's Uptown day-labor agencies, which offer jobs to many Center clients. These agencies are sometimes referred to by workers as "slave shops", and it has recently been charged that persons employed through these agencies rarely receive more than the Federal minimum wage of $1.60 per hour even though business firms often pay twice that amount to the agency for recruiting the worker. It is also asserted that day laborers rarely receive overtime rates or Workman's Compensation. There are approximately 50 such agencies in the Uptown area, and a major charge against some of these agencies is that a "90-day clause" used in the employment process prevents firms from hiring any day worker permanently for at least three months after the time he last worked there. The result, critics say, is that workers never get a permanent job, even though steady employment may be an effective remedy for those with drinking problems.[11]

A similar pattern of employemnt was found for the spouses of Center clients, although no data was reported for 43.3% of the cases because there was no spouse. The occupations reported may be examined in the Appendix tables.

The average annual income was unknown for 57.6% of the clients. A very small proportion (0.2%) were receiving vocational training, and thus did not report income, and a similar proportion (0.7%) were children. Those who were unemployed or receiving welfare, Social Security, or pension payments constituted 8.3% of the total. The income distribution of the remainder is interesting. Those whose annual incomes were under $3,000 were 12.3% of the total, and a similar proportion (13.7%) earned between $3,000 and $5,000 per year. Only 7.3% reported incomes over $5,000. Although the data are limited, the pattern of income reported is about one would expect from the occupational configuration just reviewed.

There was no information about the health status of 23.9% of the Center's clients, and another 57.7% reported no problems at all. An accident during 1968 happened to 3.0%. Those who reported having had diabetes or tuberculosis at any time during the past represented 2.2% and 3.3% respectively. Hospitalization for mental illness sometime in the past had happened to 0.9% and another 0.9% had been hospitalized for

alcoholism sometime previously. Those physically disabled represented 1.3%, 0.6% reported drug abuse, and 0.2% said they had attempted suicide. Other health problems were reported by 6.1% For about three-eighths of the 1968 Center clients the presence or absence of a drinking problem was unknown,and a slightly higher proportion(39.7%) reported having to deal with such a problem. About one-fifth (18.8%) said they had no drinking problem, and about one in twenty (4.5%) said they had no drinking problem but that others in their family had such a problem. It is obvious that problems associated with drinking are a major difficulty for those who came to the Center.

Trouble with the law is one indication of difficulty in adjusting to urban life, and such trouble has been the source of much conflict between the police and Indian militants in Minneapolis, another mid-western city with a growing urban Indian population.[12] For 43.1% of the clients it was not known whether any court hearings involving family members had been held during 1968. In another 27.4% of the cases, no hearings were reported. In a very small proportion of the cases (0.6%) truancy was the cause of the court hearings, and dependency of children was the reason in 2.7% of the cases. Runaways and curfew violations accounted for court hearings in 1.3% and 0.2% of the cases, respectively. Other causes were cited for 2.6% of the cases. For more than half of the clients themselves (57.7%) it was not known whether or not court hearings had occurred during 1968, but for 33.1% of the clients no hearings had been held. Hearings on drunk and disorderly charges had been held for 5.2% of the clients themselves, another 1.0% had been involved in hearings concerning robbery, assault had been the reason for hearings concerning 0.1% of the clients, and runaway hearings had affected 0.4% of the clients. Other reasons led to court hearings involving 2.5% of the Center's clients. Court hearings involving spouses of Center clients were reported in only 4.3 % of the cases. These can be examined in the Appendix.

Mobility

Each person who visited the Center during 1968 was asked when he or she had arrived in Chicago. A small proportion (1.2%) reported having been born in Chicago, and the date of arrival in Chicago was unknown in 2.4% of the cases. Those who had come to Chicago more than 15 years pre-

viously accounted for 7.4% and another 7.2% had arrived in Chicago from twelve to fifteen years prior to 1968. Those who had come to Chicago from eight to eleven years earlier represented 13.4% of the total, and almost one-fourth of the 1968 clients (23.3%) reported arriving in Chicago from four to seven years earlier. Those who had arrived two or three years prior to 1968 totaled 15.5%. 1969 arrivals numbered 11.7% and 17.8% came to Chicago during 1968. Fully 45% of the clients had come to Chicago during the period 1965 to 1968.

Most of the Center's 1968 clients (65.4%) had relatives in Chicago. About one-fourth (27.6%) did not, and the presence or absence of relatives was not known for 7%. For about one-tenth of the clients (11.6%) mobility during 1968 was unknown, and for about one-third (34.2%) there were no moves during 1968. One move was cited by 27.6% and two moves were undertaken during 1968 by 10.8% of the clients. About one in twenty (4.9%) moved three times, 1.4% moved four times, and very few (0.9%) reported five moves. Those who had moved six times or more numbered 1.2%, and some (7.3%) moved so often as to be classified as "drifters". The type of mobility was not known for 10.9% of the cases, and for another 34% type of mobility was not applicable because there had been no moves during 1968. Fully one-fourth of the clients (25.5%) had moved within the Uptown area, and 11.9% had moved within the city. Only about one in twenty(5.5%) had moved from city to city, 8.9% had moved from reservation to city, and 3% had been involved in a move from city to reservation or Indian community. According to Center staff members, severe problems in adjusting to Chicago life, substantial alienation from the dominant culture, and the necessity to satisfy economic needs are some of the major forces precipitating multiple movements between reservation and city and preventing the establishment of a relatively stable Chicago residence For about one-fourth of the clients (23.8%) the specific reasons for mobility were not applicable because there were no moves. Employment was given as the cause for mobility by 6.3% and 10.6% said that family affairs or difficulties caused moves during 1968. Financial problems, such as nonpayment of rent, eviction, unreasonably high rent, etc., were said to have caused moves by 2.8% of the clients. Unsatisfactory living conditions and urban renewal sparked moves by 5%, 0.3% linked visits to moves, and 0.3% said they moved because of education.

Some (6.7%) could only be classified as "drifters".

Additional understanding of the forces promoting mobility within the Uptown area is provided by the informal observations of Center staff members. Often, initial housing is quite unsatisfactory, so that there is a tendency to move into other dwellings when vacancies occur. Some Indian families find themselves situated in "rough" neighborhoods, so they are motivated to find housing in an environment more suitable to their families and especially for their children. As experience with the costs of city life is gained, rent payments may claim too large a share of the usually low Indian family income, so it may be that lower-rent units are sought. Difficulties with landlords, resulting in eviction for non-payment of rent or other reasons, sometimes necessitate a search for alternative housing in the Uptown area. Demolition of housing through programs of urban renewal give rise to mobility, and some families change residence frequently because they are constantly searching for a better location, a better environment, and better housing.

Family

What was the nature of the families of St. Augustine's Center clients? Male clients were asked for the total known children with their present wives. In 2.1% of the 1968 Center cases this fact was unknown, and for 17.9% of the total number of Center clients the man was single. Another 42.9% represented women, who were asked a subsequent question concerning children and so were excluded from this query. Those males who were married without children accounted for 4.0% of the total, and another 9.3% represented functionally single persons who may have had children about whom the agency had no specific knowledge. One child was reported by 6.7%, and 6.0% reported two children. About one in twenty (4.7% listed three children, and four offspring were mentioned by 1.6%. Only 2.0% reported having five children, and 1.4% said they had six children. Very small proportions)0.6%, 0.4%, 0.2%, 0.1% and 0.1%, respectively) reported having seven, eight, nine, ten, or eleven children.

The total known children of women also was ascertained. Almost half (47.5%) of the cases were men, so they were excluded form the questioning. In 2.1% of the cases, this information could not be elicited, and 7.5% were single women without children. Only 3.0% were married and childless. One, two, or three children were most often reported (by 9.3%, 8.7% and

8.7%, respectively). Another 4.0% said they had four children, 2.7% reported five children, and 3.0% enumerated six. Smaller proportions reported seven, eight, nine, ten or eleven children (1.7%, 1.1%, 0.4%, 0.6%, and 0.6%, respectively). Thus, 63.7% of the men and women who appeared at the Center as clients during 1968 reported having children, and from one to four children were reported by 48.8%.

The nature of the family for these clients is further elaborated by data revealing the number of children living in the home who were pre-school or in school. This information was unknown in 2.5% of the cases, and 47.4% had no children, so the question was not applicable. One, two, three, or four children were reported by 15.6%, 14.6%, 8.8%, and 4.1%, respectively. Five or six children were reported by 2.5% and 2.5%, respectively. Very small proportions (0.9%, 0.5%, 0.%, and 0.2%, respectively) reported seven, eight, nine, or ten children. Half (50.2%) of the Center's clients reported pre-school or in-school children and the median number of those children was two. In 6.5% of the cases there were children living in the home who were not in school or kindergarten. A fairly large proportion of the clients (22.6%) reported having children who were living outside of the home. For these children the circumstances which led to their living away from home were most often gaining independence through going away to school or entering the military service and living with relatives. Smaller proportions of children living outside the home were under court custody in institutions or foster homes,in foster homes not under court custody, or were adopted children. A more complete description of children living inside and outside the home is contained in the Appendix. The median number of people living in the home was two, but that average was greatly affected by those reporting who were single, separated, or divorced. For most of the clients (55.9%) the extent of prematurity in the family was unknown, and none was reported by another 19.5%. About one-fifth (21.8%) reported no children, and the remaining 2.8% cited one or more cases of prematurity.

Swrvices

The Center's intensive counseling activities are described by one staff member as follows: "Intensive counseling has been the backbone of St. Augustine's Center's casework program. This requires continuous, sincere and patient counseling, ususlly necessitating all our primary

and auxilliary emergency services as well as counseling. Intensive counselling also requires close contact with the families, which is maintained by the casework staff either at the Center or through visits to the home, hospitals or other institutions. Much effort and time also goes into planning for follow-up services for those families who have already made some progress and no longer need regular services so that they might maintain the gain they have achieved during their intensive care stage. The entire process of intensive counseling is very time-consuming and frequently involves continuous contacts with several social agencies and clinics on behalf of a single client."[13] During 1968, intensive casework was provided to 198 clients (18.8%) and 53 persons (5%) were aided with psychiatric counseling. The impact of successful casework and counseling, of course, goes far beyond the individuals treated; the head of a household who achieves a more satisfactory life adjustment through counseling and casework services at the Center may be expected to exert a more positive influence on family and friends. (analysis of the number of visits to the Center by interviewees revealed that 30% had made one visit, and 19.6% had made two vists). Thus, 49.6% of the clients had visited the Center themselves once or twice. Those who made three of four vistts were 9% and 5.3%, respectively. From five to nine visits had been made by 11% and 4.7% had made from ten to fourteen visits. Fifteen or more trips to the Center were recorded by 5.5% and the number of visits for 0.1% was unknown. Assistance was rendered to 14.7% of the cases through immediate family members or relatives. When the total number of visits of all members of the family was analyzed, 38.3% of the cases were those in which there were no other family members. Total family visits of one and two were made in 14.6% ond 12.8% of the cases respectively. Three and four visits were made by family members of 6.3% and 5.4% of the clients, respectively, and 10.1% of the cases involved total family visits from five to nine in number. Ten to fourteen total family visits occurred in 2.8% of the cases, fifteen visits and more happened for 7.8% of the clients' families, and no visits at all were recorded for 1.8% of the clients' families. It appears that in about 10% of the cases, ten or more annual visits to the Center were required for clients and their families, and the nature of the problems of these families might be further investigated.

In 74.6% of the cases the principal client was the person seen most often at the Center, in 10.7% of the cases he (or she) was the person seen least, and in 14.1% of the cases he (or she) was not seen at all. In only 0.6% of the cases was a child in the family seen most. Cash assistance was received once in 30.8% of the cases, twice for 15.5% of the clients, and three times for 5.5% of the total; thus, 51.8% of the clients received cash assistance from one to three times during 1968. Those who received cash assistance four times numbered 3.2%, 5.2% got cash help from five to nine times, 1% had from ten to fourteen instances of cash assistance, and 0.8% received cash fifteen or more times. No cash assistance was received in 37.2% of the cases. More than two-thirds (67.3%) received no food through the Center, 20% got food there once, 5.2% twice, 2.4% three times, and 1.3% four times. Those who received help with food from five to nine times accounted for 2.4% of the total, 0.3% had from ten to fourteen instances of food help, and 0.6% of the cases received food help fifteen or more times. Center staff members report that there was consistently high demand for food items during 1968, but that food supplies were generally inadequate. As a result, distribution was tightly controlled with priority given to food requests from families with many children, individuals who were ill, and elderly persons. Often, when food was not available, case assistance was given in its place. Most of the Center's clients (69.6%) received no clothing assistance, 18% received clothing once, 5.3% twice, 2% three times, and 1% four times. Help with clothing from five to nine times during 1968 was registered for 2% of the clients, 0.5% received clothing assistance from ten to fourteen times, and 0.9% fifteen times or more.

Most of the clients (71.1%) received no other type of assistance, but 27.4% received job, medical, legal or educational assistance from one to four times during 1968. (It must be noted that this report does not include an accounting of Christmas gifts and presents distributed to children and families.) Considering all types of assistance, 38% received only one kind during 1968, 19.9% two kinds, 14.3% three kinds, 6.1% four kinds, 2.7% five kinds, 1.4% six kinds, and 1% seven kinds.

Service Components of the St. Augustine's Center Casework Program

1. Counseling Program:
   1. Crisis and Short Term Counseling
   2. Intensive Counseling
   3. Individual Psychotherapy
   4. Youth Counseling (Group Work)

2. Supportive Emergency Short Term Assistance:
   1. Cash
   2. Groceries
   3. Clothing
   4. Scholarship
   5. Legal and Medical Expenses
   6. Funeral Expenses
   7. Other Miscellaneous Assistance

3. Referral Services:
   1. Job
   2. Medical and Legal
   3. Public Assistance
   4. Other Miscellaneous Referrals

The "Typical" Male Client

The characteristics of clients and service activities just described were for the total 1968 client population. Males and females were combined, and there was no attempt to describe sex differences. Additional insight may be gained by profiling the "typical" male and the "typical" female. "Typical" refers only to those characteristics where information is available for a majority (or very close to a majority) of the male and female clients served in 1968. A description of those charactersitics for which data were not available for a majority of clients appears in the Appendix.

The "typical" male client (N=564) at St. Augustine's Center during 1968:

Was Chippewa,	28.7%	
Menominee, or	15.6	
Sioux (Dakota)	10.6	
		54.9%
Was in the age range		
25 to 29	20.9%	
30 to 34	20.0	
35 to 39	13.7	
		54.6
Was born in		
Wisconsin	39.7%	
Minnesota, or	9.0	
South Dakota	8.1	
		56.8
Was born		
On a reservation	54.3%	
In an Indian community, or	18.1	
In a small town	12.6	
		85.0
Was		
Single	25.7%	
Married, or	43.3	
Separated	18.3	
		87.3
Had a marriage that was		
Intertribal	20.6	
Intratribal, or	28.4	
Interracial	12.9	
		61.9
Was head of a household		97.3%

Was Roman Catholic, or	48.1%	
Protestant	26.9	
		75.0
Was not a high school graduate		59.6
Had moved during the year		
Once,	24.3%	
Twice, or	10.8	
Was classified as a "drifter"	12.9	
		48.0
Had moved		
Within the city	14.4%	
Within the Uptown area	23.4	
From city to city, or	6.9	
From reservation to city	9.0	
		53.7
Had moved because of		
Unknown reasons	24.8%	
Employment reasons	7.8	
Family affairs or difficulties	6.0	
Unsatisfactory living conditions, including urban renewal	3.7	
Financial difficulties, or	11.2	
Was simply a "drifter"	11.0	
		65.4
Had arrived in Chicago		
Between 1961 and 1964	23.9%	
During 1965 and 1966	16.7	
During 1967	12.4	
During 1968	18.3	
		71.3
Had relatives in Chicago		61.2
Revealed no health problems		54.1
Had a drinking problem		51.8
Reported as his employment status		
Daily paid labor,	26.1	
Other unskilled labor, or	17.2	
Factory or garage work	21.1	
		64.4
Had visited St. Augustine's Center		
Once,	32.3%	
Twice, or	21.3	
Three times	8.9	
		62.5
Had not been relocated by the BIA		79.3%

Was himself seen by staff members at the Center most often		74.5%
Received cash assistance during 1968 at St. Augustine's Center		
Once,	33.7%	
Twice, or	15.6	
Three times	5.1	
		54.4
Received during 1968 either		
One kind of assistance, or	41.3%	
Two kinds of assistance	20.4	
		61.7
Reported only one person living at home		55.9
Reported no pre-school or in-school children living in home		60.6

This is a profile of a typically young, male Chippewa, Menominee, or Sioux, who was born on a reservation, in an Indian community, or in a small town in Wisconsin, Minnesota, or South Dakota. He was about equally likely to be single/separated or married and, if married his wife was Indian. He tended to be the head of a single-member household with no pre-school or in-school children at home. A Roman Catholic or Protestant who had not completed high school, he seemed to have no health problems but did have a drinking problem. He had arrived in Chicago during the last eight years, had not been re-located by the BIA, and had relatives in Chicago. During 1968 he moved once, twice, or so often as to be classified as a "drifter". He had moved within the city, within the Uptown area, from city to city, or from reservation to city during 1968. He had made these moves because of financial difficulties, employement reasons, family affairs or difficulties, unsatisfactory living conditions, unknown reasons, or simply beaause he was a "drifter". He was employed at daily paid labor, other unskilled labor, or factory or garage work. He had visited St. Augustine's Center once, twice, or three times during 1968, where he was the person from his family seen most often by staff emmbers at the Center. He received one or two kinds of assistance at the Center during 1968, and it was likely to be cash assistance rather than food, clothing, job, medical, legal or educational assistance.

The "Typical" Female Client

The "typical" female client (N=485) seen at St. Augustine's Center during 1968:

Was Chippewa,	30.9%	
Menominee, or	20.0	
Sioux (Dakota)	7.2	
		58.1%
Reported blood quantum in the amount of		
Between 1/4 and 1/2	7.2	
Between 1/2 and 3/4	17.3	
Between 3/4 and full	26.2	
		50.7
Was in the age range		
20 to 24,	22.1%	
25 to 29, or	23.1	
30 to 34	14.4	
		59.6
Was born in		
Wisconsin,	54.4%	
Minnesota, or	7.8	
South Dakota	5.6	
		67.8
Was born		
On a reservation,	56.5%	
In an Indian community, or	22.1	
In a small town	9.5	
		88.1
Was married, or	51.3	
Separated	23.1	
		74.4
Had a marriage that was		
Intertribal,	26.8%	
Intratribal, or	32.4	
Interracial	18.6	
		77.8
Was about equally likely to be		
Head of a household, or	48.5%	
Not the head of a household	47.2	
		95.7
Was Roman Catholic, or	50.3%	
Protestant	25.8	
		76.1

Was not a high-school graduate		65.8%
Had moved during the year		
Once,	31.6%	
Twice, or	10.7	
Three times	6.0	
		48.3
Had moved		
Within the city,	9.1%	
Within the Uptown area,	28.0	
From city to reservation or Indian community, or	5.4	
From reservation to city	8.9	51.4
Had moved because of		
Unknown reasons,	22.3%	
Employment reasons	4.5	
Family affairs or difficulties	15.9	
Unsatisfactory living conditions, including urban renewal, or	6.6	
Financial difficulties	14.9	
		64.2
Had arrived in Chicago		
Between 1957 and 1960	14.2	
Between 1961 and 1964	22.7	
During 1965 and 1966	14.2	
During 1967, or	10.9	
During 1968	17.3	
		79.3
Had relatives in Chicago		70.1
Revealed no health problems		61.6
Was equally likely to report		
Having a drinking problem, or	25.8%	
Not having a drinking problem	25.8	
		51.6
Reported her employment status		
Daily paid labor,	16.7	
Factory or garage work,	12.6	
ADC recipient, or	13.0	
Unemployed housewife	28.9	
		71.2%
Had visited St. Augustine's Center during 1968		
Once,	27.4%	
Twice, or	17.3	
Three times	9.3	
		54.0

Had not been re-located by the BIA		81.2
Was herself seen by staff members at the Center most often		74.6
Received cash assistance during 1968		
At St. Augustine's Center		
Once,	27.2%	
Twice,	15.5	
Three times, or	6.0	
Four times	3.3	
		52.0
Received during 1968		
One kind of assistance,	34.4%	
Two kinds of assistance, or	19.4	
Three kinds of assistance	15.9	
		69.7
Reported the number of people living at home as		
One,	23.9%	
Two,	14.2	
Three,	19.6	
Four, or	16.5	
Five	11.1	
		85.3
Reported the number of pre-school or in-school children living at home as		
One,	19.8%	
Two,	19.2	
Three, or	12.2	
Four	6.0	
		57.2

This is a profile of a typically young, female Chippewa, Menominee, or Sioux having from one-fourth to full Indian blood quantum, who was born on a reservation, in an Indian community, or in a small town in Wisconsin, Minnesota or South Dakota. She was more likely to be married than separated, and her spouse was likely to be Indian. She was about as likely to be head of a household as not with from one to five persons living at home including from one to four pre-school or in-school children. A Roman Catholic or Protestant who had not completed high school, she did not reveal any health problems, but was equally like to report a drinking problem as not to report one. She had arrived in Chicago during the last twelve years, had not been re-located by the BIA, and had relatives in Chicago. During 1968 she had moved once, twice, or three times, and these moves had been within the city, within the Uptown area, from city to reservation or Indian community, or from reservation to city. She

had made these moves because of family affairs or difficulties, financial difficulties, unsatisfactory living conditions, employement reasons, or unkown reasons. She received income from daily paid labor, factory or garage work, and ADC payments, or she was an unemployed housewife. She had visited the Center during 1968 from one to three times, when she was the person seen most often by staff members at the Center. She received one, two, or three kinds of assistance from the Center during the year, and it was likely to be cash assistance rather than food, clothing, job, medical, legal or educational assistance.

A Difference in Orientation

In some ways, female clients tended to reveal differences in orientation to the problems of living in Chicago and to the Center itself. Only 9.1% of the females were single, compared wtih 25.7% of the males. More women than men were married, and slightly more women than men were separated. Since separated and single male clients often do not have the responsibilities occasioned by dependents, they do not have problems of the magnitude encountered by urban Indian women who have children to take care of. Utilization of the Center's services reflects some of these differences. Almost one-third of the female clients (30.9%) received intensive casework compared with one-tenth (9.4%) of the males, and staff members indicated that the counseling provided to females often included other members of her immediate family. Some Indian women who receive counseling at the Center are heads of broken and often disturbed families and are the chief means of family support, and these circumstances can lead to a great many personal and family problems. Perhaps because their needs frequently involve only themselves and because they tend to become alienated rather easily, male clients may not seek intensive counseling so readily and may not have as much success with it. These patterns are borne out in the utilization of other services at the Center. While males were about as likely to receive cash assistance at the Center as females, the women were more apt to receive food, clothing and other kinds of help.

Differences Associated with Educational Achievement

It was possible to divide the 1968 Center clients into three broad categories according to educational achievement: (1) education unknown, (2) non high school graduates, and (3) high school graduates. At this point it may be useful to profile the last two categories to see which characteristics, if any, distinguish the two groups.

Non High School Graduates

The "typical" non high school graduate (N=656) who was assisted at St. Augustine's Center during 1968:

Was about as likely to be male	51.4%	
to be female	48.6	
		100.0%
Was Chippwaa,	33.2%	
Menominee, or	19.9	
Sioux (Dakota)	8.1	
		61.2
Reported blood quantum in the amount of		
One-fourth to one-half	5.9%	
One-half to three-fourths	14.8	
Three fourths to full	28.8	
		49.5
Was in the age range		
20 to 24	17.1%	
25 to 29	20.3	
30 to 34, or	16.0	
35 to 39	11.1	
		64.5
Was born in		
Wisconsin,	51.7	
Minnesota, or	9.3	
South Dakota	5.9	
		66.9
Was born		
On a reservation,	58.4%	
In an Indian community, or	18.8	
In a small town	10.9	
		88.1
Was		
Single,	17.7	
Married, or	44.9	
Separated	21.0	
		83.6
Had a marriage that was		
Intertribal,	20.7%	
Intratribal, or	34.8	
Interracial	13.7	
		69.2
Was the head of a household		74.4

Was Roman Catholic, or	52.3%	
Protestant	28.2	
		80.5%
Had moved during the year		
Once,	26.9%	
Twice,	10.8	
Three times or more, or	10.7	
Was a "drifter"	7.2	
		55.6
Had moved		
Within the city,	11.9%	
Within the Uptown area,	26.7	
From city to city,	5.3	
From city to reservation or Indian community, or	2.6	
From reservation to city	9.6	
		56.1
Had moved because of		
Unknown reasons	23.0%	
Employment	7.2	
Family affairs or difficulties,	10.4	
Unsatisfactory living conditions, including urban renewal, or	13.3	
Was simply a "drifter"	6.2	
		65.0
Had arrived in Chicago		
Between 1957 and 1960,	14.5%	
Between 1961 and 1964,	24.9	
During 1965 and 1966,	16.0	
During 1967, or	9.8	
During 1968	17.7	
		82.9
Had relatives in Chicago	69.2	
Revealed no health problems	60.5	
Was more likely to have		
a drinking problem	42.9%	
than not to have a drinking problem	18.8	
		61.7
Reported as employment status		
Daily paid labor,	23.5%	
Unskilled labor,	10.4	
Factory or garage work, or	15.4	
ADC recipient	7.6	
		56.9

Had visited St. Augustine's Center during 1968		
Once, or	30.5%	
Twice	20.1	
		50.6%
Was not relocated by the BIA		82.9
Was the person seen most often by staff at the Center		77.0
Received cash assistance during 1968 at St. Augustine's Center		
Once,	32.2%	
Twice, or	16.0	
Three times	5.0	
		53.2
Received during 1968		
One kind of assistance,	37.8%	
Two kinds of assistance, or	20.4	
Three kinds of assistance	16.5	
		74.7
Reported the number of people living at home as		
One,	41.9%	
Two,	10.8	
Three,	13.3	
Four, or	13.6	
Five	8.1	
		87.7
Reported the number of pre-school or in-school children living at home as		
One,	15.1%	
Two,	13.6	
Three,	8.8	
Four, or	4.4	
Five through ten	7.5	
		49.4

This profile indicates a typically young male or female Chippewa, Menominee, or Sioux having from one-fourth to full Indian blood quantum who was born on a reservation, in an Indian community, or in a small town in Wisconsin, Minnesota, or South Dakota. This person was somewhat more likely to be married than single or separated and was apt to have married an Indian. He or she was the head of a household with one to five persons living at home including from one to ten pre-school or in-school children. A Roman Catholic or Protestant, this person revealed no health problems, but was more likely to report having a drinking problem than not. He or

she had arrived in Chicago during the last twelve years, was not re-located by the BIA, and had relatives in Chicago. During 1968, this person had moved once, twice, or three times or more, and those moves had been within the city, within the Uptown area, from city to city, from city to reservation or Indian community or from reservation to city. He or she had moved because of employment, family affairs or difficulties, unsatisfactory living conditions, financial difficulties, unknown reasons, or because of habitual mobility as a "drifter". This person received income from daily paid labor, unskilled labor, factory or garage work, or ADC payments. He or she had visited the Center during 1968 once or twice, when he or she was the person seen most often by staff members at the Center. One, two, or three kinds of assistance were received by this person at the Center during 1968, and it was likely to be cash assistance rather than food, clothing, job, medical, legal or educational assistance.

High School Graduates

The "typical" high school graduate (N=292) who was assisted at St. Augustine's Center during 1968:

Was slightly more likely to be male	57.5%	
than female	42.5	
		100.0%
Was Chippewa,	21.6%	
Sioux (Dakota),	13.0	
Menominee, or	12.7	
Winnebago	7.2	
		54.5
Was in the age range		
20 to 24,	16.8%	
25 to 29,	26.0	
30 to 34, or	21.9	
35 to 39	15.4	
		80.1
Was born in		
Wisconsin,	38.0%	
South Dakota,	10.6	
Oklahoma,	7.5	
Minnesota, or	5.8	
Arizona	5.5	
		67.4
Was born		
On a reservation,	51.4%	
In an Indian community, or	23.3	
In a small town	10.6	
		85.3

Was		
married, or	51.0%	
Separated	21.2	
		72.2%
Had a marriage that was		
Intertribal,	30.1%	
Intratribal,	23.3	
Interracial	18.8	
		72.2
Was head of a household		74.7
Was Roman Catholic, or	51.4%	
Protestant	28.1	
		79.5
Had moved during the year		
Once,	30.8%	
Twice, or	12.0	
Was classified as a "drifter"	7.2	
		57.0
Had moved		
Within the city,	13.4%	
Within the Uptown area,	27.4	
From city to city,	6.2	
From city to reservation or Indian community, or	3.8	
From reservation to city	6.2	
		61.3
Had moved because of		
Unknown reasons	21.5%	
Family affairs or difficulties	10.3	
Financial difficulties,	15.4	
Unsatisfactory living conditions, or	6.9	
Was simply a "drifter"	7.2	61.3
Had arrived in Chicago		
Between 1957 and 1960,	12.7%	
Between 1961 and 1964,	23.3	
During 1965 and 1966,	18.8	
During 1967, or	11.6	
During 1968	15.8	
		82.2
Had relatives in Chicago		58.6
Revealed no health problems		58.99

Was more likely to have a drinking problem, than not	36.0% / 21.2	57.2
Reported as employment status		
Daily paid labor,	20.2%	
Factory or garage work,	19.2	
Unemployed housewife, or	14.7	
Other unskilled labor	8.9	63.0
Had visited St. Augustine's Center during 1968		
Once,	24.0%	
Twice,	20.2	
Three times,	11.6	
Four times, or	7.5	
Five to nine times	10.3	73.6
Had not been relocated by the BIA		76.4
Was the person seen by staff members at the Center most		70.9
Received cash assistance during 1968 at St. Augustine's Center		
Once,	27.1%	
Twice,	17.8	
Three times,	7.5	
Four times, or	4.1	
Five to nine times	4.1	60.6
Received during 1968		
One kind of assistance,	36.6%	
Two kinds of assistance, or	22.0	
Three kinds of assistance	11.3	69.9
Reported the number of persons living at home as		
One,	38.7%	
Two,	8.9	
Three,	16.4	
Four, or	15.1	
Five	9.9	89.0
Reported pre-school or in-school children living at home		53.4

This profile reveals a typically young male or female Chippewa, Sioux, Menominee, or Winnebago who was born on a reservation, in an Indian community, or in a small town in Wisconsin, South Dakota, Oklahoma, Minnesota, or Arizona. This person was more likely to be married than separated, and was likely to have married an Indian. He or she was head of a household with from one to five persons living at home including pre-school or in-school children. A Roman Catholic or Protestant, he or she reported no health problems, but was more likely to report a drinking problem than not. This person had arrived in Chicago during the last twelve years, was not re-located by the BIA and had relatives in Chicago. During 1968 this person moved once, twice, or so many times as to be identified as a "drifter", and those moves had been within the city, within the Uptown area, from city to city, from city to reservation or Indian community, or from reservation to city. He or she had moved because of unknown reasons, family affairs or difficulties, unsatisfactory living conditions, or was simply a "drifter". This person received income from daily paid labor, factory or garage work, other unskilled labor or was an unemployed housewife. He or she had visited the Center during the year from one to three times, when he or she was the person seen most often by staff members at the Center. One, two or three kinds of assistance were received by this person at the Center during 1968, and it was likely to be cash assistance rather than food, clothing, job, medical, legal or educational assistance.

Non High School Graduates Compared with High School Graduates

As was the case with the 1967 client experience, when high school graduates and non high school graduates from the 1968 Center client population were compared, the over-all impression was one of similarity.

Tribal differences between the two groups were slight but interesting. High school graduates were somewhat more likely to be Sioux and from "Other" (unspecified) tribal groups and less likely to be Chippewa and Menominee than were the non high school graduates, as the following table indicates:

TABLE 1: COMPARISON OF TRIBAL AFFILIATION OF HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES AND NON HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES FROM THE 1968 CLIENT POPULATION OF ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER

Tribal Affiliation	Non High School Graduates (N=656)	High School Graduates (N=292)
Chippewa	33.2	21.6
Menominee	19.9	12.7
Sioux (Dakota)	8.1	13.0
Winnebago	5.3	7.2
Potawatomi	3.3	2.4
Oneida	4.9	3.1
Cherokee	1.2	0.3
Stockbridge	0.5	0.0
Ottawa	1.5	3.1
Navajo	2.3	1.7
Eskimo	1.2	2.0
Choctaw	3.1	2.0
Cheyenne	1.0	2.7
Other tribes	10.4	20.6
Non-Indians	4.1	7.5

These tribal differences are further borne out by data showing the state of birth. High school graduates were less likely to have been born in Wisconsin (Chippewa, Menominee, Winnebago, Potawatomi, Oneida) and Minnesota (predominantly Chippewa) and were more likely to have originated in South Dakota (Sioux), North Dakota (Sioux and Chippewa), Arizona and Oklahoma than were the non high school graduates. The following table details the states of birth for these two groups:

TABLE 2: COMPARISON OF STATE OF BIRTH OF HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES AND NON HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES FROM THE 1968 CLIENT POPULATION OF ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER

State of Birth	Non High School Graduates (N=656)	High School Graduates (N=292)
Unknown	1.2	1.7
Wisconsin	51.7	38.0
Minnesota	9.3	5.8
South Dakota	5.9	10.6
North Dakota	2.7	4.8
Michigan	3.8	3.4
Illinois	1.9	2.4
Kansas	0.6	0.3
Arizona	3.1	5.5
Utah	0.0	0.3
New Mexico	0.9	0.3
Oklahoma	4.4	7.5

TABLE 2 (Continued)

State of Birth	Non High School Graduates	High School Graduates
Nebraska	2.3	2.4
Montana	0.8	2.4
Nevada	0.0	1.0
Mississippi	2.3	1.0
Texas	0.2	0.3
California	0.4	0.3
Washington	0.0	0.3
Iowa	0.8	1.0
New York	0.0	0.7
Florida	0.2	0.3
Alaska	1.8	2.7
Wyoming	0.1	0.7
Colorado	0.2	0.0
Oregon	0.2	0.3
Kentucky	0.2	0.0
Tennessee	0.3	0.3
Indiana	0.3	0.0
Puerto Rico	0.2	0.0
West Virginia	0.0	0.7
Mexico, South America	0.4	0.3
Canada	3.3	2.1
Missouri	0.2	0.3
Arkansas	0.2	0.3
Rhode Island	0.0	0.3
Massachusetts	0.0	0.3
Others	0.2	0.7

There were some apparent differences associated with the type of marriage. High school graduates were more likely to have intertribal instead of intratribal marriages, as Table 3 indicates.

TABLE 3: COMPARISON OF TYPE OF MARRIAGE OF HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES AND NON HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES FROM THE 1968 CLIENT POPULATION OF ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER

Type of Marriage	Non High School Graduates (N=656)	High School Graduates (N=292)
Unknown	4.3	4.8
Not applicable - not married	26.5	23.0
Intertribal	20.7	30.1
Intratribal	34.8	23.3
Interracial	13.7	18.8

More than one-fourth (28.1%) of the high school graduates were married to high school graduates, whereas only 15.9% of the non high school graduates had married high school graduates. Similarly, less than one-third (30.5%) of the high school graduates had married persons who

had not achieved high school graduation, while 37.8% was the comparable figure for non high school graduates.

There was a stronger tendency for the non high school graduates to have relatives in Chicago (69.2% had such ties) when compared with the high school graduates, of whom 58.6% had Chicago relatives.

As was the case in 1967, high school graduation did not appear to be reflected in a different pattern of employment for the 1968 Center clients. Table 4 compares the employment status of 1968 high school graduates with that of the non high school graduates.

TABLE 4: COMPARISON OF THE EMPLOYMENT STATUS OF HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES AND NON HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES FROM THE 1968 CLIENT POPULATION OF ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER

Employment Status	Non High School Graduates (N=656)	High School Graduates (N=292)
Unknown	2.9	4.4
Daily Pay	23.5	20.2
Laborer - no special skill	10.4	8.9
Factory or garage	15.4	19.2
Construction	3.5	3.4
Landscaping	3.8	2.0
Decorating	1.4	1.0
Trucking	1.5	1.4
Clerical	0.1	2.4
Miscellaneous services, crafts, and hospital work/ army, air force	4.3	6.2
Housework and child care	2.7	1.4
Professional	0.0	0.0
Student	0.8	1.4
ADC	7.6	6.2
OAA, Social Security, Welfare or pension	4.7	3.4
Housewife, not employed outside the home	13.2	14.7
Unemployed	4.1	3.8

Other comparative characteristics of the 1968 non high school graduate and high school graduate populations are available in the Appendix. As with the 1967 clients of the Center, the strong impression remains that educational attainment makes very little difference in the life styles of most of the Indian people who come to the Center.

Characteristics of 1967 and 1968 Clients Compared

With data available for two consecutive years, it is possible to inspect some of the shifts in client characteristics which may be suggestive of changes in the nature of the population being served. The Appendix contains complete comparative tables and attention will be focused here upon only the more obvious similarities and differences in the two populations.

To begin with, the Center served approximately the same number of clients in 1968 (1,051) as it did in 1967 (1,027), but the 1968 population contained a slightly higher proportion of females and a slightly lower proportion of males. The steady nature of urban migration during 1967 and 1968, limitations upon the availability of funds for such purposes as emergency cash assistance, and high population mobility are three factors which may have occurred to induce only a slight increase in the number of clients served. There were some small shifts in the tribal composition of the two consecutive populations, as Table 5 indicates.

TABLE 5: COMPARISON OF THE TRIBAL MIXES OF THE 1967 AND 1968 CLIENT POPULATIONS OF ST. AUGUSTINE'S CENTER

Tribe	1967 Population (N=1027)	1968 Population (N=1051)
Unknown	1.1	0.1
Chippewa	31.9	29.7
Menominee	13.3	17.6
Dakota (Sioux)	12.0	9.0
Winnebago	8.2	5.9
Potawatomi	2.4	3.1
Oneida	3.1	4.1
Cherokee	1.2	1.2
Stockbridge	0.4	0.4
Ottawa	3.1	2.3
Navajo	2.0	2.1
Eskimo	1.2	1.3
Choctaw	2.0	2.6
Cheyenne	1.0	1.3
Other	13.2	13.5
White	3.4	5.1
Negro	0.1	0.1
Spanish	0.4	0.5

Improvements in data collection by Center staff members are indicated by the following comparative tables which show a reduction in the proportion of cases classified as "unknown" with the result being a clearer delineation of population mobility characteristics.

TABLE 6: COMPARISON OF THE NUMBER OF YEARLY ADDRESS CHANGES FOR THE 1967 AND 1968 ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER CLIENT POPULATIONS

Number of Changes of Address	1967 Population (N=1027)	1968 Population (N=1051)
Unknown	35.5	11.6
None	19.4	34.2
One	12.9	27.6
Two or three	14.9	15.7
Four or more	6.5	3.5
"Drifter"	11.2	7.3

TABLE 7: COMPARISON OF THE TYPES OF MOBILITY FOR THE 1967 AND 1968 ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER CLIENT POPULATIONS

Type of Mobility	1967 Population (N=1027)	1968 Population (N=1051)
Unknown	41.4	10.9
Not applicable (no moves)	*	34.0
Within city	29.0	11.9
Within uptown	15.4	25.5
From city to city	4.3	5.5
From city to reservation	3.4	3.0
From reservation to city	6.5	8.9
Combination of two preceeding categories	*	0.2

*Category not used in 1967

TABLE 8: COMPARISON OF THE REASONS FOR MOBILITY OF THE 1967 AND 1968 1968 ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER CLIENT POPULATIONS

Reasons for Moves	1967 Population	1968 Population
Unknown	69.2	23.8
Not applicable (no moves)	*	34.2
Employment	7.4	6.3
Family Affairs or difficulties	20.7	10.6
Visits	0.2	0.3
Education	0.8	0.3
Unsatisfactory living conditions/ urban renewal	*	5.0
Financial difficulty	*	12.8
"Drifter"	*	6.7
Other	1.8	0.0

*Category not used in 1967

The more complete 1968 data indicate that at least one-third of the Center's clients did not move during the year, that mobility within the Uptown area is greater than any other type of mobility, and that unsatisfactory living conditions and financial problems are perhaps as significant stimuli to mobility as are employment and family problems.

In many other respects the two populations were substantially similar, and for some population characteristics the data collected in 1968 were more complete and precise.

Indeed, the major conclusion emerging from a general comparison of the experience of two years is that rural-urban migration of Indian Americans to Chicago continues to precipitate severe economic, social and family problems requiring emergency assistance and sympathetic help with adaptation to urban living. The need for adequately funded and staffed urban Indian centers has been noted before. (See Richard G. Woods and Arthur M. Harkins, Indian Employment in Minneapolis, University of Minnesota Training Center for Community Programs, Minneapolis, April, 1968; League of Women Voters of Minneapolis with the assistance of the Training Center for Community Programs, University of Minnesota, Indians in Minneapolis, Minneapolis, April, 1968; and Richard G. Woods and Arthur M. Harkins, Indian Americans in Chicago, University of Minnesota Tranining Center for Community Programs, Minneapolis, November, 1968.) Without an adequate economic base for more than a century, Indian Americans are moving to such cities as Tucson, Phoenix, San Francisco, Los Angeles, San Diego, Denver, Wichita, Baltimore, Detroit, Tulsa, Oklahoma City, Portland, Seattle, Rapid City (South Dakota), Dallas, Milwaukee, St. Paul, Minneapolis and Chicago in accelerating numbers to improve their economic position and, oftem, to establish new urban Indian communities with friends and relatives. Frequently, they inherit the worst our cities have to offer in the way of living conditions, and expectations of economic and social improvement often are shattered by the realities of labor market exploitation and inability to cope with the pressures and demands of city life. Indian Americans have larger than average families, and so there are the basic needs of children to be met if permanent damage to some of this nation's future is to be prevented. In the case of 1968 St.Augustine's Center clients, almost 1.400 pre-school and in-school children were reported. Failure to achieve an adequate urban adaptation when combined with understandable Indian

suspicion and distrust of whites can mushroom into an extreme variant of alienation and protest reinforced by an essentially defensive and non-contributive Indian militancy, a press seeking signs of revolution, and a rural, reservation Indian life-style which inevitably conflicts with the requirements of a mass society. (See Arthur M. Harkins and Richard G. Woods, The Social Programs and Political Styles of Minneapolis Indians: An Interim Report, Training Center for Community Programs, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, December, 1969.) The accommodation of Indians to the cities may require structural changes such as a basic re-orientation of the Bureau of Indian Affairs, the provision of a minimum income, and effective re-design of urban Indian education, but it is clear that it will also require an adaptation on the part of Indians, and that adaptation will be facilitated by a situation of intercultural communication and understanding, such as Chicago's St.Augustine's Center can provide. The penetration of the Indian community accomplished by the Center is impressive. Primary adult recipients of services during 1968 reported a total of 2,956 people living in their homes. With current estimates of the total Chicago Indian population ranging from 10,000 to 16,000, the proportion of the population served directly or indirectly by the Center should fall between 18% and 30%.

1969 Service Highlights and Nature of the Current Operation

During 1969 the evidence of accelerated Indian migration to Chicago became even clearer. Some 1385 individuals were the primary recipients of Center services (other than youth programs) in 1969, contrasted with 1,051 in 1968 and 1,027 in 1967. As has been noted in Minneapolis (see Drilling, La Verne, Arthur M. Harkins, and Richard G. Woods, The Indian Relief Recipient in Minneapolis: An Exploratory Study, Minneapolis: Training Center for Community Programs, University of Minnesota, August, 1969) a growing urban Indian population can be expected to yield not only an increase in the absolute number of Indian people seeking crisis assistance, but also an increase in the number of Indians seeking emergency help in proportion to other groups. It is most likely that this can be traced to a kind of learning effect - as the urban Indian community fuses and establishes internal communications, the sources of help become better known as well as the probable success or failure in getting assistance from different agencies. Since one of its characteristics is extreme poverty, the Chicago Indian population could be expected to have a large percentage of indivi-

duals and families who need emergency assistance, and so the potential drain by Indian Americans upon resources allocated for such purposes is great. Future funding for St.Augustine's Center therefore is critical: rather than a stable or slowly-accelerating caseload requiring only moderate budgetary increases each year, a "snowballing" effect represented by the 25% increase in caseload during 1969 is likely to occur in the future, requiring more substantial commitments of funds until the migrant urban Indian population has peaked.

A summary of 1969 program information is provided in Table 9.

TABLE 9: DISTRIBUTION OF NUMBER OF PERSONS SERVED BY TYPE OF SERVICE FOR THE 1969 ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER POPULATION

Type of Service		Number of Persons Served	
Crisis and Short Term Counseling		963	
Individual Psychotherapy		41	
Intensive Counseling		381 (439)*	
Total Adult Primary Recipients			1,385
Youth Counseling:			
Tutoring Program	37		
Summer Recreational Program	76		
Total Youth Primary Recipients		113	
Total Primary Service Recipients			1,498
Short Term Assistance			1,248
Referrals:			
Job	85		
Medical	95		
Legal	30		
Miscellaneous	104		
			314
Scholarships			7

*58 cases received both crisis and short term counseling and intensive counseling. The number of cases receiving only intensive counseling on a continuous, long term basis were 381.

Ninety percent of these recipients were Indian. Of the remaining 170, 155 were Caucasian, five were Mexican-Americans, two were Puerto Ricans, five were blacks, and three were Orientals.

As the table indicates, group and individual tutoring was provided during the year to 37 Indian young people. These youngsters were described by Center personnel as deprived in terms of those conditions which would normally lead to learning success, and some of them were described as coming from disturbed, broken families where they had experienced abuses and neglect from parents and relatives.

A special summer recreational and cultural program during 1969 also was provided by the Center for 76 Indian young people. This program had as its objectives:

(1) to provide activities for Indian children not being reached by existing community services and programs,

(2) to provide an open center so that children could come in off the street and take advantage of the Center's facilities,

(3) to provide activities which would help make the children more conscious and proud of their Indian heritage, and

(4) to help the children become more aware of recreational opportunities in the city and to become more aware of the city outside of their immediate neighborhood.

Another endeavor concerning youth during 1969 was the allocation of $5,000 in scholarship funds to seven young people. These were funds specially solicited by the Center.

A $2,600 grant was made by the Center to the American Indian Leadership Council, Oglala, South Dakota, to assist in that council's training of indigenous leadership among the Sioux Indian people.

Professionals at the Center noted that drinking and severe emotional problems continued to appear as major factors impeding some persons from functioning at work or at home. Center staff members believed that many individuals and families who utilized individual psychotherapy were able to function better as a result of this specialized form of help, introduced at the Center in 1965.

About one-eighth of the total number of adult primary recipients received employment or medical assistance or referrals from the Center during 1969, and this was in spite of the removal of the Illinois State Employment Service outpost at the center. In some cases of illness, the

Center provided the money for medicine, and it was not unusual for clients in need of regular or continuous medical attention to work closely with Center caseworkers so that follow-up treatment could be pursued without delay or negligence.

In order to make it possible for Center clients to utilize the services and opportunities available to them outside St.Augustine's Center, staff members told clients and their families about homemaking classes and legal aid services available atother agencies; about social events at the American Indian Center; about the local well-baby clinic; about the night school classes available at the public schools; about the ways to use city hospital facilities and free TB mobil x-ray units; and about the available museums and libraries. As the urban Indian community served by St.Augustine's Center develops further, needs for community services will become more apparent, and the contribution to be made by Center staff members who can inform and refer Indian clients will be more significant.

The January 1, 1970 St.Augustine's Center staff consisted of the following positions:

Director: Full time Priest
Assistant Director and Groupwork Director- Full time
Assistant Priest and [Caseworker: Part-time]
Casework Supervisor: Part-time
Psychiatric Social Worker: Full time
Demographer: Full time
Research Assistant: Part time
Caseworkers (2) : Full time
Intake Caseworker: Full time
Intake worker Assistant: Full time
Intake worker Assistants (6): Part-time Volunteers
Secretaries (2): Full time
Custodian: Full time
Bookkeeper: Part-time
Accountant: Part-time Volunteer

A remarkable aspect of the Center's operation has been its contribution to the wider understanding of Indian life, both traditional and contemporary. Father Peter Powell, Director of the Center, is author of a new two-volume account of Cheyenne Indian history entitled Sweet Medicine and published by the University of Oklahoma Press in its Civilization of the American Indian Series. Gerard Littman, the Center's Psychiatric Social Worker, has completed an analysis of the problems of Indian alcoholism

and treatment titled "A Study of Alcoholism and Related Pathology Among American Indians in Transition" and scheduled to be published in the American Journal of Public Health. The Center's Research Assistant, John White, a Cherokee, has undertaken a study of Indian employment in Chicago, with particular emphasis upon day labor jobs and their effect upon new Indian arrivals in Chicago. Cooperative research is underway with the University of Chicago, the University of Illinois, and the University of Minnesota as well as with the Illinois State Department of Alcoholism. The usefulness of the Center's data collection and research activities will become more apparent as time passes. Crystallization of urban Indian adaptation patterns, shifting needs for social services, conflict with major dominant institutions and its resolution, educational achievement patterns, developing employment preferences, and changes in mobility and residency habits will become apparent as the Center's efforts to develop demographic information on its clients bear fruit. Systematic study of urban Indians in Chicago also will provide substantial equipment for Center staff members when they are asked to train or teach other agency personnel about the special adaptation problems of American Indians, and the usefulness of knowledge gained at the Center could in that way be extended far beyond the immediate range of the Center.

Summary and Conclusions

1. A detailed account of 1968 St. Augustine's Center client characteristics and service activities revealed a participant population which originated on a reservation, in an Indian community, or in a small town located principally in Wisconsin, Minnesota, South Dakota and Oklahoma. The Chippewa and the Menominee were the predominant tribes located in many states and some foreign countries. The clients were mostly under 35, were apt to be married or separated/single, and the marriage was likely to be intratribal or intertribal. The persons seen at the Center were mostly heads of households. The most prominent religious affiliation was Roman Catholic. More than 60% of the participants had not completed high school, and about one-fifth had terminated their formal education following completion of the tenth grade. An analysis of the employment status of Center clients revealed significant concentrations of clients as unemployed housewives, daily-paid unskilled laborers, other laborers, and factory or garage workers. Only 1.1% were known to be white-collar workers. The employment practices of day-labor agencies are said to contribute to the perpetuation of temporary employment arrangements and to general labor market exploitation for many Indian Americans. Participants tended not to report any health problems, but many clients reported problems associated with drinking. Almost half the 1968 Center clients had come to Chicago during the period 1965 to 1968. Most of them had relatives in Chicago. More than half had moved during 1968, and it was more common to report a move within the Uptown area or within the city than it was to cite a reservation-to-city or city-to-reservation move. Employment, family influences, financial problems, housing and neighborhood difficulties, and urban renewal were factors leading to mobility. Almost two-thirds of the men and women who appeared at the Center as clients during 1968 reported having children, and from one to four children were reported by almost one-half. Half of the clients reported pre-school or in-school children and the median number of those children was two. About one-fifth of the clients received personalized, intensive casework services at the Center during 1968. In about three-fourths of the cases the principal clients were the persons seen most often at the Center, rather than some other member of the family. Half of the clients received cash assistance from one to three times during 1968. About one-third of the clients received food assistance, and distribution of limited food supplies had to be made on a selective basis with those exhibiting the greatest need receiving the highest priority. Clothing assistance was received by three out of every ten clients. More than one-fourth of the 1968 clients received job, medical, legal or educational assistance from one to four times.

2. The "typical" male client was young and a Chippewa, Menominee or Sioux who was born on a reservation, in an Indian community, or in a small town in Wisconsin, Minnesota, or South Dakota. He was about equally likely to be single/separated or married and, if married, his wife was Indian. He tended to be the head of a single-member household with no pre-school or in-school children at home. A Roman Catholic or Protestant who had not completed high school, he seemed to have no health problems but did have a drinking problem. He had arrived in Chicago during the last eight years, had not been re-located by the BIA, and had relatives in Chicago. During 1968 he moved once, twice, or so often as to be classified as a "drifter."

He had moved within the city, within the Uptown area, from city to city, or from reservation to city during 1968. He had made these moves because of financial difficulties, employment reasons, family affairs or difficulties, unsatisfactory living conditions, unknown reasons, or simply because he was a "drifter." He was employed at daily paid labor, other unskilled labor, or factory or garage work. He had not received either casework or psychiatric casework at St. Augustine's Center. He had visited St. Augustine's Center once, twice, or three times during 1968, where he was the person from his family seen most often by staff members at the Center. He received one or two kinds of assistance at the Center during 1968, and it was likely to be cash assistance rather than food, clothing, job, medical, legal or educational assistance.

3. The "typical" female client was young and a Chippewa, Menominee or Sioux having from one-fourth to full Indian blood quantum, who was born on a reservation, in an Indian community, or in a small town in Minnesota, Wisconsin, or South Dakota. She was more likely to be married than separated, and her spouse was likely to be Indian. She was about as likely to be head of a household as not with from one to five persons living at home including from one to four pre-school or in-school children. A Roman Catholic or Protestant who had not completed high school, she did not reveal health problems, but was equally likely to report a drinking problem as not to report one. She had arrived in Chicago during the last twelve years, had not been re-located by the BIA, and had relatives in Chicago. During 1968 she had moved once, twice, or three times, and these moves had been within the city, within the Uptown area, from city to reservation or Indian community, or from reservation to city. She had made these moves because of family affairs or difficulties, financial difficulties, unsatisfactory living conditions, employment reasons, or unknown reasons. She received income from daily paid labor, factory or garage work, and ADC payments, or she was an unemployed housewife. She had visited the Center during 1968 from one to three times, when she was the person seen most often by staff members at the Center. She received one, two, or three kinds of assistance from the Center during the year, and it was likely to be cash assistance rather than food, clothing, job, medical, legal or educational assistance.

4. While male and female clients were quite similar in many respects, there seemed to be a basic difference in orientation. Compared to the males, the females were much less likely to be single and were more likely to be married or separated. The relatively large proportions of single and functionally single males meant that family responsibilities more often belonged to the females. Three times as many female clients as males received intensive casework at the Center, and the counseling for females often included other members of the family. It seemed to be somewhat easier for females to utilize casework services; males were about as likely to receive cash assistance at the Center as females, while the women were more apt to receive food, clothing and other kinds of help.

5. The "typical" non high school graduate was a young man or woman who was Chippewa, Sioux or Menominee with one-fourth to full Indian blood quantum and who was born on a reservation, in an Indian community, or in a small town in Wisconsin, Minnesota, or South Dakota. He or she was more likely to be married than separated or single and was apt to have married an Indian. He or she was the head of a household having one to five persons living at home including from one to ten pre-school or in-school children. A Roman Catholic or Protestant, this person revealed no health problems, but was more likely to report having a drinking problem than not. He or she had arrived in Chicago during the last twelve years, was not re-located by the BIA, and had relatives in Chicago. During 1968 this person had moved once, twice, or three times or more, and those moves had been within the city, within the Uptown area, from city to city, from city to reservation or Indian community or from reservation to city. He or she had moved because of employment, family affairs or difficulties, unsatisfactory living conditions, financial difficulties, unknown reasons, or because of habitual mobility as a "drifter." He or she received income from daily paid labor, unskilled labor, factory or garage work, or ADC payments. This person had visited the Center during 1968 once or twice, when he or she was the person most often seen by staff members at the Center. One, two or three kinds of assistance were received by this person at the Center during 1968, and it was likely to be cash assistance rather than food, clothing, job, medical, legal or educational assistance.

6. The "typical" high school graduate was a young man or woman who was Chippewa, Sioux, Menominee, or Winnebago and who was born on a reservation, in an Indian community, or in a small town in Wisconsin, Minnesota, South Dakota, Oklahoma, or Arizona. This person was more likely to be married than separated, and was likely to have married an Indian person. He or she was the head of a household with from one to five persons living at home including pre-school or in-school children. A Roman Catholic or Protestant, this person reported no health problems, but was more likely to report a drinking problem than not. He or she had arrived in Chicago during the last twelve years, was not relocated by the BIA, and had relatives in Chicago. During 1968 this person had moved once, twice, or so many times as to be identified as a "drifter," and those moves had been within the city, within the Uptown area, from city to city, from city to reservation or Indian community, or from reservation to city. He or she had moved because of unknown reasons, family affairs or difficulties, unsatisfactory living conditions, or was simply a "drifter." This person received income from daily paid labor, factory or garage work, other unskilled labor, or was an unemployed housewife. He or she had visited the Center during the year from one to three times, when he or she was the person seen most often by staff members at the Center. One, two or three kinds of assistance were received by this person at the Center during 1968, and it was likely to be cash assistance rather than food, clothing, job, medical, legal or educational assistance.

7. When compared, the characteristics of high school graduates and non high school graduates were remarkably similar. High school graduates were somewhat more likely to be Sioux and from "other" (unspecified) tribal

groups and less likely to be Chippewa and Menominee than were the non high school graduates. High school graduates were less likely to have been born in Wisconsin and Minnesota and were more likely to have originated in South Dakota, North Dakota, Arizona and Oklahoma than were the non high school graduates. Compared with non high school graduates, high school graduates were more likely to have intertribal instead of intratribal marriages. High school graduates showed a stronger tendency to have married other high school graduates than did non high school graduates. Slightly more non high school graduates were married to non high school graduates than was the case for high school graduates. There was a stronger tendency for the non high school graduates to have relatives in Chicago than was true for the high school graduates. No substantial differences occurred in terms of occupation for the two groups. The data for 1968 suggest that educational attainment makes very little difference in the life styles of most of the Indian people who come to the Center.

8. When compared, the 1967 and 1968 client populations were quite similar; for some population characteristics the data collected in 1968 were more complete and precise. The Center served approximately the same number of persons in each of the two years, but the 1968 population contained a slightly higher proportion of females and a slightly lower proportion of males. The steady nature of urban migration during 1967 and 1968, limitations upon the availability of funds for such purposes as emergency cash assistance, and high population mobility are three factors which may have served to induce only a slight increase in the number of clients served. Minor shifts in the tribal composition of the two consecutive populations were observed, and the 1968 data made it clear that at least one-third of the Center's clients did not move during the year, that mobility within the Uptown area is greater than any other type of mobility, and that unsatisfactory living conditions and financial problems are perhaps as significant stimuli to mobility as are employment and family problems.

9. The major conclusion emerging from a general comparison of the experience of two years is that the rural-urban migration of Indian Americans in Chicago continues to precipitate severe economic, social and family problems requiring emergency assistance and sympathetic help with adaptation to urban living.

10. Preliminary data for 1969 show an increase of approximately 25% in the Center's caseload reflecting, perhaps, growth in the urban Indian population and the effects of learning on the part of Chicago Indians about the Center's services. Because there are many Indian children involved in and because the needs satisfied by the Center are so very fundamental, improved funding is suggested. Also suggested is a more secure and rewarding attachment to the city's economy by Indian clients of the Center through the labor market. Vigorous new approaches to labor market adaptation could be undertaken by Center personnel and unemployed Indian clients. These might include mastery of the critical aspects of getting and holding a job as well as learning ways to get the most value from limited incomes through consumer

education. The entire matter of value conflict and its resolution could be raised with Indian clients, perhaps in a group, within the framework of alternative adaptation patterns as they are utilized by Indians themselves.

11. Availability and utilization of community resources could be improved if Center staff members were to train or teach other Chicago agency personnel about the specific adaptation problems of Indian Americans, utilizing both experience and research information produced by the Center.

REFERENCES

[1]Woods, Richard G. and Arthur M. Harkins. Indian Americans in Chicago. Minneapolis: Training Center for Community Programs, University of Minnesota. November, 1968.

[2]Ibid., p. 7.

[3]Ibid.

[4]Ibid., p. 8.

[5]Ibid.

[6]Ibid., pp. 9-10/

[7]Ibid.,p. 8.

[8]Ibid., pp. 11-12.

[9]Ibid., p. 13.

[10]Ibid., p. 15-19.

APPENDIX

1968 MALES AND FEMALES COMBINED

1968 MALES ONLY

1968 FEMALES ONLY

1968 EDUCATION UNKNOWN

1968 NON HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES

1968 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES

1967-1968 COMPARISON: MALES AND FEMALES COMBINED

1967-1968 COMPARISON: MALES ONLY

1967-1968 COMPARISON: FEMALES ONLY

1967-1968 COMPARISON: EDUCATION UNKNOWN

1967-1968 COMPARISON: NON HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES

1967-1968 COMPARISON: HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES

ST. AUGUSTINE'S CENTER INDIAN DATA - 1968

CHICAGO INDIAN DATA: Males and Females Combined
(N = 1051)

SEX	N	%
NA	2	.2
Male	564	53.7
Female	485	46.1
	1051	100.0%

AGE		N	%
Unknown		9	.9
14 and under	1955	0	.0
15-19	1954-1950	40	3.8
20-24	1949-1945	180	17.1
25-29	1944-1940	230	21.9
30-34	1939-1935	185	17.6
35-39	1934-1930	129	12.3
40-44	1929-1925	108	10.3
45-49	1924-1920	70	6.7
50-54	1919-1915	48	4.6
55-59	1914-1910	29	2.8
60-64	1909-1905	13	1.2
65 and over	1904	10	.9
		1051	100.1%

TRIBE		
Chippewa	312	29.7
Menominee	185	17.6
Dakota-Sioux	95	9.0
Winnebago	62	5.9
Potowatomi	33	3.1
Oneida	43	4.1
Cherokee	13	1.2
Stockbridge	4	.4
Ottowa	24	2.3
Navajo	22	2.1
Eskimo	14	1.3
Choctaw	27	2.6
Cheyenne	14	1.3
Seneca	0	.0
Other	142	13.5
White	54	5.1
Negro	1	.1
Spanish	5	.5
Unknown	1	.1
	1051	99.9%

PLACE (STATE) OF BIRTH					
Unknown	26	2.5	Arizona	38	3.6
Wisconsin	490	46.6	Utah	2	.2
Minnesota	89	8.5	New Mexico	8	.8
South Dakota	73	6.9	Oklahoma	53	5.0
North Dakota	35	3.3	Nebraska	28	2.7
Michigan	40	3.8	Montana	12	1.1
Illinois	22	2.1	Nevada	3	.3
Kansas	5	.5	Mississippi	19	1.8

Males and Females Combined

PLACE OF BIRTH-cont'd	N	%
Texas	2	.2
California	4	.4
Washington	1	.1
Iowa	9	.8
New York	4	.4
North Carolina	0	.0
South Carolina	0	.0
Florida	2	.2
Alaska	20	1.9
Idaho	0	.0
Wyoming	3	.3
Colorado	1	.1
Ohio	0	.0
Oregon	2	.2
Kentucky	2	.2
Tennessee	4	.4
Indiana	2	.2
Puerto Rico	1	.1
West Virginia	4	.4
Mexico, South America	4	.4
Canada	33	3.1
Missouri	2	.2
Arkansas	2	.2
Rhode Island	1	.1
Massachusetts	1	.1
Others	4	.4
	1051	100.1%

TYPE OF BIRTH PLACE		
Unknown	72	6.9
Reservation (at the time of birth)	582	55.4
Indian Community	209	19.9
Urban area (small town)	117	11.1
Big city (population over 100,00)	71	6.7
	1051	100.0%

MARITAL STATUS (CURRENT)		
Unknown	1	.1
Single	191	18.2
Married	493	46.9
Separated	215	20.5
Divorced	77	7.3
Widowed	33	3.1
Unmarried Mothers	41	3.9
	1051	100.0%

TYPE OF MARRIAGE		
Unknown	51	4.8
Not applicable-not married	274	26.1
Intertribal	246	23.4
Intratribal	317	30.2
Interracial	163	15.5
	1051	100.0%

RELIGION		
Unknown	86	8.2
No religious preference	73	6.9
Roman Catholic	517	49.2
Protestant	277	26.4
Episcopal	84	8.0
Orthodox	5	.5
Native American Church	4	.4
Tribal	3	.3
Other	2	.2
	1051	100.1%

HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD		
Unknown	28	2.6
Yes	786	74.8
No	237	22.6
	1051	100.0%

Males and Females Combined

EDUCATION (INTERVIEWEE)	N	%
Unknown	102	9.7
0-5 years	30	2.8
6-8 years	168	16.0
9 years	101	9.6
10 years	208	19.8
11 years	150	14.3
12 years	241	22.9
13 years and over	37	3.5
Vocational training	14	1.3
	1051	99.9%

EDUCATION (SPOUSE)	N	%
Unknown	130	12.4
0-8 years	104	9.9
9 years	68	6.5
10 years	109	10.4
11 years	70	6.7
12 years	144	13.7
13 years or more, but no degree	34	3.2
Vocational training	12	1.1
Not applicable- no spouse	380	36.2
	1051	100.1%

PLACE OF EDUCATION		
Unknown	625	59.5
Reservation day school-Indian	159	15.1
Reservation residential school-Indian	62	5.9
Off reservation day school-integrated	95	9.0
Off reservation residential school-integr.	6	.6
Urban School	73	6.9
Reservation day school-integrated	31	3.0
	1051	100.0%

CHILDHOOD HOME		
Unknown	694	66.0
Raised by both parents	187	17.8
Raised by one parent	60	5.7
Raised by one parent and step parent	23	2.2
Raised by relatives	26	2.5
Raised in foster home	5	.5
Raised in institution	2	.2
Raised in combination of above	54	5.1
	1051	100.0%

MOBILITY		
Unknown	122	11.6
0	360	34.2
1	290	27.6
2	113	10.8
3	52	4.9
4	15	1.4
5	9	.9
6 and over	13	1.2
Drifter	77	7.3
	1051	99.9%

TYPE OF MOBILITY		
Unknown	115	10.9
Not applicable- no moves	357	34.0
Within city	125	11.9
Within uptown	268	25.5
From city to city	58	5.5
From city to reserv. or Ind. community	32	3.0
From reservation to city	94	8.9
Combination of 6 and 7	2	.2
	1051	99.9%

Males and Females Combined

REASON FOR MOVES	N	%
Unknown	250	23.8
Not applicable-no moves	360	34.2
Employment	66	6.3
Family affairs or difficulties	111	10.6
Visits	3	.3
Education	3	.3
Unsat. living cond.-urban renewal	53	5.0
Financial difficulties-nonpayment of rent, rent too high, eviction, and other reasons such as pathology, family pensions, etc.	135	12.8
Drifter	70	6.7
	1051	100.0%

DATE OF ARRIVAL IN CHICAGO	N	%
Unknown	25	2.4
Before 1953	78	7.4
1953-56	76	7.2
1957-60	141	13.4
1961-64	245	23.3
1965-66	163	15.5
1967	123	11.7
1968	187	17.8
Native-born in chicago	13	1.2
	1051	99.9%

RELATIVE IN CHICAGO		
Unknown	74	7.0
Yes	687	65.4
No	290	27.6
	1051	100.0%

INDIAN BLOOD		
Unknown to us	500	47.5
Unknown to client	7	.7
Less than 1/4	5	.5
1/4 and less than 1/2	52	4.9
1/2 and less than 3/4	137	13.0
3/4-full	292	27.8
Non-Indian	58	5.5
	1051	99.9%

TRADITIONALISM		
Unknown	596	56.7
Speaks Indian language	256	24.4
Does not speak Indian but parents do along with English	83	7.9
Does not speak Indian but parents do-no English	2	.2
No, neither do parents	40	3.8
Understands but does not speak	15	1.4
Non-Indian	59	5.6
	1051	100.0%

HEALTH		
Unknown	251	23.9
No problems	606	57.7
Accident-this year	32	3.0
Diabetes-any year	23	2.2
Tuberculosis-any year	35	3.3
Drug misuse	6	.6
Suicidal attempts	2	.2
Physically disabled	14	1.3
Other	64	6.1

Hospitalization for mental illnes-any year	9	.9
Hospitalization for alcoholism-any year	9	.9
	1051	100.1%

Males and Females Combined

DRINKING PROBLEMS	N	%
Unknown	389	37.0
Yes	417	39.7
No, no drinking problems	198	18.8
No, but other in family have	47	4.5
	1051	100.0%

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION		
Unknown	80	7.6
Daily pay	82	7.8
Laborer-no special skill	40	3.8
Factory or garage	98	9.3
Construction	21	2.0
Landscaping	18	1.7
Decorating	6	.6
Trucking	8	.8
Clerical	7	..7
Misc. services, crafts, hospital work/army, air force	25	2.4
Housework and child care	15	1.4
Professional	0	.0
Student	3	.3
ADC	19	1.8
OAA, social security, welfare or pension	11	1.0
Housewife, not employed outside home	143	13.6
Unemployed	20	1.9
Not applicable-no spouse	455	43.3
	1051	100.0%

INTENSIVE CASEWORK		
Unknown	5	.5
Yes	198	18.8
No	848	80.7
	1051	100.0%

EMPLOYMENT STATUS	N	%
Unknown	44	4.2
Daily pay	228	21.7
Laborer-no special skill	107	10.2
Factory or garage	180	17.1
Construction	35	3.3
Landscaping	34	3.2
Decorating	12	1.1
Trucking	16	1.5
Clerical	12	1.1
Misc. services, crafts, hospital work/army, air force	52	5.0
Housework and child care	25	2.4
Professional	0	.0
Student	10	.9
ADC	70	6.7
OAA, social security, welfare or pension	42	4.0
Housewife, not employed outside the home	142	13.5
Unemployed	41	3.9
	1051	99.9%

AVERAGE ANNUAL INCOME		
Unknown	605	57.6
Under $3,000	129	12.3
Over $3,000 and under $5,000	144	13.7
Over $5,000	77	7.3
Welfare, social security or pension/unemployed	87	8.3
Not applicable-children	7	.7
Job training-vocational training	2	.2
	1051	100.1%

PSYCHIATRIC CASEWORK		
Unknown	5	.5
Yes	53	5.0
No	993	94.5
	1051	100.0%

Males and Females Combined

VISITS OF INTERVIEWEE	N	%
None	155	14.7
1	315	30.0
2	206	19.6
3	95	9.0
4	56	5.3
5-9	116	11.0
10-14	49	4.7
15+	58	5.5
Unknown	1	.1
	1051	99.9%

RELOCATED BY BIA		
Unknown	42	3.9
Yes	166	15.8
No	843	80.2
	1051	99.9%

CASH ASSISTANCE		
Unknown	8	.8
None	391	37.2
1 time	324	30.8
2 times	163	15.5
3 times	58	5.5
4 times	34	3.2
5-9 times	55	5.2
10-14 times	10	1.0
15+	8	.8
	1051	100.0%

CLOTHING ASSISTANCE		
Unknown	8	.8
None	732	69.6
1	189	18.0
2	56	5.3
3	21	2.0
4	10	1.0
5-9	21	2.0
10-14	5	.5
15+	9	.9
	1051	100.1%

TOTAL VISITS OF ALL MEMBERS OF FAMILY	N	%
Not applicable-no other members of family	403	38.3
1	154	14.6
2	135	12.8
3	66	6.3
4	57	5.4
5-9	106	10.1
10-14	29	2.8
15+	82	7.8
None	19	1.8
	1051	99.9%

CASE IDENTIFICATION		
Person seen most	724	74.6
Person seen least	113	10.7
Person not seen	148	14.1
Child in family seen	6	.6
	1051	100.0%

FOOD ASSISTANCE		
Unknown	6	.6
None	707	67.3
1	210	20.0
2	55	5.2
3	25	2.4
4	14	1.3
5-9	25	2.4
10-14	3	.3
15+	6	.6
	1051	100.1%

OTHER ASSISTANCE-JOB, MEDICAL, LEGAL, EDUCATIONAL		
Unknown	14	1.3
None	747	71.1
1	208	19.8
2	62	5.9
3	14	1.3
4	4	.4
5-9	2	.2
10-14	0	.0
15+	0	.0
	1051	100.0%

Males and Females Combined

KINDS OF ASSISTANCE	N	%
None	175	16.7
One kind	400	38.0
Two kinds	209	19.9
Three kinds	150	14.3
Four kinds	64	6.1
Five kinds	28	2.7
Six kinds	15	2.7
Seven kinds	10	1.0
	1051	100.1%

COURT HEARINGS THIS YEAR IN FAMILY	N	%
Unknown	453	43.1
None	288	27.4
Truancy	6	.6
Dependency of children	28	2.7
Runaway	14	1.3
Curfew	2	.2
Other	27	2.6
Not applicable	233	22.2
	1051	100.1%

COURT HEARING OF THIS PERSON		
Unknown	606	57.7
None	348	33.1
Drunk-disorderly	55	5.2
Robbery	11	1.0
Assault	1	.1
Other	26	2.5
Runaway	4	.4
	1051	100.0%

PREMATURITY IN FAMILY		
Unknown	588	55.9
None	205	19.5
No children	229	21.8
1	18	1.7
2	7	.7
3	0	.0
4	2	.2
5	2	.2
6	0	.0
	1051	100.0%

TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN OF WOMAN		
Unknown	23	2.1
Single person	79	7.5
Male card	499	47.5
Married but no children	32	3.0
1	98	3.0
2	92	8.7
3	82	7.8
4	42	4.0
5	29	2.7
6	32	3.0
7	18	1.7
8	12	1.1
9	4	.4
10	6	.6
11	3	.6
	1051	100.0%

TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN WITH PRESENT WIFE		
Unknown	23	2.1
Single	188	17.9
Functionally single person-probably has had children not known to the agency	98	9.3
Married but no children	42	4.0
Woman-children recorded in adjacent question	451	42.9
1	70	6.7
2	63	6.0
3	49	4.7
4	17	1.6
5	21	2.0
6	15	1.4
7	6	.6
8	4	.4
9	2	.2
10	1	.1
11	1	.1
	1051	100.0%

Males and Females Combined

CHILDREN LIVING IN HOME-PRESCHOOL OR IN SCHOOL	N	%
Unknown	26	2.5
Not applicable-no children	498	47.4
1	164	15.6
2	154	14.6
3	93	8.8
4	43	4.1
5	26	2.5
6	26	2.5
7	9	.9
8	5	.5
9	5	.5
10	2	.2
11	0	.0
	1051	100.1%

NUMBER OF PEOPLE IN HOME		
Unknown	4	.4
1	433	41.2
2	111	10.6
3	157	14.9
4	140	13.3
5	90	8.5
6	41	3.9
7	26	2.5
8 or more	49	4.7
	1051	100.0%

LOCATION OF SECOND OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME		
Unknown	14	1.3
No children	543	51.7
No children outside school	334	31.8
At school-grown, independent-army	75	7.1
Court custody-institution	3	.3
Court custody-foster home	28	2.7
Foster home-no court custody	5	.5
With relatives	46	4.4
Adoption	3	.3
	1051	100.1%

CHILDREN LIVING IN HOME-NOT IN SCHOOL	N	%
Unknown	41	3.9
Not applicable	517	49.2
All children in school or preschool	421	40.1
1	51	4.8
2	15	1.4
3	1	.1
4	2	.2
5	0	.0
6	0	.0
None	3	.3
	1051	100.0%

CHILDREN LIVING OUTSIDE OF HOME		
Unknown	24	2.3
Not applicable-no children	519	49.4
1	83	7.9
2	53	5.0
3	34	3.2
4	18	1.7
5	23	2.2
6+	27	2.6
None	270	25.7
	1051	100.0%

LOCATION OF OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME		
Unknown	23	2.2
No children	407	38.7
No children outside home	395	37.6
At school-grown, independent-army	108	10.3
Court custody-institution	7	.7
Court custody-foster home	22	2.1
Foster home-no court custody	9	.9
With relatives	76	7.2
Adoption	4	.4
	1051	100.1%

Males and Females Combined

LOCATION OF THIRD OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME	N	%
Unknown	16	1.5
No children	670	63.7
No children outside home	255	24.3
At school-grown, independent-army	52	5.0
Court custody-institution	3	.3
Court custody-foster home	20	1.9
Foster home-no court custody	5	.5
With relatives	26	2.5
Adoption	4	.4
	1051	100.1%

LOCATION OF FOURTH OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME	N	%
Unknown	9	.9
No children	783	74.5
No children outside home	177	16.8
At school-grown, independent-army	36	3.4
Court custody-institution	0	.0
Court custody-foster home	21	2.0
Foster home-no court custody	5	.5
With relatives	19	1.8
Adoption	1	.1
	1051	100.0%

LOCATION OF FIFTH OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME		
Unknown	8	.8
No children	830	79.0
No children outside home	150	14.3
At school-grown, independent-army	28	2.7
Court custody-institution	0	.0
Court custody-foster home	13	1.2
Foster home-no court custody	6	.6
With relatives	14	1.3
Adoption	2	.2
	1051	100.1%

LOCATION OF SIXTH OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME		
Unknown	9	.9
No children	858	81.6
No children outside home	140	13.3
At school-grown, independent-army	19	1.8
Court custody-institution	7	.7
Court custody-foster home	0	.0
Foster home-no court custody	5	.5
With relatives	11	1.0
Adoption	2	.2
	1051	100.0%

AGE OF OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	34	3.2
Not applicable-no children	403	38.3
In home		
0-4	197	18.7
5-9	122	11.6
10-14	80	7.6
15 and over	38	3.6
Outside home		
0-4	23	2.2
5-14	51	4.9
15 and over	103	9.8
	1051	99.9%

Males and Females Combined

AGE OF SECOND OLDEST CHILD	N	%
Unknown	36	3.4
Not applicable-no children	549	52.2
In home		
0-4	161	15.3
5-9	108	10.3
10-14	44	4.2
15 and over	28	2.7
Outside home		
0-4	20	1.9
5-14	35	3.3
15 and over	70	6.7
	1051	100.0%

AGE OF THIRD OLDEST CHILD	N	%
Unknown	27	2.6
Not applicable-no children	705	67.1
In home		
0-4	107	10.2
5-9	70	6.7
10-14	31	2.9
15 and over	17	1.6
Outside home		
0-4	17	1.6
5-14	30	2.9
15 and over	47	4.5
	1051	100.1%

AGE OF FOURTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	18	1.7
Not applicable-no children	830	79.0
In home		
0-4	58	5.5
5-9	53	5.0
10-14	20	1.9
15 and over	9	.9
Outside home		
0-4	12	1.1
5-14	20	1.9
15 and over	31	2.9
	1051	99.9%

AGE OF FIFTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	17	1.6
Not applicable-no children	893	85.0
In home		
0-4	43	4.1
5-9	25	2.4
10-14	18	1.7
15 and over	6	.6
Outside home		
0-4	9	.9
5-14	14	1.3
15 and over	26	2.5
	1051	100.1%

AGE OF SIXTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	14	1.3
Not applicable-no children	940	89.4
In home		
0-4	45	4.3
5-9	15	1.4
10-14	11	1.0
15 and over	5	.5
Outside home		
0-4	3	.3
5-14	5	.5
15 and over	13	1.2
	1051	99.9%

AGE OF SEVENTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	10	1.0
Not applicable-no children	990	94.1
In home		
0-4	19	1.8
5-9	10	1.0
10-14	3	.3
15 and over	4	.4
Outside home		
0-4	1	.1
5-14	8	.8
15 and over	6	.6
	1051	100.1%

Males and Females Combined

AGE OF EIGHTH OLDEST CHILD	N	%
Unknown	9	.9
Not applicable-no children	1013	96.4
In home		
0-4	11	1.0
5-9	13	1.2
10-14	1	.1
15 and over	1	.1
Outside home		
0-4	1	.1
5-14	1	.1
15 and over	1	.1
	1051	100.0%

AGE OF NINTH OLDEST CHILD	N	%
Unknown	9	.9
Not applicable-no children	1027	97.7
In home		
0-4	11	1.0
5-9	2	.2
10-14	0	.0
15 and over	1	.1
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	1	.1
15 and over	0	.0
	1051	100.0%

AGE OF TENTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	10	1.0
Not applicable-no children	1030	98.0
In home		
0-4	8	.8
5-9	2	.2
10-14	0	.0
15 and over	1	.1
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	0	.0
15 and over	0	.0
	1051	100.1%

AGE OF ELEVENTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	10	1.0
Not applicable-no children	1036	98.6
In home		
0-4	4	.4
5-9	1	.1
10-14	0	.0
15 and over	0	.0
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	0	.0
15 and over	0	.0
	1051	100.1%

ST. AUGUSTINE'S CENTER INDIAN DATA: Males Only
(N = 564)
1968

SEX	N	%
Male	564	100.0
Female	0	.0
	564	100.0%

TRIBE	N	%
Chippewa	162	28.7
Menominee	88	15.6
Dakota-Sioux	60	10.6
Winnebago	39	6.9
Potowatomi	9	1.6
Oneida	16	2.8
Cherokee	10	1.8
Stockbridge	0	.0
Ottawa	14	2.5
Navajo	14	2.5
Eskimo	10	1.8
Choctaw	11	1.9
Cheyenne	12	2.1
Seneca	0	.0
Other	85	15.1
White	28	5.0
Negro	1	.2
Spanish	5	.9
Unknown	0	.0
	564	100.0%

AGE		N	%
Unknown		4	.7
14 and under	1955	0	.0
15-16	1954-50	7	1.2
20-24	1949-45	73	12.9
25-29	1944-40	118	20.9
30-34	1939-35	113	20.0
35-39	1934-30	77	13.7
40-44	1929-25	64	11.4
45-49	1924-20	42	7.4
50-54	1919-15	33	5.9
55-59	1914-10	16	2.8
60-64	1909-05	8	1.4
65 and over	1904	9	1.6
		564	99.9%

PLACE (STATE) OF BIRTH	N	%
Unknown	14	2.5
Wisconsin	224	39.7
Minnesota	51	9.0
South Dakota	46	8.1
North Dakota	17	3.0
Michigan	27	4.8
Illinois	12	2.1
Kansas	0	.0
Arizona	28	4.9
Utah	2	.4
New Mexico	4	.7
Oklahoma	34	6.0
Nebraska	17	3.0
Montana	8	1.4
Nebraska	1	.2
Mississippi	7	1.2
Texas	2	.4
California	2	.4
Washington	0	.0
Iowa	7	1.2
New York	1	.2
North Carolina	0	.0
South Carolina	0	.0
Florida	1	.2
Alaska	15	2.7
Idaho	0	.0
Wyoming	0	.0
Colorado	1	.2
Ohio	0	.0
Oregon	1	.2

Males Only

PLACE OF BIRTH-cont'd.	N	%
Kentucky	2	.4
Tennessee	3	.5
Indiana	0	.0
Puerto Rico	1	.2
West Virginia	3	.5
Mexico, South America	3	.5
Canada	23	4.1
Missouri	2	.4
Arkansas	1	.2
Rhode Island	0	.0
Massachusetts	1	.2
Others	3	.5
	564	100.0%

MARITAL STATUS (TYPE)		
Unknown	34	6.0
Not applicable-not married	181	32.1
Intertribal	116	20.6
Intratribal	160	28.4
Interracial	73	12.9
	564	100.0%

RELIGION		
Unknown	52	9.2
No religious preference	36	6.4
Roman Catholic	271	48.1
Protestant	152	26.9
Episcopal	46	8.2
Orthodox	2	.4
Native American church	3	.5
Tribal	1	.2
Other religious preference	1	.2
	564	100.1%

TYPE OF BIRTH PLACE	N	%
Unknown	43	7.6
Reservation (at the time of birth)	306	54.3
Indian community	102	18.1
Urban area (small town)	71	12.6
Big city (population over 100,000)	42	7.5
	564	100.0%

MARITAL STATUS (CURRENT)		
Unknown	0	.0
Single	145	25.7
Married	244	43.3
Separated	103	18.3
Divorced	55	9.8
Widowed	17	3.0
Unmarried mothers	0	.0
	564	100.1%

HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD		
Unknown	7	1.2
Yes	549	97.3
No	8	1.4
	564	99.9%

EDUCATION (INTERVIEWEE)		
Unknown	60	10.6
0-5 years	19	3.4
6-8 years	92	16.3
9 years	44	7.8
10 years	103	18.3
11 years	78	13.8
12 years	134	23.8
13 years and over	24	4.3
Vocational training	10	1.8
	564	100.1%

Males Only

EDUCATION (SPOUSE)	N	%
Unknown	64	11.3
0-8 years	52	9.2
9 years	34	6.0
10 years	49	8.7
11 years	36	6.4
12 years	75	13.3
13 years or more, but no degree	14	2.5
Vocational training	3	.5
Not applicable-no spouse	237	42.0
	564	99.9%

PLACE OF EDUCATION	N	%
Unknown	372	65.9
Reservation day school-Indian	70	12.4
Reservation residential school-Indian	27	4.8
Off reservation day school-integrated	38	6.7
Off reservation resid. school-integrated	4	.7
Urban school	37	6.6
Reservation day school-integrated	16	2.8
	564	99.9%

CHILDHOOD HOME		
Unknown	409	72.5
Raised by both parents	98	17.4
Raised by one parent	21	3.7
Raised by one parent and one step	8	1.4
Raised by relatives	8	1.4
Raised in foster home	2	.4
Raised in institution	1	.2
Raised in combination of above	17	3.0
	564	100.0%

MOBILITY		
Unknown	64	11.4
0	192	34.0
1	137	24.3
2	61	10.8
3	23	4.1
4	5	.9
5	4	.7
6 and over	5	.9
Drifter	73	12.9
	564	100.0%

REASON FOR MOVES		
Unknown	140	24.8
Not applicable-no moves	194	34.4
Employment	44	7.8
Family affairs or difficulties	34	6.0
Visits	0	.0
Education	1	.2
Unsatisfactory living condition/urban renewal	21	3.7
Financial difficulty	63	11.2
Drifter	67	11.9
	564	100.0%

TYPE OF MOBILITY		
Unknown	62	11.0
Not applicable-no moves	192	34.0
Within city	81	14.4
Within uptown	132	23.4
From city to city	39	6.9
From city to reservation or Indian community	6	1.1
From reservation to city	51	9.0
Combination of 6 and 7	1	.2
	564	100.0%

Males Only

ARRIVAL IN CHICAGO	N	%
Unknown	9	1.6
Before 1953	45	8.0
1953-56	31	5.5
1957-60	70	12.4
1961-64	135	23.9
1965-66	94	16.7
1967	70	12.4
1968	103	18.3
Native-born in Chicago	7	1.2
	564	100.0%

TRADITIONALISM		
Unknown	339	60.1
Speaks Indian language	154	27.3
Does not speak Indian but parents do along with English	24	4.3
Does not speak Indian but parents do- no English	0	.0
No, neither do parents	8	1.4
Understands but does not speak	6	1.0
Non-Indian	33	5.8
	564	99.9%

DRINKING PROBLEMS		
Unknown	198	35.1
Yes	292	51.8
No, no drinking problems	71	12.6
No, but others in family have	3	.5
	564	100.0%

RELATIVE IN CHICAGO	N	%
Unknown	42	7.4
Yes	345	61.2
No	177	31.4
	564	100.0%

INDIAN BLOOD		
Unknown to us	292	51.8
Unknown to client	2	.4
Less than 1/4	2	.4
1/4 and less than 1/2	17	3.0
1/2 and less than 3/4	53	9.4
3/4 to full	165	29.3
Non-Indian	33	5.8
	564	100.1%

HEALTH		
Unknown	146	25.9
No problems	305	54.1
Accident-this year	23	4.1
Diabetes-any year	13	2.3
Tuberculosis-any year	21	3.7
Hospitalization for mental illness-any year	4	.7
Hospitalization for alcoholism-any year	6	1.1
Drug misuse	5	.9
Suicidal attempts	1	.2
Physically disabled	10	1.8
Other	30	5.3
	564	100.1%

Males Only

EMPLOYMENT STATUS	N	%
Unknown	12	2.1
Daily pay	147	26.1
Laborer-no special skill	97	17.2
Factory or garage	119	21.1
Construction	34	6.0
Landscaping	34	6.0
Decorating	10	1.8
Trucking	15	2.7
Clerical	4	.7
Misc. services, crafts and hospital work/ army, air force	32	5.7
Housework and child care	2	.4
Professional	0	.0
Student	5	.9
ADC	7	1.2
OAA, social security, welfare or pension	22	3.9
Housewife, not employed outside the home	2	.4
Unemployed	22	3.9
	564	100.1%

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	N	%
Unknown	43	7.6
Daily pay	32	5.7
Laborer-no special skill	3	.5
Factory or garage	19	3.4
Construction	2	.4
Landscaping	1	.2
Decorating	0	.0
Trucking	1	.2
Clerical	4	.7
Misc. services, crafts, hospital work/army, air force	8	1.4
Housework and child care	12	2.1
Professional	0	.0
Student	0	.0
ADC	13	2.3
OAA, social security, welfare or pension	5	.9
Housewife, not employed outside home	138	24.5
Unemployed	9	1.6
Not applicable-no spouse	274	48.6
	564	100.1%

AVERAGE ANNUAL INCOME		
Unknown	355	63.0
Under $3,000	66	11.7
Over $3,000 and under $5,000	77	13.6
Over $5,000	42	7.4
Welfare, social security or pension/ unemployment	21	3.7
Not applicable-children	2	.4
Job training-vocational training	1	.2
	564	100.0%

INTENSIVE CASEWORK		
Yes	53	9.4
No	511	90.6
	564	100.0%

PSYCHIATRIC CASEWORK		
Yes	27	4.8
No	537	95.2
	564	100.0%

Males Only

VISITS OF INTERVIEWEE	N	%
None	93	16.5
1	182	32.3
2	120	21.3
3	50	8.9
4	27	4.8
5-9	51	9.0
10-14	19	3.4
15 +	22	3.9
Unknown	0	.0
	564	100.1%

TOTAL VISITS OF ALL MEMBERS OF THE FAMILY	N	%
Not applicable-no other members of family	275	48.8
1	73	12.9
2	64	11.3
3	30	5.3
4	28	5.0
5-9	47	8.3
10-14	10	1.8
15+	31	5.5
None	6	1.1
	564	100.0%

RELOCATED BY BIA		
Unknown	22	3.9
Yes	95	16.8
No	447	79.3
	564	100.0%

CASE IDENTIFICATION		
Person seen most	420	74.5
Person seen least	58	10.3
Person not seen	85	15.1
Child in family seen	1	.2
	564	100.1%

CASH ASSISTANCE		
Unknown	3	.5
None	202	35.8
1 time	190	33.7
2 times	88	15.6
3 times	29	5.1
4 times	18	3.2
5-9 times	25	4.4
10-14 times	3	.5
15+ times	6	1.1
	564	99.9%

FOOD ASSISTANCE		
Unknown	2	.4
None	406	72.0
1 time	113	20.0
2 times	18	3.2
3 times	9	1.6
4 times	4	.7
5-9 times	10	1.8
10-14 times	0	.0
15+ times	2	.4
	564	100.1%

CLOTHING ASSISTANCE		
Unknown	2	.4
None	435	77.1
1 time	88	15.6
2 times	19	3.4
3 times	5	.9
4 times	3	.5
5-9 times	6	1.1
10-14 times	1	.2
15+ times	5	.9
	564	100.1%

OTHER ASSISTANCE-JOB, MEDICAL, LEGAL, EDUCATIONAL		
Unknown	7	1.2
None	433	76.8
1 time	93	16.5
2 times	25	4.4
3 times	5	.9
4 times	1	.2
5-9 times	0	.0
10-14 times	0	.0
15+ times	0	.0
	564	100.0%

Males Only

KINDS OF ASSISTANCE	N	%
None	106	18.8
1 kind	233	41.3
2 kinds	115	20.4
3 kinds	71	12.6
4 kinds	26	4.6
5 kinds	8	1.4
6 kinds	1	.2
7 kinds	4	.7
	564	100.0%

COURT HEARING THIS YEAR IN FAMILY	N	%
Unknown	249	44.1
None	125	22.2
Truancy	2	.4
Dependency of children	9	1.6
Runaway	2	.4
Curfew	1	.2
Other	10	1.8
Other	166	29.4
Not applicable	0	.0
	564	99.9%

COURT HEARING OF THIS PERSON		
Unknown	353	62.6
None	145	25.7
Drunk-disorderly	42	7.4
Robbery	11	2.0
Assault	1	.2
Other	9	1.6
Runaway	3	.5
	564	100.0%

COURT HEARING OF SPOUSE		
Unknown	226	40.1
None	112	19.8
No spouse	214	37.9
Drunk-disorderly	3	.5
Assault	1	.2
Robbery	3	.5
Other	5	.9
	564	99.9%

PREMATURITY IN FAMILY		
Unknown	291	51.6
None	97	17.2
No children	168	29.8
1	3	.5
2	3	.5
3	0	.0
4	1	.2
5	1	.2
6	0	.0
	564	100.0%

CHILDREN-TOTAL KNOWN CHILDREN WITH PRESENT WIFE		
Unknown	15	2.7
Single	169	30.0
Functionally single person-probably has had children but they are not known to the agency	91	16.1
Married but no children	36	6.4
Woman-children recorded in next question	16	2.8
1	68	12.1
2	61	10.8
3	47	8.3
4	16	2.8
5	18	3.2
6	13	2.3
7	6	1.1
8	4	.7
9	2	.3
10	1	.2
11	1	.2
	564	100.0%

Males Only

CHILDREN LIVING IN HOME WHO ARE PRESCHOOL OR IN SCHOOL	N	%
Unknown	15	2.7
Not applicable-no children	342	60.6
1	68	12.1
2	61	10.8
3	34	6.0
4	14	2.5
5	11	1.9
6	10	1.8
7	4	.7
8	2	.4
9	2	.4
10	1	.2
11	0	.0
	564	100.1%

CHILDREN LIVING OUTSIDE THE HOME	N	%
Unknown	11	2.0
Not applicable-no children	348	61.7
1	35	6.2
2	20	3.6
3	14	2.5
4	4	.7
5	10	1.8
6+	9	1.6
None	113	20.0
	564	100.1%

CHILDREN LIVING IN HOME NOT IN SCHOOL		
Unknown	21	3.7
Not applicable	351	62.2
All children in school or preschool	164	29.1
1	20	3.6
2	8	1.4
3	0	.0
4	0	.0
5	0	.0
6	0	.0
	564	100.0%

NUMBER OF PEOPLE IN HOME		
Unknown	1	.2
1	315	55.9
2	42	7.5
3	62	11.0
4	60	10.6
5	36	6.4
6	17	3.0
7	11	2.0
8 or more	20	3.5
	564	100.1%

Males Only

LOCATION OF OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME	N	%
Unknown	13	2.3
No children	306	54.3
No children outside home	159	28.2
At school-grown, independent-army	41	7.3
Court custody-institution	3	.5
Court custody-foster home	7	1.2
Foster home-not court custody	2	.4
With relatives	33	5.9
Adoption	0	.0
	564	100.1%

LOCATION OF SECOND OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME	N	%
Unknown	8	1.4
No children	363	64.4
No children outside home	134	23.8
At school-grown, independent-army	30	5.3
Court custody-institution	1	.2
Court custody-foster home	7	1.2
Foster home-not court custody	2	.4
With relatives	19	3.4
Adoption	0	0.0
	564	100.1%

LOCATION OF THIRD OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME		
Unknown	9	1.6
No children	418	74.1
No children outside home	99	17.6
At school-grown, independent-army	17	3.0
Court custody-institution	1	.2
Court custody-foster home	6	1.1
Foster home- not court custody	2	.4
With relatives	12	2.1
Adoption	0	.0
	564	100.1%

LOCATION OF FOURTH OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME		
Unknown	5	.9
No children	463	82.1
No children outside home	68	12.1
At school-grown, independent-army	13	2.3
Court custody-institution	0	.0
Court custody-foster home	7	1.2
Foster home-not court custody	1	.2
With relatives	7	1.2
Adoption	0	0.0
	564	100.0%

LOCATION OF FIFTH OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME		
Unknown	5	.9
No children	479	84.9
No children outside home	58	10.3
At school-grown, independent-army	9	1.6
Adoption	0	.0
Court custody-institution	0	.0
Court custody-foster home	4	.7
Foster home-not court custody	2	.4
With relatives	7	1.2
	564	100.0%

Males Only

LOCATION OF SIXTH OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME	N	%
Unknown	5	.9
No children	488	86.5
No children outside home	53	9.4
At school-grown, independent-army	7	1.2
Court custody-institution	0	.0
Court custody-foster home	2	.4
Foster home-not court custody	2	.4
With relatives	6	1.1
Adoption	1	.2
	564	100.1%

AGE OF THIRD OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	11	1.9
Not applicable-no children	435	77.1
In home		
0-4	40	7.1
5-9	28	5.0
10-14	12	2.1
15 and over	7	1.2
Outside home		
0-4	3	.5
5-14	13	2.3
15 and over	15	2.7
	564	99.9%

AGE OF OLDEST CHILD	N	%
Unknown	16	2.8
Not applicable-no children	304	53.9
In home		
0-4	83	14.7
5-9	48	8.5
10-14	33	5.8
15 and above	17	3.0
Outside home		
0-4	7	1.2
5-14	20	3.6
15 and over	36	6.4
	564	99.9%

AGE OF SECOND OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	14	2.5
Not applicable- no children	367	65.1
In home		
0-4	68	12.1
5-9	41	7.3
10-14	19	3.4
15 and above	12	2.1
Outside home		
0-4	5	.9
5-14	13	2.3
15 and over	25	4.4
	564	100.1%

AGE OF FOURTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	8	1.4
Not applicable-no children	485	86.0
In home		
0-4	20	3.5
5-9	22	3.9
10-14	7	1.2
15 and over	3	.5
Outside home		
0-4	2	.4
5-14	8	1.4
15 and over	9	1.6
	564	99.9%

Males Only

AGE OF FIFTH OLDEST CHILD	N	%
Unknown	7	1.2
Not applicable-no children	507	89.9
In home		
0-4	15	2.7
5-9	10	1.8
10-14	6	1.1
15 and over	2	.4
Outside home		
0-4	3	.5
5-14	6	1.1
15 and over	8	1.4
	564	100.1%

AGE OF SIXTH OLDEST CHILD	N	%
Unknown	6	1.1
Not applicable-no children	525	93.1
In home		
0-4	16	2.8
5-9	6	1.1
10-14	1	.2
15 and over	4	.7
Outside home		
0-4	1	.2
5-14	2	.4
15 and over	3	.5
	564	100.0%

AGE OF SEVENTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	4	.7
Not applicable-no children	545	96.6
In home		
0-4	6	1.1
5-9	4	.7
10-14	0	.0
15 and over	2	.4
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	1	.2
15 and over	2	.4
	564	100.1%

AGE OF EIGHTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	4	.7
Not applicable-no children	550	97.5
In home		
0-4	5	.9
5-9	4	.7
10-14	0	.0
15 and over	0	.0
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	0	.0
15 and over	1	.2
	564	100.0%

AGE OF NINTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	4	.7
Not applicable-no children	554	98.2
In home		
0-4	6	1.1
5-9	0	.0
10-14	0	.0
15 and over	0	.0
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	0	.0
15 and over	0	.0
	564	100.0%

AGE OF TENTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	5	.9
Not applicable-no children	555	98.4
In home		
0-4	4	.7
5-9	0	.0
10-14	0	.0
15 and over	0	.0
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	0	.0
	564	100.0%

Males Only

AGE OF ELEVENTH OLDEST CHILD	N	%
Unknown	5	.9
Not applicable-no children	557	98.8
In home		
0-4	2	.4
5-9	0	.0
10-14	0	.0
15 and over	0	.0
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	0	.0
15 and over	0	.0
	564	100.1%

ST. AUGUSTINE'S CENTER INDIAN DATA: Females Only
(N = 485)

SEX	N	%
Male	0	.0
Female	485	100.0
	485	100.0%

TRIBE		
Chippewa	150	30.9
Menominee	97	20.0
Dakota-Sioux	35	7.2
Winnebago	23	4.7
Potowatomi	24	5.0
Oneida	27	5.6
Cherokee	3	.6
Stockbridge	4	.8
Ottawa	10	2.1
Navajo	8	1.7
Eskimo	4	.8
Choctaw	16	3.3
Cheyenne	2	.4
Seneca	0	.0
Other	55	11.3
White	26	5.4
Negro	0	.0
Spanish	0	.0
Unknown	1	.2
	485	100.0%

AGE		N	%
Unknown		5	1.0
14 and under	1955	0	.0
15-16	1954-50	33	6.8
20-24	1949-45	107	22.1
25-29	1944-40	112	23.1
30-34	1939-35	70	14.4
35-39	1934-30	52	10.7
40-44	1929-25	44	9.1
45-49	1924-20	28	5.8
50-54	1919-15	15	3.1
55-59	1914-10	13	2.7
60-64	1909-05	5	1.0
65 and over	1904	1	.2
		485	100.0%

PLACE (STATE) OF BIRTH	
Unknown	12
Wisconsin	264
Minnesota	38
South Dakota	27
North Dakota	18
Michigan	13
Illinois	10
Kansas	5
Arizona	10
Utah	0
New Mexico	4
Oklahoma	19
Nebraska	11
Montana	4
Nevada	2
Mississippi	12
Texas	0
California	2
Washington	1
Iowa	2
New York	3
North Carolina	0
South Carolina	0
Florida	1
Alaska	5
Idaho	0
Wyoming	3
Colorado	0
Ohio	0
Oregon	1
Kentucky	0
Tennessee	1

PLACE (STATE) OF BIRTH	%
Unknown	2.5
Wisconsin	54.4
Minnesota	7.8
South Dakota	5.6
North Dakota	3.7
Michigan	2.7
Illinois	2.1
Kansas	1.0
Arizona	2.1
Utah	.0
New Mexico	.8
Oklahoma	3.9
Nebraska	2.3
Montana	.8
Nevada	.4
Mississippi	2.5
Texas	.0
California	.4
Washington	.2
Iowa	.4
New York	.6
North Carolina	.0
South Carolina	.0
Florida	.2
Alaska	1.0
Idaho	.0
Wyoming	.6
Colorado	.0
Ohio	.0
Oregon	.2
Kentucky	.0
Tennessee	.2

Females Only

PLACE OF BIRTH-cont'd.	N	%
Indian[a]	2	.4
Puerto Rico	0	.0
West Virginia	1	.2
Mexico, South America	1	.2
Canada	10	2.1
Missouri	0	.0
Arkansas	1	.2
Rhode Island	1	.2
Massachusetts	0	.0
Others	1	.2
	485	99.9%

MARITAL STATUS (TYPE)		
Unknown	17	3.5
Not applicable-not married	91	18.8
Intertribal	130	26.8
Intratribal	157	32.4
Interracial	90	18.6
	485	100.1%

RELIGION		
Unknown	34	7.0
No religious preference	37	7.6
Roman Catholic	244	50.3
Protestant	125	25.8
Episcopal	38	7.8
Orthodox	3	.6
Native American church	1	.2
Tribal	2	.4
Other religious preference	1	.2
	485	99.9%

TYPE OF BIRTH PLACE	N	%
Unknown	29	6.0
Reservation (at the time of birth)	274	56.5
Indian Community	107	22.1
Urban area-small town	46	9.5
Big city (population over 100.000)	29	6.0
	485	100.1%

MARITAL STATUS (CURRENT)		
Unknown	1	.2
Single	44	9.1
Married	249	51.3
Separated	112	23.1
Divorced	22	4.5
Widowed	16	3.3
Unmarried mothers	41	8.5
	485	100.0%

HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD		
Unknown	21	4.3
Yes	235	48.5
No	229	47.2
	485	100.0%

EDUCATION (INTERVIEWEE)		
Unknown	42	8.7
0-5 years	11	2.3
6-8 years	76	15.7
9 years	57	11.7
10 years	107	21.2
11 years	72	14.9
12 years	107	22.1
13 years and over	13	2.7
Vocational training	4	.8
	485	100.1%

Females Only

EDUCATION (SPOUSE)	N	%
Unknown	65	13.4
0-8 years	52	10.7
9 years	34	7.0
10 years	60	12.4
11 years	34	7.0
12 years	69	14.2
13 years or more, but no degree	20	4.1
Vocational training	9	1.9
Not applicable-no spouse	142	29.3
	485	100.0%

PLACE OF EDUCATION	N	%
Unknown	252	52.0
Reservation day school-Indian	89	18.3
Reservation residential school-Indian	35	7.2
Off reservation day school-integrated	57	11.7
Off reservation residential school-integrated	2	.4
Urban school	36	7.4
Reservation day school-integrated	14	2.9
	485	100.0%

CHILDHOOD HOME		
Unknown	283	58.4
Raised by both parents	89	18.4
Raised by one parent	39	8.0
Raised by one parent and step parent	15	3.1
Raised by relatives	18	3.7
Raised in foster home	3	.6
Raised in institution	1	.2
Raised in combination of above	37	7.6
	485	100.0%

MOBILITY		
Unknown	56	11.5
0	168	34.6
1	153	31.6
2	52	10.7
3	29	6.0
4	10	2.1
5	5	1.0
6 and over	8	1.7
Drifter	4	.8
	485	100.0%

TYPE OF MOBILITY		
Unknown	51	10.5
Not applicable-no moves	165	34.0
Within city	44	9.1
Within uptown	136	28.0
From city to city	19	3.9
From city to reservation or Indian community	26	5.4
From reservation to city	43	8.9
Combination of 6 and 7	1	.2
	485	100.0%

REASON FOR MOVES		
Unknown	108	22.3
Not applicable-no moves	166	34.2
Employment	22	4.5
Family affairs or difficulties	77	15.9
Visits	3	.6
Education	2	.4
Unsatisfactory living condition/urban renewal	32	6.6
Financial difficulties-nonpayment of rent, rent too high, eviction, and other reasons such as pathology, family pensions,etc.	72	14.9
Drifter	3	.6
	485	100.0%

Females Only

ARRIVAL IN CHICAGO	N	%
Unknown	16	3.3
Before 1953	33	6.8
1953-56	45	9.3
1957-60	69	14.2
1961-64	110	22.7
1965-66	69	14.2
1967	53	10.9
1968	84	17.3
Native-born in Chicago	6	1.2
	485	99.9%

TRADITIONALISM		
Unknown	255	52.6
Speaks Indian language	102	21.0
Does not speak Indian but parents do along with English	59	12.2
Does not speak Indian but parents do-no English	2	.4
No, neither do parents	32	6.6
Understands but does not speak	9	1.9
Non-Indian	26	5.4
	485	100.1%

DRINKING PROBLEMS		
Unknown	191	39.4
Yes	125	25.8
No, no drinking problems	125	25.8
No, but others in family have	44	9.1
	485	100.1%

RELATIVE IN CHICAGO	N	%
Unknown	32	6.6
Yes	340	70.1
No	113	23.3
	485	100.0%

INDIAN BLOOD		
Unknown to us	206	42.5
Unknown to client	5	1.0
Less than 1/4	3	.6
1/4 and less than 1/2	35	7.2
1/2 and less than 3/4	84	17.3
3/4 and full	127	26.2
Non-Indian	25	5.2
	485	100.0%

HEALTH		
Unknown	105	21.6
No problems	299	61.6
Accident-this year	9	1.9
Diabetes-any year	10	2.1
Tuberculosis-any year	14	2.9
Hospitalization for mental illnes-any year	5	1.0
Hospitalization for alcoholism-any year	3	.6
Drug misuse	1	.2
Suicidal attempts	1	.2
Physically disabled	4	.8
Other	34	7.0
	485	100.1%

Females Only

EMPLOYMENT STATUS	N	%
Unknown	33	6.8
Daily pay	81	16.7
Laborer-no special skill	10	2.7
Factory or garage	61	12.6
Construction	1	.2
Landscaping	0	.0
Decorating	2	.4
Trucking	1	.2
Clerical	8	1.7
Misc. services, crafts, and hosptial work/ army, air force	20	4.1
Housework and child care	21	4.3
Professional	0	.0
Student	5	1.0
ADC	63	13.0
OAA, social security, welfare or pension	120	4.1
Housewife, not employed outside the home	140	28.9
Unemployed	19	3.9
	485	100.0%

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION	N	%
Unknown	37	7.7
Daily pay	50	10.3
Laborer-no special skill	37	7.7
Factory or garage	79	16.3
Construction	19	3.9
Landscaping	17	3.5
Decorating	6	1.2
Trucking	7	1.4
Clerical	3	.6
Misc. services, crafts, hospital work/army, air force	17	3.5
Housework and child care	3	.6
Professional	0	.0
Student	3	.6
ADC	6	1.2
OAA, social security, welfare or pension	6	1.2
Housewife, not employed outside home	5	1.0
Unemployed	11	2.3
Not applicable-no spouse	179	36.9
	485	99.9%

INTENSIVE CASEWORK		
Yes	150	30.9
No	335	69.1
	485	100.0%

PSYCHIATRIC CASEWORK		
Yes	31	6.4
No	454	93.6
	485	100.0%

AVERAGE ANNUAL INCOME		
Unknown	250	51.5
Under $3,000	61	12.6
Over $3,000 and under $5,000	67	13.8
Over $5,000	35	7.2
Welfare, social security or pension/unemployed	66	13.6
Not applicable-children	5	1.0
Job training-vocational training	1	.2
	485	99.9%

VISITS OF INTERVIEWEE		
None	62	12.8
1	133	27.4
2	84	17.3
3	45	9.3
4	29	6.0
5-9	65	13.4
10-14	30	6.2
15+	36	7.4
Unknown	1	.2
	485	100.0%

Females Only

TOTAL VISITS OF ALL MEMBERS OF FAMILY	N	%
Not applicable-no other members of family	128	26.4
1	81	16.7
2	69	14.2
3	36	7.4
4	29	6.0
5-9	59	12.2
10-14	19	3.9
15+	51	10.5
None	13	2.7
	485	100.0%

RELOCATED BY BIA	N	%
Unknown	20	4.1
Yes	71	14.6
No	394	81.2
	485	99.9%

CASE IDENTIFICATION		
Person seen most	362	74.6
Person seen least	55	11.3
Person not seen	63	13.0
Child in family seen	5	1.0
	485	99.9%

CASH ASSISTANCE		
Unknown	5	1.0
None	189	39.0
1 time	132	27.2
2 times	75	15.5
3 times	29	6.0
4 times	16	3.3
5-9	30	6.2
10-14	7	1.4
15+	2	.4
	485	100.0%

FOOD ASSISTANCE		
Unknown	4	.8
None	299	66.7
1	97	20.0
2	37	7.6
3	16	3.3
4	10	2.1
5-9	15	3.1
10-14	3	.6
15+	4	.8
	485	100.0%

CLOTHING ASSISTANCE		
Unknown	6	1.2
None	297	61.2
1	99	20.4
2	37	7.6
3	16	3.3
4	7	1.5
5-9	15	3.1
10-14	4	.8
15+	4	.8
	485	99.9%

OTHER ASSISTANCE-JOB, MEDICAL, LEGAL, EDUCATIONAL		
Unknown	7	1.4
None	314	64.7
1	113	23.3
2	37	7.6
3	9	1.9
4	3	.6
5-9	2	.4
10-14	0	.0
15+	0	.0
	485	99.9%

Females Only

KINDS OF ASSISTANCE	N	%
None	69	14.2
1 kind	167	34.4
2 kinds	94	19.4
3 kinds	77	15.9
4 kinds	38	7.8
5 kinds	20	4.1
6 kinds	14	2.9
7 kinds	6	1.2
	485	99.9%

COURT HEARING THIS YEAR IN FAMILY	N	%
Unknown	203	42.1
None	161	33.2
Truancy	4	.8
Dependency of children	19	3.9
Runaway	12	2.5
Curfew	1	.2
Other	67	13.8
Not applicable	0	.0
	485	100.0%

COURT HEARING OF THIS PERSON		
Unknown	253	52.2
None	201	41.4
Drunk-disorderly	13	2.7
Robbery	0	.0
Assault	0	.0
Other	17	3.5
Runaway	1	.2
	485	100.0%

COURT HEARING OF SPOUSE		
Unknown	202	42.7
None	97	20.0
No spouse	153	31.6
Drunk-disorderly	18	3.7
Assault	2	.4
Robbery	7	1.4
Other	6	1.2
	485	100.0%

PREMATURITY IN FAMILY		
Unknown	297	61.2
None	108	22.3
No children	59	12.2
1	15	3.0
2	4	.8
3	0	.0
4	1	.2
5	1	.2
6	0	.0
	485	99.9%

Females Only

TOTAL KNOWN CHILDREN OF WOMAN	N	%
Unknown	14	3.9
Single	52	10.7
Male card	10	2.1
Married but no children	26	5.4
1	86	17.7
2	85	17.5
3	74	15.3
4	41	8.5
5	28	5.8
6	28	5.8
7	17	3.5
8	12	2.5
9	3	.6
10	6	1.2
11	3	.6
	485	100.1%

CHILDREN LIVING IN HOME WHO ARE PRESCHOOL OR IN SCHOOL	N	%
Unknown	11	2.3
Not applicable-no children	154	31.8
1	96	19.8
2	93	19.2
3	59	12.2
4	29	6.0
5	15	3.1
6	16	3.3
7	5	1.0
8	3	.6
9	3	.6
10	1	.2
11	0	.0
	485	100.1%

CHILDREN LIVING OUTSIDE HOME		
Unknown	13	2.7
Not applicable-no children	169	34.9
1	48	9.9
2	33	6.8
3	20	4.1
4	14	2.9
5	13	2.7
6+	18	3.7
None	157	32.4
	485	100.1%

CHILDREN LIVING IN HOME NOT IN SCHOOL		
Unknown	23	4.7
Not applicable	164	33.8
All children in school or preschool	257	53.0
1	31	6.4
2	7	1.4
3	1	.2
4	2	.4
5	0	.0
6	0	.0
	485	99.9%

NUMBER OF PEOPLE IN HOME		
Unknown	3	.6
1	116	23.9
2	69	14.2
3	95	19.6
4	80	16.5
5	54	11.1
6	24	4.9
7	15	3.1
8 or more	29	6.0
	485	99.9%

Females Only

LOCATION OF OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME	N	%
Unknown	10	2.1
No children	99	20.4
No children outside home	236	48.7
At school-grown, independent-army	67	13.8
Court custody-institution	4	.8
Court custody-foster home	15	3.1
Foster home-not court custody	7	1.4
With relatives	43	8.9
Adoption	4	.8
	485	100.0%

LOCATION OF SECOND OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME	N	%
Unknown	6	1.2
No children	178	36.7
No children outside home	200	41.2
At school-grown, independent-army	45	9.3
Court custody--institution	2	.4
Court custody-foster home	21	4.3
Foster home-no court custody	3	.6
With relatives	27	5.6
Adoption	3	.6
	485	99.9%

LOCATION OF THIRD OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME		
Unknown	7	1.4
No children	250	51.6
No children outside home	156	32.2
At school-grown, independent-army	35	7.2
Court custody-institution	2	.4
Court custody-foster home	14	2.9
Foster home-no court custody	3	.6
With relatives	14	2.9
Adoption	4	.8
	485	100.0%

LOCATION OF FOURTH OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME		
Unknown	4	.8
No children	318	65.6
No children outside home	109	22.5
At school-grown, independent-army	23	4.7
Court custody--institution	0	.0
Court custody-foster home	14	2.9
Foster home-no court custody	4	.8
With relatives	12	2.5
Adoption	1	.2
	485	100.0%

LOCATION OF FIFTH OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME		
Unknown	3	.6
No children	349	72.0
No children outside home	92	19.0
At school-grown, independent-army	19	3.9
Court custody-institution	0	.0
Court custody-foster home	9	1.9
Foster home-no court custody	4	.8
With relatives	7	1.4
Adoption	2	.4
	485	100.0%

Females Only

LOCATION OF SIXTH OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME	N	%
Unknown	4	.8
No children	368	75.9
No children outside home	87	17.9
At school-grown, independent-army	12	2.5
Court custody-institution	0	.0
Court custody-foster home	5	1.0
Foster home-no court custody	3	.6
With relatives	5	1.0
Adoption	1	.2
	485	99.9%

AGE OF THIRD OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	16	3.3
Not applicable-no children	268	55.3
In home		
0-4	67	13.8
5-9	42	8.7
10-14	19	3.9
15 and over	10	2.1
Outside home		
0-4	14	2.9
5-14	17	3.5
15 and over	32	6.6
	485	100.1%

AGE OF FIFTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	10	2.1
Not applicable-no children	384	79.2
In home		
0-4	28	5.8
5-9	15	3.1
10-14	12	2.5
15 and over	4	.8
Outside home		
0-4	6	1.2
5-14	8	1.7
15 and over	18	3.7
	485	100.0%

AGE OF OLDEST CHILD	N	%
Unknown	18	3.7
Not applicable-no children	97	20.0
In home		
0-4	114	23.5
5-9	74	15.3
10-14	47	9.7
15 and over	21	4.3
Outside home		
0-4	16	3.3
5-14	31	6.4
15 and over	67	13.8
	485	100.0%

AGE OF SECOND OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	22	4.5
Not applicable-no children	180	37.1
In home		
0-4	93	19.2
5-9	67	13.8
10-14	25	5.2
15 and over	16	3.3
Outside home		
0-4	15	3.1
5-14	22	4.5
15 and over	45	9.3
	485	100.0%

AGE OF FOURTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	10	2.1
Not applicable-no children	343	70.7
In home		
0-4	38	7.8
5-9	31	6.4
10-14	13	2.7
15 and over	6	1.2
Outside home		
0-4	10	2.1
5-14	12	2.5
15 and over	22	4.5
	485	100.0%

Females Only

AGE OF SIXTH OLDEST CHILD	N	%
Unknown	8	1.6
Not applicable-no children	413	85.1
In home		
0-4	29	6.0
5-9	9	1.9
10-14	10	2.1
15 and over	1	.2
Outside home		
0-4	2	.4
5-14	3	.6
15 and over	10	2.1
	485	100.0%

AGE OF SEVENTH OLDEST CHILD	N	%
Unknown	6	1.2
Not applicable-no children	443	91.3
In home		
0-4	13	2.7
5-9	6	1.2
10-14	3	.6
15 and over	2	.4
Outside home		
0-4	1	.2
5-14	7	1.5
15 and over	4	.8
	485	99.9%

AGE OF EIGHTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	5	1.0
Not applicable-no children	461	95.1
In home		
0-4	6	1.2
5-9	9	1.9
10-14	1	.2
15 and over	1	.2
Outside home		
0-4	1	.2
5-14	1	.2
15 and over	0	.0
	485	100.0%

AGE OF NINTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	5	1.0
Not applicable-no children	471	97.1
In home		
0-4	5	1.0
5-9	2	.4
10-14	0	.0
15 and over	1	.2
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	1	.2
15 and over	0	.0
	485	99.9%

AGE OF TENTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	5	1.0
Not applicable-no children	473	97.5
In home		
0-4	4	.8
5-9	2	.4
10-14	0	.0
15 and over	1	.2
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	0	.0
15 and over	0	.0
	485	99.9%

AGE OF ELEVENTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	5	1.0
Not applicable-no children	477	98.4
In home		
0-4	2	.4
5-9	1	.2
10-14	0	.0
15 and over	0	.0
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	0	.0
15 and over	0	.0
	485	100.0%

ST. AUGUSTINE'S CENTER INDIAN DATA - 1968

CHICAGO INDIAN DATA: Education, Unknown
(N = 102)

SEX		
Male	60	58.8
Female	42	41.2
	102	100.0%
TRIBE		
Chippewa	31	30.4
Menominee	17	16.7
Dakota-Sioux	4	3.9
Winnebago	6	5.9
Potowatomi	4	3.9
Oneida	2	2.0
Cherokee	4	3.9
Stockbridge	1	1.0
Ottawa	5	4.9
Navajo	2	2.0
Eskimo	0	.0
Choctaw	1	1.0
cheyenne	0	.0
Seneca	0	.0
Other	13	12.7
White	11	10.8
Negro	0	.0
Spanish	0	.0
Unknown	1	1.0
	102	100.1%

AGE		
Unknown	7	6.9
14 and under	0	.0
15-19	3	2.9
20-24	19	18.6
25-29	21	20.6
30-34	15	14.7
35-39	11	10.8
40-44	11	10.8
45-49	8	7.8
50-54	4	3.9
55-59	2	2.0
60-64	0	.0
65 and over	1	1.0
	102	100.1%

PLACE OF BIRTH		
Unknown	13	12.7
Wisconsin	39	38.2
Minnesota	11	10.7
South Dakota	3	2.9
North Dakota	3	2.9
Michigan	5	4.9
Illinois	2	2.0
Kansas	0	.0
Arizona	2	2.0
Utah	1	1.0
New Mexico	1	1.0
Oklahoma	2	2.0
Nebraska	6	5.9
Nevada	0	.0
Mississippi	1	1.0
Texas	0	0.0
California	0	.0
Washington	0	.0
Iowa	1	1.0
New York	2	2.0

North Carolina	0	.0
South Carolina	0	.0
Florida	0	.0
Alaska	0	.0
Idaho	0	.0
Wyoming	0	.0
Colorado	0	.0
Ohio	0	.0
Oregon	0	.0
Kentucky	1	1.0
Tennessee	1	1.0
Indiana	0	.0
Puerto Rico	0	.0
West Virginia	2	2.0
Mexico, South Amer.	0	.0
Canada	5	4.9
Missouri	0	.0
Arkansas	0	.0
Rhode Island	0	.0
Massachusetts	0	.0
Others	1	1.0
	102	100.1%

Education, Unknown

TYPE OF BIRTHPLACE		
Unknown	19	18.6
Reservation (at time of birht)	48	47.1
Indian community	18	17.6
Urban area - small town	14	13.7
Big city (pop. over 100,000)	3	2.9
	102	99.9%

TYPE OF MARRIAGE		
Unknown	9	8.8
Not applicable	32	31.4
Intertribal	22	21.6
Intratribal	21	20.6
Interracial	18	17.6
	102	100.0%

RELIGION		
Unknown	61	59.8
No relig. preference	4	3.9
Roman Catholic	23	22.6
Protestant	10	9.8
Episcopal	3	2.9
Orthodox	0	.0
Native Amer. church	0	.0
Tribal	1	1.0
Other relig. preference	0	.0
	102	100.0%

EDUCATION OF SPOUSE		
Unknown	47	16.1
0-8 years	3	2.9
9 years	3	2.9
10 years	5	4.9
11 years	3	2.9
12 years	4	3.9
13 years and over	0	.0
Vocational training	0	.0
Not applicable - no spouse	37	36.3
	102	99.9%

MARITAL STATUS		
Unknown	0	.0
Single	22	21.6
Married	49	48.0
Separated	15	14.7
Divorced	8	7.8
Widowed	5	4.9
Unmarried mothers	3	2.9
	102	99.9%

HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD		
Unknown	8	7.8
Yes	79	77.5
No	15	14.7
	102	100.0%

EDUCATION (INTERVIEWEE)		
Unknown	102	100
0-5 years	0	0
6-8 years	0	0
9 years	0	0
10 years	0	0
11 years	0	0
12 years	0	0
13 years and over	0	0
Vocational training	0	0
	102	100%

PLACE OF EDUCATION		
Unknown	84	82.4
Reservation Day School-Indian	6	5.9
Reservation Residential School - Indian	2	2.0
Off Reservation Day School - Integrated	6	5.9
Off Reservation Residential School -Int.	0	.0
Urban School	4	3.9
Reservation Day School-Integrated	0	.0
	102	100.1%

Education, Unknown

CHILDHOOD HOME		
Unknown	89	87.3
Raised by both parents	7	6.9
Raised by one parent	4	3.9
Raised by one parent and step parent	1	1.0
Raised by relatives	0	.0
Raised in foster home	0	.0
Raised in institution	0	.0
Raised in combination of above	1	1.0
	102	100.1%

TYPE OF MOBILITY		
Unknown	25	24.5
Not applicable	34	33.3
Within city	8	7.8
Within uptown	13	12.7
From city to city	5	4.9
From city to reservation or Indian community	4	3.2
From reservation to city	13	12.7
Combination of 6 and 7	0	.0
	102	100.1%

ARRIVAL IN CHICAGO		
Unknown	14	13.7
Before 1953	7	6.9
1953-56	5	4.9
1957-60	8	7.8
1961-64	14	13.7
1965-66	3	2.9
1967	25	24.5
1968	25	24.5
Native-born in Chicago	1	1.0
	102	99.9%

MOBILITY		
Unknown	26	25.5
0	33	32.4
1	23	22.5
2	7	6.9
3	1	1.0
4	1	1.0
5	2	2.0
6 and over	0	.0
Drifter	9	8.8
	102	100.1%

REASON FOR MOVES		
Unknown	35	34.3
Not applicable	34	33.3
Employment	8	7.8
Family affairs or difficulties	13	12.7
Visits	0	.0
Education	0	.0
Unsatisfactory living conditions	1	1.0
Financial difficulties-nonpayment of rent, rent too high, eviction, and other reasons such as pathology, family pensions, etc.	3	2.9
Drifter	8	7.9
	102	99.9%

RELATIVE IN CHICAGO		
Unknown	19	18.6
Yes	61	59.8
No	22	21.6
	102	100.0%

Education, Unknown

INDIAN BLOOD		
Unknown to us	73	71.6
Unknown to client	0	.0
Less than 1/4	0	.0
1/4 and less than 1/2	1	1.0
1/2 and less than 3/4	4	3.9
3/4 - full	13	12.7
Non-Indian	11	10.8
	102	100.0%

TRADITIONALISM		
Unknown	74	72.6
Speaks Indian language	13	12.7
Does not speak Indian but parents do along with English	3	2.9
Does not speak Indian but parents do - no English	0	.0
No, neither do parents	1	1.0
Understands but does not speak	0	.0
Non-Indian	11	10.8
	102	100.0%

HEALTH		
Unknown	52	51.0
No problems	36	35.2
Accident - this year	2	2.0
Diabetes - any year	2	2.0
Tuberculosis - any year	2	2.0
Hospitalization for mental illness - any year	1	1.0
Hospitalization for alcoholism - any year	1	1.0
Drug misuse	1	1.0
Suicidal attempts	0	.0
Physically disabled	1	1.0
Other	4	3.9
	102	100.1%

DRINKING PROBLEMS		
Unknown	56	54.9
Yes	30	29.4
No, no drinking problems	12	11.8
No, but others in family have	4	3.9
	102	100.0

EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
Unknown	13	12.7
Daily pay	15	14.7
Laborer - no special skill	13	12.7
Factory or garage	23	22.5
Construction	2	2.0
Landscaping	3	2.9
Decorating	0	.0
Trucking	2	2.0
Clerical	4	3.9
Misc. services, crafts, and hospital work/army, air force	6	5.9
Housework and child care	2	2.0
Professional	0	.0
Student	1	1.0
ADC	2	2.0
OAA, Social Security, Welfare or pension	1	1.0
Housewife, not employed outside home	12	11.8
Unemployed	3	2.9
	102	100.0%

Education, unknown

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION		
Unknown	9	8.8
Daily pay	5	4.9
Laborer - no special skill	8	7.8
Factory or garage	7	6.9
Construction	3	2.9
Landscaping	2	2.0
Decorating	1	1.0
Trucking	0	.0
Clerical	1	1.0
Misc. services, crafts, hospital work/ army, air force	0	.0
Housework and child care	3	2.9
Professional	0	.0
Student	0	.0
ADC	0	.0
OAA, Social Security, Welfare or Pension	3	2.9
Housewife, not employed outside home	14	13.7
Unemployed	0	0.0
Not applicable	46	45.1
	102	99.9%

VISITS OF INTERVIEWEE		
None	25	24.5
1	45	44.1
2	14	13.7
3	6	5.9
4	2	2.0
5-9	8	7.8
10-14	1	1.0
15+	1	1.0
Unknown	0	.0
	102	100.0%

RELOCATED BY BIA		
Unknown	17	16.7
Yes	10	9.8
No	75	73.5
	102	100.0%

CASE IDENTIFICATION		
Person seen most	71	69.6
Person seen least	7	6.9
Person not seen	24	23.5
Child in family seen	0	.0
	102	100.0%

AVERAGE ANNUAL INCOME		
Unknown	68	66.7
Under $3,000	9	8.8
Over $3,000 and under $5,000	15	14.7
Over $5,000	8	7.8
Welfare, Social Security or Pension/Unemployed	2	2.0
Not applicable	0	.0
Job training: Vocational training	0	.0
	102	100.0%

INTENSIVE CASEWORK		
Yes	7	6.9
No	95	93.1
	102	100.0%

PSYCHIATRIC CASEWORK		
Yes	11	10.8
No	91	89.2
	102	100.0%

TOTAL VISITS OF ALL MEMBERS OF FAMILY		
Not applicable	43	42.2
1	24	23.5
2	13	12.7
3	5	4.9
4	4	3.9
5-9	4	3.9
10-14	3	2.9
15+	5	4.9
None	1	1.0
	102	99.9%

TYPE OF ASSISTANCE: FOOD		
Unknown	2	1.9
None	87	85.3
1 time	9	8.8
2	2	1.9
3	1	1.0
4	1	1.0
5-9	0	.0
10-14	0	.0
15+	0	.0
	102	99.9%

Education, Unknown

TYPE OF ASSISTANCE: CASH		
Unknown	2	2.0
None	56	54.9
1 time	33	32.4
2	6	5.9
3	3	2.9
4	0	.0
5-9	0	.0
10-14	2	2.0
15+	0	.0
	102	100.1%

TYPE OF ASSISTANCE: CLOTHING		
Unknown	2	1.9
None	92	90.2
1 time	6	5.9
2	0	.0
3	1	1.0
4	0	.0
5-9	1	1.0
10-14	0	.0
15+	0	.0
	102	100.0%

TYPE OF ASSISTANCE: OTHERS, JOB, MEDICAL, LEGAL, EDUCATIONAL		
Unknown	2	1.9
None	81	79.4
1 time	17	16.7
2	1	1.0
3	1	1.0
4	0	.0
5-9	0	.0
10-14	0	.0
15+	0	.0
	102	100.0%

KINDS OF ASSISTANCE		
None	37	36.3
One kind	45	44.1
Two kinds	11	10.8
Three kinds	8	7.8
Four kinds	1	1.0
Five kinds	0	.0
Six kinds	0	.0
Seven kinds	0	.0
	102	100.0%

COURT HEARING THIS YEAR IN FAMILY		
Unknown	47	46.1
None	22	21.6
Truancy	0	,0
Dependency of children	2	1.9
Runaway	2	1.9
Curfew	0	.0
Other	2	1.9
Not applicable	27	26.5
	102	99.9%

COURT HEARING OF THIS PERSON		
Unknown	73	71.6
None	23	22.6
Drunk-disorderly	4	3.9
Robbery	1	1.0
Assualt	0	.0
Other	1	1.0
Runaway	0	.0
	102	100.1%

COURT HEARING OF SPOUSE		
Unknown	46	45.1
None	19	18.6
No spouse	33	32.3
Drunk-disorderly	4	3.9
Assualt	0	.0
Robbery	0	.0
Other	0	.0
	102	99.9%

PREMATURITY IN FAMILY		
Unknown	56	54.9
None	22	21.6
No children	24	23.5
1	0	.0
2	0	.0
3	0	.0
4	0	.0
5	0	.0
6	0	.0
	102	100.0%

Education, Unknown

TOTAL KNOWN CHILDREN WITH PRESENT WIFE		
Unknown	3	2.9
Single	20	19.6
Functionally single-probably has had children but they are not known to the agency	9	8.8
Married but no child	7	6.9
Woman-children recorded in next ?	39	38.2
1	12	11.8
2	3	2.9
3	6	5.9
4	1	1.0
5	1	1.0
6	1	1.0
7	0	0.0
8	0	.0
9	0	.0
10	0	.0
11	0	.0
	102	100.0%

TOTAL KNOWN CHILDREN OF WOMAN		
Unknown	2	2.0
Single person	9	8.8
Male card	51	50.0
Married but no child	4	3.9
1	13	12.8
2	8	7.8
3	8	7.8
4	2	2.0
5	0	.0
6	3	2.9
7	2	2.0
8	0	.0
9	0	.0
10	0	.0
11	0	.0
	102	100.0%

CHILDREN LIVING IN HOME WHO ARE PRESCHOOL OR IN SCHOOL		
Unknown	2	2.0
Not applicable	53	51.9
1	23	22.6
2	10	9.8
3	8	7.8
4	0	.0
5	3	2.9
6	2	2.0
7	1	1.0
8	0	.0
9	0	.0
10	0	.0
11	0	.0
	102	100.0%

CHILDREN LIVING IN THE HOME NOT IN SCHOOL		
Unknown	4	3.9
Not applicable	52	51.0
All children in school or preschool	32	31.4
1	9	8.8
2	5	4.9
3	0	.0
4	0	.0
5	0	.0
6	0	.0
	102	100.0%

CHILDREN LIVING OUTSIDE OF HOME		
Unknown	3	2.9
Not applicable	54	52.9
1	6	5.9
2	3	2.9
3	4	3.9
4	1	1.0
5	0	.0
6+	2	2.0
None	29	28.4
	102	99.9%

NUMBER OF PEOPLE IN HOME		
Unknown	0	.0
1	44	43.1
2	14	13.7
3	22	21.6
4	7	6.9
5	8	7.8
6	1	1.0
7	3	2.9
8 or more	3	2.9
	102	100.0%

Education, Unknown

LOCATION OF OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME

Unknown	5	4.9
No children	44	43.1
No children outside home	40	39.2
At school/grown independent/army	5	4.9
Court custody - institution	1	1.0
Court custody - foster home	1	1.0
Foster home - not court custody	3	2.9
With relatives	3	2.9
Adoption	0	.0
	102	99.9%

LOCATION OF SECOND OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME

Unknown	2	2.0
No children	61	59.8
No children outside home	29	28.4
At school/grown independent/ in army	6	5.9
Court custody - institution	0	.0
Court custody - foster home	1	1.0
Foster home - not court custody	1	1.0
With relatives	2	2.0
Adoption	0	.0
	102	100.1%

LOCATION OF THIRD OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME

Unknown	4	3.9
No children	70	68.6
No children outside home	21	20.6
At school/grown independent/in army	3	2.9
Court custody - institution	0	.0
Court custody- foster home	2	2.0
Foster home - not court custody	1	1.0
With relatives	1	1.0
Adoption	0	.0
	102	100.0%

LOCATION OF FOURTH OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME

Unknown	1	1.0
No children	81	79.4
No children outside home	15	14.7
At school/grown independent/in army	2	2.0
Court custody - institution	0	.0
Court custody -- foster home	3	2.9
Foster home - not court custody	0	.0
With relatives	0	.0
Adoption	0	.0
	102	100.1%

LOCATION OF FIFTH OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME

Unknown	1	1.0
No children	84	82.4
No children outside home	15	14.7
At school/grown independent/ in army	1	1.0
Court custody - institution	0	.0
Court custody - foster home	1	1.0
Foster home - not court custody	0	.0
With relatives	0	.0
Adoption	0	.0
	102	100.1%

Education, Unknown

LOCATION OF SIXTH OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME

Unknown	1	1.0
No children	84	82.4
No children outside home	14	13.7
At school/grown independent/ in army	1	1.0
Court custody - institution	0	.0
Court custody - foster home	1	1.0
Foster home - not court custody	0	.0
With relatives	1	1.0
Adoption	0	.0
	102	100.1%

AGE OF SECOND OLDEST CHILD

Unknown	8	7.9
Not applicable	65	63.7
In home		
0-4	12	11.8
5-9	6	5.9
10-14	4	3.9
15 and above	1	1.0
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	1	1.0
15 and above	5	4.9
	102	100.1%

AGE OF FOURTH OLDEST CHILD

Unknown	2	1.9
No children	89	87.3
In home		
0-4	3	2.9
5-9	4	3.9
10-14	1	1.0
15 and above	0	.0
Outside home		
0-4	2	1.9
5-14	0	.0
15 and above	1	1.0
	102	99.9%

AGE OF OLDEST CHILD

Unknown	7	6.9
Not applicable	45	44.1
In home		
0-4	20	19.6
5-9	8	7.8
10-14	4	3.9
15 and above	8	7.8
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	3	2.9
15 and above	7	6.9
	102	99.9%

AGE OF THIRD OLDEST CHILD

Unknown	4	3.9
No children	78	76.5
In home		
0-4	5	4.9
5-9	7	6.9
10-14	4	3.9
15 and above	0	.0
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	2	2.0
15 and above	2	2.0
	102	100.1%

AGE OF FIFTH OLDEST CHILD

Unknown	1	1.0
No children	94	92.2
In home		
0-4	2	1.9
5-9	3	2.9
10-14	1	1.0
15 and above	0	.0
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	0	.0
15 and above	1	1.0
	102	100.0%

Education, Unknown

AGE OF SIXTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	1	1.0
No children	95	93.1
In home		
0-4	4	3.9
5-9	0	.0
10-14	1	1.0
15 and above	0	.0
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	0	.0
15 and above	1	1.0
	102	100.0%

AGE OF SEVENTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	1	1.0
No children	98	96.1
In home		
0-4	1	1.0
5-9	0	.0
10-14	0	.0
15 and above	0	.0
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	2	1.9
15 and above	0	.0
	102	100.0%

AGE OF EIGHTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	1	1.0
No children	100	98.0
In home		
0-4	0	.0
5-9	1	1.0
10-14	0	.0
15 and above	0	.0
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	0	.0
15 and above	0	.0
	102	100.0%

AGE OF NINTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	1	1.0
No children	100	98.0
In home		
0-4	1	1.0
5-9	0	.0
10-14	0	.0
15 and above	0	.0
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	0	.0
15 and above	0	.0
	102	100.0%

AGE OF TENTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	1	1.0
No children	100	98.0
In home		
0-4	1	1.0
5-9	0	.0
10-14	0	.0
15 and above	0	.0
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	0	.0
15 and above	0	.0
	102	100.0%

AGE OF ELEVENTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	1	1.0
No children	101	99.0
In home		
0-4	0	.0
5-9	0	.0
10-14	0	.0
15 and above	0	.0
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	0	.0
15 and above	0	.0
	102	100.0%

ST. AUGUSTINE'S CENTER INDIAN DATA - 1968

CHICAGO INDIAN DATA: Non-high school graduates
(N = 656)

SEX		
Male	337	51.4
Female	319	48.6
	656	100.0%
TRIBE		
Chippewa	218	33.2
Menominee	131	19.9
Dakota-Sioux	53	8.1
Winnebago	35	5.3
Potowatomi	22	3.3
Oneida	32	4.9
Cherokee	8	1.2
Stockbridge	3	.5
Ottawa	10	1.5
Navajo	15	2.3
Eskimo	8	1.2
Choctaw	20	3.1
Cheyenne	6	1.0
Seneca	0	.0
Other	68	10.4
White	22	3.3
Negro	1	.2
Spanish	4	.6
Unknown	0	.0
	656	100.0%

AGE		
Unknown	1	.2
14 and under	0	.0
15-19	34	5.2
20-24	112	17.1
25-29	133	20.3
30-34	105	16.0
35-39	73	11.1
40-44	78	11.9
45-49	48	7.3
50-54	28	4.3
55-59	22	3.3
60-64	13	2.0
65 and above	9	1.4
	656	100.1%

PLACE (STATE) OF BIRTH		
Unknown	8	1.2
Wisconsin	339	51.7
Minnesota	61	9.3
South Dakota	39	5.9
North Dakota	18	2.7
Michigan	25	3.8
Illinois	13	1.9
Kansas	4	.6
Arizona	20	3.1
Utah	0	.0
New Mexico	6	.9
Oklahoma	29	4.4
Nebraska	15	2.3
Montana	5	.8
Nevada	0	.0
Mississippi	15	2.3
Texas	1	.2
California	3	.4
Washington	0	.0
Iowa	5	.8
New York	0	.0

North Carolina	0	.0
South Carolina	0	.0
Florida	1	.2
Alaska	12	1.8
Idaho	0	.0
Wyoming	1	.1
Colorado	1	.2
Ohio	0	.0
Oregon	1	.2
Kentucky	1	.2
Tennessee	2	.3
Indiana	2	.3
Puerto Rico	1	.2
West Virginia	0	.0
Mexico, South America	3	.4
Canada	22	3.3
Missouri	1	.2
Arkansas	1	.2
Rhode Island	0	.0
Massachusetts	0	.0
Others	1	.2
	656	100.1%

Non-High School graduates

TYPE OF BIRTHPLACE		
Unknown	35	5.3
Reservation (at time of birth)	383	58.4
Indian community	123	18.8
Urban area-small town	72	10.9
Big city (population over 100,000)	43	6.6
	656	100.0%

TYPE OF MARRIAGE		
Unknown	28	4.3
Not applicable	174	26.5
Intertribal	136	20.7
Intratribal	228	34.8
Interracial	90	13.7
	656	100.0%

RELIGION		
Unknown	19	3.0
No relig. pref.	44	6.7
Roman Catholic	343	52.3
Protestant	185	28.2
Episcopal	59	9.0
Orthodox	1	.1
Native Amer. Church	3	.5
Tribal	2	.3
Other relig. preference	0	.0
	0	0.0
	656	100.1%

EDUCATION OF SPOUSE		
Unknown	60	9.2
0-8 years	76	11.6
9 years	51	7.8
10 years	71	10.8
11 years	50	7.6
12 years	81	12.4
13 years or more	15	2.3
Vocational training	8	1.2
Not applicable	244	37.2
	656	100.1%

CHILDHOOD HOME		
Unknown	413	63.0
Raised by both parent	123	18.8
Raised by one parent	39	6.0
Raised by one parent and step parent	18	2.4

MARITAL STATUS - CURRENT		
Unknown	0	.0
Single	116	17.7
Married	295	44.9
Separated	138	21.0
Divorced	48	7.3
Widowed	25	3.8
Unmarried mothers	34	5.2
	656	99.9%

HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD		
Unknown	11	1.7
Yes	488	74.4
No	157	23.9
	656	100.0%

EDUCATION		
Unknown	0	.0
0-5 years	30	4.6
6-8 years	168	25.6
9 years	101	15.4
10 years	207	31.6
11 years	150	22.9
12 years	0	.0
13 years and over	0	.0
Vocational training	0	.0
	656	100.1%

PLACE OF EDUCATION		
Unknown	365	55.6
Reservation Day School-Indian	116	17.7
Reservation Residential School - Indian	43	6.6
Off Reservation Day School - Integrated	62	9.5
Off reservation residential school - Int.	4	.6
Urban school	44	6.7
Reservation Day school-integrated	22	3.4
	656	100.1%

Raised by relatives	19	2.9
Raised in foster home	2	.3
Raised in institution	1	.2
Raised in combination of above	41	6.3
	656	99.9%

Non-High School graduates

MOBILITY		
Unknown	63	9.6
0	228	34.8
1	177	26.9
2	71	10.8
3	43	6.6
4	10	1.5
5	7	1.1
6 and over	10	1.5
drifter	47	7.2
	656	100.1%

REASON FOR MOVES		
Unknown	151	23.0
Not applicable	227	34.6
Employment	47	7.2
Family affairs or difficulties	68	10.4
Visits	1	.2
Education	2	.3
Unsatisfactory living conditions	32	4.9
Financial difficulties - non-payment of rent, rent too high, eviction, and other reasons such as pathology, family pensions, etc	87	13.3
Drifter	41	6.2
	656	100.1%

INDIAN BLOOD		
Unknown to us	298	45.4
Unknown to client	4	.6
Less than 1/4	3	.5
1/4 and less than 1/2	39	5.9
1/2 and less than 3/4	97	14.8
3/4 - full	189	28.8
Non-Indian	26	3.9
	656	99.9%

TYPE OF MOBILITY		
Unknown	63	9.6
Not applicable	224	34.2
Within city	78	11.9
Within uptown	175	26.7
From city to city	35	5.3
From city to reservation or Indian community	17	2.6
From reservation to city	63	9.6
Combination of 6 and 7	1	.2
	656	100.1%

ARRIVAL IN CHICAGO		
Unknown	6	.9
Before 1953	47	7.2
1953-56	52	7.9
1957-60	95	14.5
1961-64	163	24.9
1965-66	105	16.0
1967	64	9.8
1968	116	17.7
Native-born in Chicago	8	1.2
	656	100.1%

RELATIVE IN CHICAGO		
Unknown	31	4.7
Yes	454	69.2
No	171	26.1
	656	100.0%

TRADITIONALISM		
Unknown	374	57.0
Speaks Indian language	159	24.2
Does not speak Indian but parents do along with English	56	8.5
Does not speak Indian but parents do - no English	2	.3
No, neither parents do	27	4.1
Understands but does not speak	12	1.8
Non-Indian	26	4.0
	656	99.9%

Non-High School graduates

HEALTH		
Unknown	129	19.7
No problems	397	60.5
Accident - this year	16	2.4
Diabetes - any year	20	3.1
Tuberculosis - any year	26	3.9
Hospitalization for mental illness - any year	5	.8
Hospitalization for alcoholism - any year	2	.3
Drug misuse	5	.8
Suicidal attempts	2	.3
Physically disabled	11	1.7
Other	43	6.6
	656	100.1%

EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
Unknown	18	2.9
Daily pay	154	23.5
Laborer - no special skill	68	10.4
Factory or garage	101	15.4
Construction	23	3.5
Landscaping	25	3.8
Decorating	9	1.4
Trucking	10	1.5
Clerical	1	.1
Misc. services, crafts, and hospital work/ army, air force	28	4.3
Housework, child care	18	2.7
Professional	0	.0
Student	5	.8
ADC	50	7.6
OAA, Social Security, Welfare or Pension	31	4.7
Housewife, not employed outside the home	87	3.3
Unemployed	27	4.1
	656	100.1%

DRINKING PROBLEMS		
Unknown	221	33.7
Yes	282	42.9
No, no drinking problems	123	18.8
No, but others in family have	30	4.6
	656	100.0%

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION		
Unknown	47	7.2
Dialy pay	57	8.7
Laborer-no special skill	25	3.8
Factory or garage	62	9.5
Construction	10	1.5
Landscaping	12	1.8
Decorating	5	.8
Trucking	5	.8
Clerical	1	.1
Misc. services, crafts, hospital work/army, air force	16	2.4
Housework and child care	9	1.4
Professional	0	.0
Student	3	.5
ADC	12	1.8
OAA, Social Security, welfare or pension	2	.3
Housewife, not employed outside home	84	12.8
Unemployed	14	2.1
Not applicable	292	44.5
	656	100.0%

AVERAGE ANNUAL INCOME		
Unknown	363	55.3
Under $3000	92	14.0
Over $3000 and under $5000	97	14.8
Over $5000	38	5.8
Welfare, Social Security or Pension/Unemployed	58	8.8
Not applicable - children	6	.9
Job training - vocational training	2	.3
	656	99.9%

Non-High School graduates

INTENSIVE CASEWORK		
Yes	146	22.2
No	510	77.7
	656	99.9%

VISITS OF INTERVIEWEE		
None	81	12.4
1	200	30.5
2	132	20.1
3	55	8.4
4	32	4.9
5-9	78	11.9
10-14	35	5.3
15+	42	6.4
Unknown	1	.1
	656	100.0%

RELOCATED BY BIA		
Unknown	15	2.3
Yes	97	14.8
No	544	82.9
	656	100.0%

TYPE OF ASSISTANCE - CASH		
Unknown	3	.5
None	228	34.8
1 time	211	32.2
2	205	16.0
3	33	5.0
4	22	3.3
5-9	43	6.5
10-14	6	.9
15+	5	.8
	656	100.0%

TYPE OF ASSISTANCE - CLOTHING		
Unknown	4	.6
None	428	65.2
1 time	133	20.3
2	41	6.3
3	16	2.4
4	7	1.1
5-9	16	2.4
10-14	4	.6
15+	7	1.1
	656	100.0%

KINDS OF ASSISTANCE		
None	83	12.6
One kind	248	37.8
Two kinds	134	20.4
Three kinds	108	16.5
Four kinds	46	7.0

PSYCHIATRIC CASEWORK		
Yes	30	4.6
No	626	95.4
	656	100.0%

TOTAL VISITS OF ALL MEMBERS OF FAMILY		
NOt applicable	253	28.6
1	89	13.6
2	80	12.2
3	44	6.7
4	38	5.8
5-9	66	10.1
10-14	20	3.1
15+	53	8.1
None	13	1.9
	656	100.0%

CASE IDENTIFICATION		
Person seen most	505	77.0
Person seen least	67	10.2
Person not seen	78	11.9
Child in family seen	6	.9
	656	100.0%

TYPE OF ASSISTANCE - FOOD		
Unknown	2	.3
None	423	64.5
1 time	141	21.5
2	37	5.6
3	16	2.4
4	10	1.5
5-9	20	3.1
10-14	2	.3
15+	5	.8
	656	100.0%

TYPE OF ASSISTANCE - OTHERS-JOB, MEDICAL, LEGAL, EDUCATIONAL		
Unknown	8	1.2
None	451	68.7
1 time	140	21.3
2	41	6.3
3	11	1.7
4	3	.5
5-9	2	.3
10-14	0	.0
15+	0	.0
	656	100.0%

Five kinds	20	3.1
Six kinds	13	2.0
Seven kinds	4	.6
	656	100.0%

Non-High School graduates

COURT HEARING THIS YEAR IN FAMILY		
Unknown	287	43.7
None	175	26.7
Truancy	4	.6
Dependency of children	19	2.9
Runaway	9	1.4
Curfew	1	.1
Other	19	2.9
Not applicable	142	21.6
	656	99.9%

COURT HEARING OF SPOUSE		
Unknown	271	41.3
None	118	18.0
No spouse	234	35.7
Drunk-disorderly	13	2.0
Assault	3	.5
Robbery	9	1.4
Other	8	1.2
	656	100.1%

TOTAL KNOWN CHILDREN WITH PRESENT WIFE		
Unknown	12	1.8
Single	121	18.4
Functionally single person-probably has had children but they are not known to the agency	56	8.5
Married but no children	23	3.5
Woman - children recorded in next question	297	45.3
1	34	5.2
2	36	5.5
3	30	4.6
4	11	1.7
5	14	2.1
6	10	1.5
7	6	.9
8	3	.5
9	2	.3
10	1	.1
11	0	.0
	656	99.9%

COURT HEARING OF THIS PERSON		
Unknown	370	56.4
None	218	33.2
Drunk-Disorderly	39	5.9
Robbery	6	.9
Assault	1	.1
Other	18	2.7
Runaway	4	.6
	656	99.9%

PREMATURITY IN FAMILY		
Unknown	379	57.8
None	125	19.0
No children	128	19.5
1	14	2.1
2	7	1.1
3	0	.0
4	2	.3
5	1	.1
6	0	.0
	656	99.9%

TOTAL KNOWN CHILDREN OF WOMAN		
Unknown	14	2.1
Single person	48	7.3
Male Card	299	45.6
Married but no children	17	2.6
1	62	9.4
2	52	7.9
3	55	8.4
4	31	4 7
5	21	3.2
6	25	3.8
7	15	2.3
8	9	1.4
9	4	.6
10	3	.5
11	1	.1
	656	99.9%

Non-High School graduates

CHILDREN LIVING IN HOME WHO ARE PRESCHOOL OR IN SCHOOL

Unknown	15	2.3
Not applicable	317	48.3
1	99	15.1
2	89	13.6
3	58	8.8
4	29	4.4
5	19	2.9
6	17	2.6
7	7	1.1
8	3	.5
9	2	.3
10	1	.1
11	0	.0
	656	100.0%

CHILDREN LIVING OUTSIDE OF HOME

Unknown	16	2.4
Not applicable	312	47.6
1	47	7.2
2	36	5.5
3	23	3.5
4	16	2.4
5	17	2.6
6+	22	3.4
None	167	25.5
	656	100.1%

LOCATION OF OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME

Unknown	13	2.9
No children	249	38.0
No children outside home	235	35.8
At school/grown independent/in army	80	12.2
Court custody-institution	5	.8
Court custody - foster home	17	2.6
Foster home - not court custody	3	.5
With relatives	50	7.6
Adoption	4	.6
	656	100.1%

CHILDREN LIVING IN HOME NOT IN SCHOOL

Unknown	30	4.6
Not applicable	326	49.7
All children in school or preschool	257	39.2
1	32	4.9
2	8	1.2
3	1	.1
4	2	.3
5	0	.0
6	0	.0
	656	100.0%

#UMBER OF PEOPLE IN HOME

Unknown	1	.1
1	275	41.9
2	71	10.8
3	87	13.3
4	89	13.6
5	53	8.1
6	27	4.1
7	19	2.9
8 or more	34	5.2
	656	100.0%

LOCATION OF SECOND OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME

Unknown	10	1.5
No children	331	50.5
No children outside home	197	30.0
At school/grown independent/in army	56	8.5
Court custody - institution	3	.5
Court custody - foster home	24	3.7
Foster home - not court custody	1	.1
With relatives	31	4.7
Adoption	3	.5
	656	100.0%

Non-High School graduates

LOCATION OF THIRD OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME

Unknown	11	1.7
No children	404	61.6
No children outside home	157	23.9
At school/grown independent/in army	40	6.1
Court custody - institution	2	.3
Court custody - foster home	15	2.3
Foster home - not court custody	3	.5
With relatives	21	3.2
Adoption	3	.5
	656	100.1%

LOCATION OF FIFTH OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME

Unknown	6	.9
No children	508	77.4
No children outside home	90	13.7
At school/grown independent/in army	25	3.8
Court custody - institution	0	.0
Court custody - foster home	10	1.5
Foster home - not court custody	4	.6
With relatives	11	1.7
Adoption	2	.3
	656	99.9%

AGE OF OLDEST CHILD

Unknown	23	3.5
Not applicable	246	37.5
In home		
0-4	111	16.9
5-9	79	12.0
10-14	49	7.5
15 and above	24	3.7
Outside home		
0-4	17	2.6
5-14	36	5.5
15 and above	71	10.8
	656	100.0%

LOCATION OF FOURTH OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME

Unknown	7	1.1
No children	478	72.9
No children outside home	106	16.2
At school/grown independent/in army	30	4.6
Court custody - institution	0	.0
Court custody - foster home	16	2.4
Foster home - not court custody	3	.5
With relatives	15	2.3
Adoption	1	.1
	656	100.1%

LOCATION OF SIXTH OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME

Unknown	7	1.1
No children	531	80.9
No children outside home	86	13.1
At school/grown independent/in army	13	2.0
Court custody- institution	6	.9
Court custody - foster home	0	.0
Foster home - not court custody	3	.5
With relatives	9	1.4
Adoption	1	.1
	656	100.0%

AGE OF SECOND OLDEST CHILD

Unknown	25	3.8
Not applicable	329	50.1
In home		
0-4	98	14.9
5-9	64	9.8
10-14	29	4.4
15 and above	19	2.9
Outside home		
0-4	14	2.1
5-14	28	4.3
15 and above	50	7.6
	656	99.9%

Non -High School graduates

AGE OF THIRD OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	20	3.1
Not applicable	417	63.6
In home		
0-4	75	11.4
5-9	42	6.4
10-14	19	2.9
15 and above	11	1.7
Outside home		
0-4	12	1.8
5-14	24	3.7
15 and above	36	5.5
	656	100.1%

AGE OF FOURTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	13	2.0
Not applicable	499	76.1
In home		
0-4	39	5.9
5-9	33	5.0
10-14	15	2.3
15 and above	6	.9
Outside home		
0-4	8	1.2
5-14	17	2.6
15 and above	26	4.0
	656	100.0%

AGE OF FIFTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	3	2.0
Not applicable	542	82.6
In home		
0-4	28	4.3
5-9	16	2.4
10-14	12	1.8
15 and above	5	.8
Outside home		
0-4	6	.9
5-14	12	1.8
15 and above	22	3.4
	656	100.0%

AGE OF SIXTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	11	1.7
Not applicable	575	87.6
In home		
0-4	29	4.4
5-9	11	1.7
10-14	9	1.4
15 and above	4	.6
Outside home		
0-4	3	.5
5-14	3	.5
15 and above	11	1.7
	656	100.1%

AGE OF SEVENTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	7	1.1
Not applicable	612	93.3
In home		
0-4	13	2.0
5-9	7	1.1
10-14	3	.5
15 and above	3	.5
Outside home		
0-4	1	.1
5-14	5	.7
15 and above	5	.7
	656	100.0%

AGE OF EIGHTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	6	.9
Not applicable	632	96.3
In home		
0-4	7	1.1
5-9	9	1.4
10-14	0	.0
15 and above	1	.1
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	0	.0
15 and above	1	.1
	656	99.9%

AGE OF NINTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	6	.9
Not applicable	642	97.9
In home		
0-4	5	.8
5-9	2	.3
10-14	0	.0
15 and above	1	.1
Out side home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	0	.0
15 and above	0	.0
	656	100.0%

Non-High School graduates

AGE OF TENTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	7	1.2
Not applicable	645	98.3
In home		
0-4	2	.3
5-9	1	.1
10-14	0	.0
15and above	1	.1
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	0	.0
15 and above	0	.0
	656	100.0%

AGE OF ELEVENTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	8	1.2
Not applicable	647	98.6
In home		
0-4	1	.1
5-9	0	.0
10-14	0	.0
15and above	0	.0
Out side home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	0	.0
15 and above	0	.0
	656	99.9%

ST. AUGUSTINE'S CENTER INDIAN DATA - 1968

CHICAGO INDIAN DATA: High School Graduates
(N = 292)

SEX	N	%
Male	168	57.5
Female	124	42.5
	292	100.0%

AGE	N	%
Unknown	1	.3
14 and under	0	.0
15- 9	3	1.0
20-24	49	16.8
25-29	76	26.0
30-34	64	21.9
35-39	45	15.4
40-44	19	6.5
45-49	14	4.8
50-54	16	5.5
55-59	5	1.7
60-64	0	.0
65 and over	0	.0
	292	99.9%

TRIBE	N	%
Chippewa	63	21.6
Menominee	37	12 7
Dakota-Sioux	38	13.0
Winnebago	21	17.2
Potowatomi	7	2.4
Oneida	9	3.1
Cherokee	1	.3
Stockbridge	0	.0
Ottawa	9	3.1
Navajo	5	1.7
Eskimo	6	2.0
Choctaw	6	2.0
Cheyenne	8	2.7
Seneca	0	.0
Other	60	20.6
White	21	7.2
Negro	0	.0
Spanish	1	.3
Unknown	0	.0
	292	99.9%

PLACE (STATE) OF BIRTH

Unknown	5	1.7	Texas	1	.3
Wisconsin	111	38.0	California	1	.3
Minnesota	17	5.8	Washington	1	.3
South Dakota	31	10.6	Iowa	3	1.0
North Dakota	14	4.8	New York	2	.7
Michigan	10	3.4	North Carolina	0	.0
Illinois	7	2.0	South Carolina	0	.0
Kansas	1	.3	Florida	1	.3
Arizona	16	5.5	Alaska	8	2.7
Utah	1	.3	Idaho	0	.0
New Mexico	1	.3	Wyoming	2	.7
Oklahoma	22	7.5	Colorado	0	.0
Nebraska	7	2.4	Ohio	0	.0
Montana	7	2.4	Oregon	1	.3
Nevada	3	1.0	Kentucky	0	.0
Mississippi	3	1.0	Tennessee	1	.3

High School Graduates

Indiana	0	.0
Puerto Rico	0	.0
West Virginia	2	.7
Mexico, South America	1	.3
Canada	6	2.1
Missouri	1	.3
Arkansas	1	.3
Rhode Island	1	.3
Massachusetts	1	.3
Others	2	.7
	292	99.9%

MARITAL STATUS - CURRENT

Unknown	1	.3
Single	52	17.8
Married	149	51.0
Separated	62	21.2
Divorced	21	7.2
Widowed	3	1.0
Unmarried mothers	4	1.4
	292	99.9%

HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD

Unknown	9	3.1
Yes	218	74.7
No	65	22.3
	292	100.0

RELIGION

Unknown	6	2.1
No religious preference	25	8.6
Roman Catholic	150	51.4
Protestant	82	28.1
Episcopal	22	7.5
Orthodox	4	1.4
Native American Church	1	.3
Tribal	0	.0
Other Relig. preference	2	.7
	292	100.1%

EDUCATION OF SPOUSE

Unknown	22	7.5
0-8 years	25	8.6
9 years	14	4.8
10 years	33	11.3
11 years	17	5.8
12 years	59	20.2
13 years or more	19	6.5
Vocational training	4	1.4
Not applicable	99	34.0
	292	100.1%

TYPE OF BIRTHPLACE

Unknown	18	6.2
Reservation (at the time of birth)	150	51.4
Indian Community	68	23.3
Urban area - small town	31	10.6
Big City (population over 100,000)	25	8.6
	292	100.1

TYPE OF MARRIAGE

Unknown	14	4.8
Not Applicable (not married)	67	23.0
Intertribal	88	30.1
Intratribal	68	23.3
Interracial	55	18.8
	292	100.1%

EDUCATION

Unknown	0	.0
0-5 years	0	.0
6-8 years	0	.0
9 years	0	.0
10 years	0	.0
11 years	0	.0
12 years	241	82.5
13 years and over	37	12.7
Vocational training	14	4.8
	292	100.0%

High School Graduates

PLACE OF EDUCATION		
Unknown	175	59.9
Reservation Day School - Indian	37	12.7
Reservation Residential School - Indian	17	5.8
Off Reservation Day School-Integrated	27	9.3
Off Reservation Residential School-Integrated	2	.7
Urban School	25	8.6
Reservation Day School-Integrated	9	3.1
	292	100.1%

CHILDHOOD HOME		
Unknown	191	65.4
Raised by both parents	57	19.5
Raised by one parent	17	5.8
Raised by one parent and step parent	4	1.4
Raised by relatives	7	2.4
Raised in foster home	3	1.0
Raised in institution	1	.3
Raised in combination of above	12	4.1
	292	99.9%

MOBILITY		
Unknown	32	10.9
0	99	33.9
1	90	30.8
2	35	12.0
3	8	2.7
4	4	1.4
5	0	.0
6 and over	3	1.0
drifter	21	7.2
	292	99.9%

TYPE OF MOBILITY		
Unknown	26	8.9
Not applicable - no moves	99	33.9
Within city	39	13.4
Within uptown	80	27.4
from city to city	18	6.2
From city to reservation or Indian community	11	3.8
From reservation to city	18	6.2
Combination of 6 and 7	1	.3
	292	100.1%

REASON FOR MOVES		
Unknown	63	21.5
Not applicable- no moves	99	33.9
Employment	11	3.8
Family affairs or difficulties	30	10.3
Visits	2	.7
Education	1	.3
Unsatisfactory living conditions	20	6.9
Financial difficulties nonpayment of rent, rent too high, eviction, and other reasons such as pathology, family pensions, etc.	45	15.4
Drifter	21	7.2
	292	100.1%

High School graduates

ARRIVAL IN CHICAGO		
Unknown	5	1.7
Before 1953	24	8.2
1953-56	19	6.5
1957-60	37	12.7
1961-64	68	23.3
1965-66	55	18.8
1967	34	11.6
1968	46	15.8
Native-born in Chicago	4	1.4
	292	100.0%

INDIAN BLOOD		
Unknown to us	128	43.9
Unknown to client	3	1.0
Less than 1/4	2	.7
1/4 and less than 1/2	12	4.1
1/2 and less than 3/4	36	12.3
3/4 - full	90	30.8
Non-Indian	21	7.2
	292	100.0%

HEALTH		
Unknown	70	24.0
No problems	172	58.9
Accident - this year	14	4.8
Diabetes - any year	1	.3
Tuberculosis - any year	7	2.4
Hospitalization for mental illness - any year	3	1.0
Hospitalization for alcoholism - any year	6	2.0
Drug misuse	0	.0
Suicidal attempts	0	.0
Physically disabled	2	.7
Other	17	5.8
	292	99.9%

RELATIVE IN CHICAGO		
Unknown	24	7.2
Yes	171	58.6
No	97	33.2
	292	100.0%

TRADITIONALISM		
Unknown	147	50 3
Speaks Indian language	84	28.8
Does not speak Indian but parents do along with English	24	8.2
Does not speak Indian but parents do - no English	0	.0
No, neither parents do	12	4.1
Understands but does not speak	3	1.0
Non-Indian	22	7.5
	292	99.9%

DRINKING PROBLEMS		
Unknown	112	38.3
Yes	105	36.0
No, no drinking problems	62	21.2
No, but others in family have	13	4.5
	292	100.0%

High School graduates

EMPLOYMENT STATUS		
Unknown	13	4.4
Daily pay	59	20.2
Laborer - no special skill	26	8.9
Factory or garage	56	19.2
Construction	10	3.4
Landscaping	6	2.0
Decorating	3	1.0
Trucking	4	1.4
Clerical	7	2.4
Misc. services, crafts, and hospital work/ army, air force	18	6.2
Housework and child care	4	1.4
Professional	0	.0
Student	4	1.4
ADC	18	6.2
OAA, Social Security, Welfare or pension	10	3.4
Housewife, not employed outside the home	43	14.7
Unemployed	11	3.8
	292	99.9%

SPOUSE'S OCCUPATION		
Unknown	24	8.1
Daily pay	20	6.9
Laborer - no special skills	7	2.4
Factory or garage	29	9.9
Construction	8	2.7
Landscaping	4	1.4
Decorating	0	.0
Trucking	3	1.0
Clerical	5	1.7
Misc. services, crafts, hospital work/army, air force	9	3.1
Housework and child care	3	1.0
Professional	0	.0
Student	0	.0
ADC	7	2.4
OAA, Social Security, welfare or pension	6	2.1
Housewife, not employed outside the home	45	15.4
Unemployed	6	2.1
Not applicable - no spouse	116	39.7
	292	100.0%

INTENSIVE CASEWORK		
Yes	50	17.1
No	242	82.9
	292	100.0%

PSYCHIATRIC CASEWORK		
Yes	17	5.7
No	275	94.2
	292	99.9%

TOTAL VISITS OF ALL MEMBERS OF FAMILY		
Not applicable; no other members of family	107	36.7
1	41	14.0
2	41	14.0
3	17	5.8
4	15	5.1
5-9	36	12.3
10-14	6	2.1
15+	24	8.2
None	5	1.7
	292	99.9%

VISITS OF INTERVIEWEE		
None	49	16.7
1	70	24.0
2	59	20.2
3	34	11.6
4	22	7.5
5-9	30	10.3
10-14	13	4.5
15+	15	5.1
Unknown	0	.0
	292	99.9%

RELOCATED BY BIA		
Unknown	10	3.4
Yes	59	20.2
No	223	76.4
	292	100.0%

High School graduates

CASE IDENTIFICATION		
Person seen most	207	70.9
Person seen least	39	13.4
Person not seen	46	15.7
Child in family seen	0	.0
	292	100.0%

TYPE OF ASSISTANCE: FOOD		
Unknown	2	.6
None	196	67.1
1	60	20.6
2	16	5.5
3	8	2.8
4	3	1.0
5-9	5	1.7
10-14	1	.3
15+	1	.3
	292	99.9%

TYPE OF ASSISTANCE: OTHERS - JOB, MEDICAL, LEGAL, EDUCATIONAL		
Unknown	4	1.4
None	215	73.6
1	50	17.1
2	20	6.9
3	2	.7
4	1	.3
5-9	0	.0
10-14	0	.0
15+	0	.0
	292	100.0%

COURT HEARING THIS YEAR IN FAMILY		
Unknown	119	40.8
None	90	30.8
Truancy	2	.7
Dependency of children	7	2.4
Runaway	3	1.0
Curfew	1	.3
Other	70	24.0
Not applicable	0	0.0
	292	99.9%

TYPE OF ASSISTANCE: CASH		
Unknown	3	1.0
None	107	36.6
1 time	79	27.1
2	52	17.8
3	22	7.5
4	12	4.1
5-9	12	4.1
10-14	2	.7
15+	3	1.0
	292	99.9%

TYPE OF ASSISTANCE: CLOTHING		
Unknown	2	.6
None	212	72.6
1	49	16.8
2	15	5.1
3	4	1.4
4	3	1.0
5-9	4	1.4
10-14	1	.3
15+	2	.7
	292	99.9%

KINDS OF ASSISTANCE		
None	55	18.8
One kind	107	36.6
Two kinds	64	22.0
Three Kinds	33	11.3
Four kinds	17	5.8
Five kinds	8	2.7
Six kinds	2	.7
Seven kinds	6	2.1
	292	100.0%

AVERAGE ANNUAL INCOME		
Unknown	174	59.5
Under $3,000	27	9.3
Over 3000 & under 5000	32	11.0
Over $5000	31	10.6
Welfare, Social Security or pension/unemployed	27	9.3
Not applicable-children	1	0.3
Job training/vocational training	0	0.0
	292	100.0

High School graduates

COURT HEARING OF THIS PERSON

Unknown	163	55.8
None	106	36.3
Drunk-Disorderly	12	4.1
Robbery	4	1.4
Assualt	0	.0
Other	7	2.4
Runaway	0	.0
	292	100.0%

PREMATURITY IN FAMILY

Unknown	153	51.4
None	58	19.9
No children	76	26.0
1	4	1.4
2	0	0.0
3	0	0.0
4 or more	1	0.3
	292	100.0%

TOTAL KNOWN CHILDREN OF WOMAN

Unknown	7	2.4
Single person	21	7.2
Male card	149	51.0
Married but no child	11	3.8
1	23	7.9
2	32	11.0
3	19	6.5
4	9	3.1
5	8	2.7
6	4	1.4
7	1	.3
8	3	1.0
9	0	.0
10	3	1.0
11	2	.7
	292	100.0%

CHILDREN LIVING IN HOME WHO ARE PRESCHOOL OR IN SCHOOL

Unknown	9	3.1
Not applicable	127	43.5
1	42	14.4
2	55	18.8
3	27	9.3
4	14	4.8
5	4	1.4
6	7	2.4
7	1	.3
8	2	.7
9	3	1.0
10	1	.3
11	0	.0
	292	100.0%

COURT HEARING OF SPOUSE

Unknown	111	38.0
None	72	24.7
No Spouse	101	34.6
Drunk-Disorderly	4	1.4
Assualt	0	.0
Robbery	-	.3
Other	3	1.0
	292	100.0%

TOTAL KNOWN CHILDREN WITH PRESENT WIFE

Unknown	8	2.7
Single	47	16.1
Functionally single person - probably has had children but they are not known to the Agency	33	11.3
Married but no children	12	4.1
Woman - children recorded in next question	114	39.0
1	24	8.2
2	24	8.2
3	13	4.5
4	5	1.7
5	6	2.1
6	4	1.4
7	0	.0
8	1	.3
9	0	.0
10	0	.0
11	1	.3
	292	99.9%

High School graduates

CHILDREN LIVING IN THE HOME NOT IN SCHOOL		
0	2	.7
Unknown	8	2.7
Not applicable	138	47.3
All Children in school or preschool	132	45.2
1	10	3.4
2	2	.7
3	0	.0
4	0	.0
5	0	.0
6	0	.0
	292	99.9%

NUMBER OF PEOPLE IN HOME		
Unknown	3	1.0
1	113	38.7
2	26	8.9
3	48	16.4
4	44	15 1
5	29	9.9
6	13	4 5
7	4	1.4
8 or more	12	4.1
	292	100.0%

LOCATION OF THIRD OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME		
Unknown	1	.3
No children	195	66.8
No children outside home	77	26.4
At school/ grown independent/ in army	9	3.1
Court custody - institution	1	0.3
Court custody - foster home	3	1.0
Foster home; no court custody	1	0.3
With relatives	4	1.4
Adoption	1	0.3
	292	99.9%

CHILDREN LIVING OUTSIDE THE HOME		
Unknown	55	1.7
No children	152	52.1
1	30	10.3
2	14	4.8
3	7	2.4
4	1	.3
5	6	2.1
6+	3	1.0
None	74	25.3
	292	100.0%

LOCATION OF OLDEST CHILD OUTSDIE HOME		
Unknown	5	1.7
No children	113	38.7
No children outside the home	120	41.1
At school/grown independent/in army	23	7.9
Court custody - Institution	1	.3
Court Custody - Foster home	4	1.4
Foster home - not court custody	3	1.0
With relatives	23	7.9
Adoption	0	.0
	292	100.0%

LOCATION OF SECONDOLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME		
Unknown	2	.7
No children	150	51.4
No children outside home	108	37.0
At school/grown independent/ in army	13	4.5
Court custody - institution	0	.0
Court custody - foster home	3	1.0
Foster home - no court custody	3	1.0
With relatives	13	4.5
Adoption	0	.0
	292	100.1%

High School graduates

LOCATION OF FOURTH OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME

Unknown	1	.3
No children	223	76.4
No children outside home	56	19.2
At school/grown independent/in army	4	1.4
Court custody - institution	0	.0
Court custody - foster home	2	.7
Foster home - no court custody	2	.7
With relatives	4	1.4
Adoption	0	.0
	292	100.1%

LOCATION OF FIFTH OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME

Unknown	1	.3
No children	237	81.2
No children outside home	45	15.4
At school/grown independent/in army	2	.7
Court custody- Institution	0	.0
Court custody - foster home	2	.7
Foster home - no court custody	2	.7
With relatives	3	1.0
Adoption	0	.0
	292	100.0%

LOCATION OF SIXTH OLDEST CHILD OUTSIDE HOME

Unknown	1	.3
No children	242	82.9
No children outside home	40	13.7
At school/grown independent/in army	5	1.7
Court custody - institution	0	.0
Court custody - foster home	0	.0
Foster home - no court custody	2	.7
With relatives	1	.3
Adoption	1	.3
	292	99.9%

AGE OF OLDEST CHILD

Unknown	4	1.4
Not applicable	111	38.0
0-4	66	22.6
5-9	35	12.0
10-14	27	9.3
15 and above	26	2.1
Outside home		
0-4	6	2.1
5-14	12	4.2
15 and above	25	8 6
	292	99.9%

AGE OF SECOND OLDEST CHILD

Unknown	3	1.0
Not applicable	154	52.7
In home		
0-4	51	17.5
5-9	38	13.0
10-14	11	3.8
15 and above	8	2.7
Outside home		
0-4	6	2.1
5-14	6	2.1
15 and above	15	5.1
	292	100.0%

AGE OF THIRD OLDEST CHILD

Unknown	3	1.0
Not applicable	209	71.6
In home		
0-4	27	9.3
5-9	21	7.2
10-14	8	2.7
15 and above	6	2.0
Outside home		
0-4	5	1.7
5-14	4	1.4
15 and above	9	3.1
	292	100.0%

High School graduates

AGE OF FOURTH OLDEST CHILD

Unknown	3	1.0
Not applicable	241	82.5
In home		
0-4	16	5.5
5-9	16	5.5
10-14	4	1.4
15 and above	3	1.0
Outside Home		
0-4	2	.7
5-14	3	1.0
15 and above	4	1.4
	292	100.0%

AGE OF SIXTH OLDEST CHILD

Unknown	2	.7
Not applicable	269	92.1
In home		
0-4	12	4.1
5-9	4	1.4
10-14	1	.3
15 and above	1	.3
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	2	.7
15 and above	1	.3
	292	99.9

AGE OF EIGHTH OLDEST CHILD

Unknown	2	.7
Not applicable	280	95.9
In home		
0-4	4	1.4
5-9	3	1.0
10-14	1	.3
15 and above	0	.0
Outside home		
0-4	1	.3
5-14	1	.3
15 and above	0	.0
	292	99.9%

AGE OF TENTH OLDEST CHILD

Unknown	2	.7
Not applicable	284	97.3
In home		
0-4	5	1.7
5-9	1	.3
10-14	0	.0
15and above	0	.0

AGE OF FIFTH OLDEST CHILD

Unknown	3	1.0
Not applicable	256	87.7
In home		
0-4	13	4.5
5-9	6	2.1
10-14	5	1.7
15 and above	1	.3
Outside home		
0-4	3	1.0
5-14	2	.7
15 and above	3	1.0
	292	100.0%

AGE OF SEVENTH OLDEST CHILD

Unknown	2	.7
Not applicable	279	95.6
In home		
0-4	5	1.7
5-9	3	1.0
10-14	0	.0
15 and above	1	.3
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	1	.3
15 and above	1	.3
	292	99.9%

AGE OF NINTH OLDEST CHILD

Unknown	2	.7
Not applicable	284	97.3
In home		
0-4	5	1.7
5-9	0	.0
10-14	0	.0
15 and above	0	.0
Outside home		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	1	.3
15 and above	0	.0
	292	100.0%

Outside home

0-4	0	.0
5-14	0	.0
15 and above	0	.0
	292	100.0%

High School graduates

AGE OF ELEVENTH OLDEST CHILD		
Unknown	1	.3
Not applicable	287	98.3
In home-		
0-4	3	1.0
5-9	1	.3
10-14	0	.0
15 and above	0	.0
Outside home-		
0-4	0	.0
5-14	0	.0
15 and above	0	.0
	292	99.9

ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER INDIAN DATA

Males and Females Combined: Comparison of 1967 and 1968 (N = 1027 and 1051)

(Figures are percentages)

SEX	1967	1968
NA	.1	.2
Male	58.3	53.7
Female	41.6	46.1
	100.0%	100.0%

AGE	1967	1968
NA	3.0	0.9
14 and under	.9	0.0
15-19	4.7	3.8
20-24	19.0	17.1
25-29	18.9	21.9
30-34	16.2	17.6
35-39	10.9	12.3
40-44	9.9	10.3
45-49	7.5	6.7
50-54	4.7	4.6
55-59	2.2	2.8
60-64	1.3	1.2
65 and over	.9	.9
	100.1%	100.1%

TRIBE		
NA	1.1	.1
Chippewa	31.9	29.7
Menominee	13.3	17.6
Dakota (Sioux)	12.0	9.0
Winnebago	8.2	5.9
Potawatomi	2.4	3.1
Oneida	3.1	4.1
Cherokee	1.2	1.2
Stockbridge	.4	.4
Ottawa	3.1	2.3
Navajo	2.0	2.1
Eskimo	1.2	1.3
Choctaw	2.0	2.6
Cheyenne	1.0	1.3
Other	13.2	13.5
White	3.4	5.1
Negro	.1	.1
Spanish	.4	.5
	100.0%	99.9%

MARITAL STATUS (CURRENT)		
NA	3.9	.1
Single	19.7	18.2
Married	42.2	46.9
Separated	24.1	20.5
Divorced	6.0	7.3
Widowed	1.9	3.1
Unmarried Mother	2.2	3.9
	100.0%	100.0%

MARITAL STATUS (TYPE)		
NA	30.9	4.8
Not applicable--not married	0.0	26.1
Intratribal (same tribe)	32.7	30.2
Intertribal (two tribes)	21.7	23.4
Interracial (Indian-non-Indian)	14.7	15.5
Previously married	0.0	0.0
	100.0%	100.0%

Males and Females Combined

HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	1967	1968
NA	3.4	2.6
Yes	72.3	74.8
No	24.3	22.6
	100.0%	100.0%

RELIGION	1967	1968
NA	19.9	8.2
No religious preference	0.0	6.9
Roman Catholic	43.2	49.2
Protestant	25.7	26.4
Episcopal	9.4	8.0
Orthodox	.4	.5
Native American Church	.4	.4
Tribal (Long House etc.)	.3	.3
Mormon	.7	.2
	100.0%	100.1%

EDUCATION		
NA	20.0	9.7
0-5 years	2.1	2.8
6-8 years	14.1	16.0
9 years	9.4	9.6
10-11 years	26.2	34.1
12 years	23.0	22.9
13 years or more (no degree)	4.1	3.5
Vocational Training Completed	.9	1.3
College Completed	.2	0.0
	100.0%	99.9%

PLACE OF EDUCATION		
NA	60.9	59.5
Reservation Day School	21.6	15.1
Reservation Residential School	4.3	5.9
Off Reservation Day School (Integrated)	6.1	9.0
Off Reservation Residential School (Integrated)	.5	.6
Urban School	6.6	6.9
Reservation Day School (Integrated)	0.0	3.0
	100.0%	100.0%

CHILDHOOD HOME		
NA	61.1	66.0
Raised by both parents	26.7	17.8
Raised by one parent	5.6	5.7
Raised by one parent and step parent	3.3	2.2
Raised by relatives	1.0	2.5
Raised in foster home	1.9	.5
Raised in institution	.3	.2
Raised in combination of above	0.0	5.1
	99.9%	100.0%

Males and Females Combined

MOBILITY (Change of address)	1967	1968
NA	35.2	11.6
None	19.4	34.2
One	12.9	27.6
2-3	14.9	15.7
Four or more	6.5	3.5
Drifter	11.2	7.3
	100.1%	99.9%

TYPE OF MOBILITY	1967	1968
NA	41.4	10.9
Not applicable--no moves	*	34.0
Within city	29.0	11.9
Within uptown	15.4	25.5
From city to city	4.3	5.5
From city to reservation	3.4	3.0
From reservation to city	6.5	8.9
Combination of two preceeding categories	*	.2
	99.9%	99.9%

REASONS FOR MOVES		
NA	69.2	23.8
Not applicable--no moves	*	34.2
Employment	7.4	6.3
Family affairs or difficulties	20.7	10.6
Visits	.2	.3
Education	.8	.3
Other	1.8	24.5
	100.1%	100.0%

ARRIVAL IN CHICAGO		
NA	4.9	2.4
Before 1953	6.5	7.4
1953-1956	7.6	7.2
1957-1961	17.5	13.4
1962-1965	29.8	23.3
1966	9.9	15.5
1967	23.8	11.7
1968	0.0	17.8
Native-born in Chicago	0.0	1.2
	100.0%	99.9%

RELATIVES IN CHICAGO		
NA	7.0	7.0
Yes	63.8	65.4
No	29.2	27.6
	100.0%	100.0%

INDIAN BLOOD		
NA	58.8	48.2
Less than 1/4	.2	.5
1/4 to 1/2	6.4	4.9
1/2 to 3/4	15.8	13.0
3/4 to full	18.8	27.8
Non-Indian	0.0	5.5
	100.0%	99.9%

TRADITIONALISM (ability to speak Indian language)		
NA	81.0	56.7
Speak Indian language only one in family	.3	0.0
Speak Indian language all in family	6.7	24.4
No,but parents do along with English	2.7	7.9
No,but parents do Indian only	.4	.2
No, and neither do parents	8.9	3.8
Understands but does not speak	0.0	1.4
Non-Indian	0.0	5.6
	100.0%	100.0%

HEALTH		
NA	23.1	23.9
No problems	63.2	57.7
Accident--industrial this year	2.7	3.0
Accident--any year	2.2	0.0
Diabetes--this year	1.1	2.2
T.B.--any year	2.6	3.3
Hospitalization for mental illness-any year	.8	.9
Hospitalization for alcoholism-any year	.9	.9
Drug addiction	.3	.6
Victim of assult this related to drinking	3.1	0.0
Suicidal attempts	0.0	.2
Physically disabled	0.0	1.3
Other	0.0	6.1
	100.0%	100.1%

* Category not used in 1967

Males and Females Combined

DRINKING PROBLEMS	1967	1968
NA	41.8	37.0
Yes	38.7	39.7
No	12.8	18.8
No, but others in family have problem	6.7	4.5
	100.0%	100.0%

AVERAGE ANNUAL INCOME	1967	1968
NA	83.1	57.6
Under $3,000	8.1	12.3
Over $3,000 and under $5,000	5.8	13.7
Over $5,000	3.0	7.3
Welfare, Social Security or Pension/Unemployed	*	8.3
Not applicable-children	*	.7
Job training: vocation training	*	.2
	100.0%	100.1%

INTENSIVE CASEWORK ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER		
NA	.9	.5
Yes	26.4	18.8
No	72.7	80.7
	100.0%	100.0%

PSYCHIATRIC CASEWORK ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER		
NA	.5	.5
Yes	6.4	5.0
No	93.1	94.5
	100.0%	100.0%

VISITS OF INTERVIEWEE ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER		
NA	12.3	.1
None	0.0	14.7
One	31.7	30.0
Two	15.6	19.6
Three	9.3	9.0
Four	6.7	5.3
Five to nine	11.0	11.0
10-14	5.6	4.7
15-19	3.5	5.5
20 or more	4.4	0.0
	100.1%	99.9%

RELOCATED BY B.I.A.		
NA	5.3	3.9
Yes	14.8	15.8
No	79.9	80.2
	100.0%	99.9%

CASE IDENTIFICATION ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER		
NA	1.3	0.0
Person seen most	73.4	74.6
Person seen least	13.1	10.7
Person not seen	11.0	14.1
Child in family seen	1.2	.6
	100.0%	100.0%

* Category not used in 1967

Males and Females Combined

COURT HEARINGS IN FAMILY	1967	1968
NA	95.0	43.1
None	0.0	27.4
Truancy	.8	.6
Dependency	1.9	2.7
Runaway	.5	1.3
Curfew	.3	.2
Other	1.6	2.6
Not applicable	0.0	22.2
	100.1%	100.1%

COURT HEARINGS OF THIS PERSON	1967	1968
NA	92.1	57.7
None	0.0	33.1
Drunk or disorderly	3.7	5.2
Assault	1.0	.1
Roberry	.9	1.0
Runaway	0.0	.4
Other	2.3	2.5
	100.0%	100.0%

COURT HEARINGS OF SPOUSE		
NA	97.5	40.7
None	0.0	19.9
No spouse	0.0	35.1
Drunk and disorderly	1.0	2.0
Assault	.6	.3
Robberry	.1	1.0
Other	.8	1.0
	100.0%	100.0%

PREMATURITY IN FAMILY		
NA	97.5	55.9
None	0.0	19.5
No children	0.0	21.8
One	1.7	1.7
Two	.4	.7
Three	.3	0.0
Four or more	.2	.4
	100.0%	100.0%

ST. AUGUSTINE'S CENTER INDIAN DATA

Males Only: Comparison of 1967 and 1968
(N = 599 and 564)

(Figures are percentages)

SEX	1967	1968
NA	0.0	0.0
Male	100.0	100.0
Female	0.0	0.0
	100.0%	100.0%

AGE	1967	1968
NA	2.2	.7
14 and under	.5	0.0
15-19	2.4	1.2
20-24	14.7	12.9
25-29	19.9	20.9
30-34	17.7	20.0
35-39	13.2	13.7
40-44	11.1	11.4
45-49	8.4	7.4
50-54	5.1	5.9
55-59	2.2	2.8
60-64	1.5	1.4
65 and over	1.5	1.6
	100.4%	99.9%

TRIBE		
NA	.8	0.0
Chippewa	30.3	28.7
Menominee	12.1	15.6
Dakota (Sioux)	14.1	10.6
Winnebago	8.2	6.9
Potowatomi	1.7	1.6
Oneida	2.7	2.8
Cherokee	1.7	1.8
Stockbridge	.3	0.0
Ottawa	3.7	2.5
Navajo	2.4	2.5
Eskimo	1.5	1.8
Choctaw	1.3	1.9
Cheyenne	1.3	2.1
Other	15.2	15.1
White	2.4	5.0
Negro	.2	.2
Spanish	.5	.9
	100.3%	100.0%

MARITAL STATUS		
NA	5.7	0.0
Single	27.5	25.7
Married	36.6	43.3
Separated	23.5	18.3
Divorced	5.3	9.8
Widowed	1.3	3.0
Unmarried Mothers	0.0	0.0
	99.9%	100.1%

MARITAL STATUS (TYPE)		
NA	39.2	6.0
Not applicable-not married	0.0	32.1
Intratribal (same tribe)	30.9	28.4
Intertribal (two tribes)	19.0	20.6
Interracial (Indian-non_Indian)	10.9	12.9
Previously married	0.0	0.0
	100.0%	100.0%

Males Only

HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	1967	1968
NA	4.0	1.2
Yes	92.2	97.3
No	3.8	1.4
	100.0%	99.9%

RELIGION	1967	1968
NA	20.2	9.2
No religious preference	0.0	6.4
Roman Catholic	43.7	48.1
Protestant	25.5	26.9
Orthodox	.7	.4
Native American Church	.5	.5
Tribal (Long Horne,etc.)	.3	.2
Mormon	.3	0.0
Episcopal	8.7	8.4
	99.9%	100.1%

EDUCATION		
NA	19.0	10.6
0-5 years	2.3	3.4
6-8 years	14.5	16.3
9 years	8.0	7.8
10-11 years	27.0	32.1
12 years	22.2	23.8
13 years or more (no degree)	5.5	4.3
Vocational Training Completed	1.2	1.8
College Completed	.2	0.0
	99.9%	100.1%

PLACE OF EDUCATION		
NA	65.1	65.9
Reservation	19.5	12.4
Reservation residential school	3.8	4.8
Off reservation day school(integrated)	5.5	6.7
Off reservation residential school(integrated)	.5	.7
Urban School	5.5	6.6
Reservation Day School (integrated)	0.0	2.8
	99.9%	99.9%

CHILDHOOD HOME		
NA	65.1	72.5
Raised by both parents	26.2	17.4
Raised by one parent	3.5	3.7
Raised by one parent and step-parent	2.3	1.4
Raised by relatives	.7	1.4
Raised in foster home	2.0	.4
Raised in institution	.2	.2
Raised in combination of above	0.0	3.0
	100.0%	100.0%

Males Only

MOBILITY (Change of address)	1967	1968
NA	38.7	11.4
None	15.9	34.0
One	10.9	24.3
2-3	12.2	14.9
Four or more	4.2	2.5
Drifter	18.2	12.9
	100.1%	100.0%

REASONS FOR MOVES		
NA	74.0	24.8
Not applicable--no moves	*	34.4
Employment	9.2	7.8
Family affairs or difficulties	14.4	6.0
Visits	.2	0.0
Education	.8	.2
Other	1.3	26.8
	100.1%	100.0%

RELATIVES IN CHICAGO		
NA	7.6	7.4
Yes	61.7	61.2
No	30.9	31.4
	100.2%	100.0%

TRADITIONALISM (ability to speak Indian language)		
NA	86.1	60.1
Speak Indian language-only one in family	.3	0.0
Speak Indian language--all do	6.8	27.3
No, but parents do, along with English	1.7	4.3
No,but parents do, Indian only	0.0	0.0
No, and neither do parents	5.0	1.4
Understand but does not speak	0.0	1.0
Non-Indian	0.0	5.8
	99.9%	99.9%

TYPE OF MOBILITY	1967	1968
NA	42.7	11.0
Not applicable--no moves	*	34.0
Within city	31.5	14.4
Within uptown	11.9	23.4
From city to city	4.0	6.9
From city to reservation	2.8	1.1
From reservation to city	7.2	9.0
Combination of two preceeding categories	*	.2
	100.1%	100.0%

ARRIVAL IN CHICAGO		
NA	3.7	1.6
Before 1953	6.2	8.0
1953-1956	5.7	5.5
1957-1961	16.2	12.4
1962-1965	29.9	23.9
1966	11.0	16.7
1967	27.4	12.4
1968	0.0	18.3
Native-born in Chicago	0.0	1.2
	100.1%	100.0%

INDIAN BLOOD		
NA	65.1	52.2
Less than 1/4	.2	.4
1/4 to 1/2	4.5	3.0
1/2 to 3/4	12.7	9.4
3/4 to full	17.5	29.3
Non-Indian	0.0	5.8
	100.0%	100.1%

HEALTH		
NA	26.8	25.9
No problems	58.9	54.1
Accident--industrial this year	3.7	4.1
Accident--other year	2.7	0.0
Diabetes--any year	1.0	2.3
TB--any year	2.9	3.7
Hospitalization for mental illness-any year	.7	.7
Hospitalization for alcoholism-any year	1.2	1.1
Drug addiction	.2	.9
Victim of assault- this related to drinking	2.5	0.0
Suicidal Attempts	0.0	.2
Physically Disabled	0.0	1.8
Other	0.0	5.3
	100.0%	100.1%

* Category not used in 1967

Males Only

DRINKING PROBLEMS	1967	1968
NA	41.9	35.1
Yes	48.2	51.8
No	8.7	12.6
No, but others in family have problems	1.2	.5
	100.0%	100.0%

AVERAGE ANNUAL INCOME	1967	1968
NA	85.6	63.0
Under $3,000	7.3	11.7
Over $3,000 and under $5,000	4.3	13.6
Over $5,000	2.7	7.4
Welfare, Social Security or Pension/Unemployed	*	3.7
Not applicable-children	*	.4
Job training: vocational training	*	.2
	100.0%	100.0%

INTENSIVE CASEWORK ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER		
NA	.7	0.0
Yes	17.0	9.4
No	82.3	90.6
	100.0%	100.0%

PSYCHIATRIC CASEWORK ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER		
NA	.7	0.0
Yes	6.0	4.8
No	93.3	95.2
	100.0%	100.0%

VISITS OF INTERVIEWEE ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER		
NA	12.5	0.0
None	0.0	16.5
One	33.3	32.3
Two	18.1	21.3
Three	8.9	8.9
Four	6.2	4.8
Five to nine	9.9	9.0
10-14	5.4	3.4
15-19	2.8	3.9
20 or more	3.2	0.0
	100.3%	100.1%

RELOCATED BY B.I.A.		
NA	3.7	3.9
Yes	15.5	16.8
No	80.8	79.3
	100.0%	100.0%

CASE IDENTIFICATION ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER		
NA	1.2	0.0
Person seen most	75.3	74.5
Person seen least	12.0	10.3
Person not seen	11.0	15.1
Child in family seen	.5	.2
	100.0%	100.1%

*Category not used in 1967

Males Only

COURT HEARINGS IN FAMILY	1967	1968
NA	98.0	44.1
None	0.0	22.2
Truancy	.7	.4
Dependency	1.0	1.6
Runaway	0.0	.4
Curfew	0.0	.2
Other	.3	31.2
	100.0%	99.9%

COURT HEARINGS OF THIS PERSON	1967	1968
NA	90.9	62.6
None	0.0	25.7
Drunk and disorderly	5.0	7.4
Assault	1.3	0.2
Roberry	1.2	2.0
Runaway	0.0	.5
Other	1.7	1.6
	100.1%	100.0%

COURT HEARINGS OF SPOUSE		
NA	99.0	40.1
None	0.0	19.8
No Spouse	0.0	37.9
Drunk and disorderly	.3	.5
Assault	.3	.2
Roberry	0.0	.5
Other	.3	.9
	99.9%	99.9%

PREMATURITY IN FAMILY		
NA	99.3	51.6
None	0.0	17.2
No children	0.0	29.8
One	.5	.5
Two	0.0	.5
Three	0.0	0.0
Four or more	.2	.4
	100.0%	100.0%

ST. AUGUSTINE'S CENTER INDIAN DATA

Females Only: Comparison of 1967 and 1968
(N = 427 and 485)

(Figures are percentages)

SEX	1967	1968
NA	0.0	0.0
Male	0.0	0.0
Female	100.0	100.0
	100.0%	100.0%

AGE	1967	1968
NA	2.0	1.0
14 and under	1.4	0.0
15-19	8.1	6.8
20-24	25.7	22.1
25-29	18.1	23.1
30-34	14.5	14.4
35-39	8.1	10.7
40-44	8.6	9.1
45-49	6.4	5.8
50-54	4.3	3.1
55-59	2.4	2.7
60-64	1.0	1.0
65 and over	0.0	0.2
	100.3%	100.0%

TRIBE		
NA	.5	.2
Chippewa	34.8	30.9
Menominee	15.3	20.0
Dakota (Sioux)	9.2	7.2
Winnebago	8.2	4.7
Potowatomi	3.5	5.0
Oneida	3.8	5.6
Cherokee	.5	.6
Stockbridge	.5	.8
Ottawa	2.4	2.1
Navajo	1.6	1.7
Eskimo	.7	.8
Choctaw	2.8	3.3
Cheyenne	.5	.4
Other	10.8	11.3
White	4.9	5.4
Negro	0.0	0.0
Spanish	.2	0.0
	100.2%	100.0%

MARITAL STATUS (Current)		
NA	1.4	.2
Single	8.7	9.1
Married	50.1	51.3
Separated	24.8	23.1
Divorced	6.8	4.5
Widowed	2.8	3.3
Unmarried Mothers	5.4	8.5
	100.0%	100.0%

MARITAL STATUS (Type)		
NA	19.2	3.5
Not applicable--not married	0.0	18.8
Intratribal (same tribe)	35.4	32.4
Intertribal (two tribes)	25.5	26.8
Interracial (Indian-non-Indian)	19.9	18.6
Previously married	0.0	0.0
	100.0%	100.1%

Females Only

HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	1967	1968
NA	2.5	4.3
Yes	44.8	48.5
No	52.8	47.2
	100.1%	100.0%

RELIGION	1967	1968
NA	19.4	7.0
No religious preference	0.0	7.6
Roman Catholic	42.4	50.3
Protestant	26.0	25.8
Episcopal	10.5	7.8
Orthodox	0.0	.6
Native American Church	.2	.2
Tribal (Long House, etc.)	.2	.4
Mormon	1.2	.2
	99.9%	99.9%

EDUCATION		
NA	21.3	8.7
0-5 years	1.9	2.3
6-8 years	13.6	15.7
9 years	11.3	11.7
10-11 years	25.1	36.1
12 years	24.2	22.1
13 years or more (no degree)	2.1	2.7
Vocational Training completed	.5	.8
College completed	.2	0.0
	100.2%	100.1%

PLACE OF EDUCATION		
NA	54.8	52.0
Reservation day school	24.6	18.3
Reservation residential school	4.9	7.2
Off reservation day school (integrated)	7.0	11.7
Off reservation residential school (integrated)	.5	.4
Urban school	8.2	7.4
Reservation day school (integrated)	0.0	2.9
	100.0%	99.9%

CHILDHOOD HOME		
NA	55.7	58.4
Raised by both parents	27.4	18.4
Raised by one parent	8.7	8.0
Raised by one parent and step parent	4.4	3.1
Raised by relatives	1.4	3.7
Raised in foster home	1.9	.6
Raised in institution	.5	.2
Raised in combination of above	0.0	7.6
	100.0%	100.0%

Females Only

MOBILITY (Change of address)	1967	1968
NA	30.2	11.5
None	24.4	34.6
One	15.7	31.6
2-3	18.7	16.7
Four or more	9.6	4.8
Drifter	1.4	.8
	100.0%	100.0%

TYPE OF MOBILITY	1967	1968
NA	39.6	10.5
Not applicable --no moves	*	34.0
Within city	25.8	9.1
Within uptown	20.4	28.0
From city to city	4.7	3.9
From city to reservation	4.0	5.4
From reservation to city	5.6	8.9
Combination of two preceeding categories	*	.2
	100.1%	100.0%

REASONS FOR MOVES		
NA	62.3	22.3
Not applicable--no moves	*	34.2
Employment	4.9	4.5
Family affairs or difficulties	29.5	15.9
Visits	.2	.6
Education	.7	.4
Other	2.3	22.1
	99.9%	100.0%

ARRIVAL IN CHICAGO		
NA	6.6	3.3
Before 1953	7.0	6.8
1953-1956	10.1	9.3
1957-1961	19.4	14.2
1962-1965	29.7	22.7
1966	8.4	14.2
1967	18.7	10.9
1968	0.0	17.3
Native-born in Chicago	0.0	1.2
	99.9%	99.9%

RELATIVES IN CHICAGO		
NA	6.1	6.6
Yes	67.0	70.1
No	26.9	23.3
	100.0%	100.0%

INDIAN BLOOD		
NA or unknown	49.9	43.5
Less than 1/4	.2	.6
1/4 to 1/2	9.1	7.2
1/2 to 3/4	20.1	17.3
3/4 to full	20.6	26.2
Non-Indian	0.0	5.2
	99.9%	100.0%

TRADITIONALISM (ability to speak Indian language)		
NA	74.0	52.6
Speak Indian language-only one in family	.2	0.0
Speak Indian language--all do	6.3	21.0
No, but parents do, along with English	4.2	12.2
No, but parents do, Indian only	.9	.4
No, and neither do parents	14.3	6.6
Understand but does not speak	0.0	1.9
Non-Indian	0.0	5.4
	99.9%	100.1%

HEALTH		
NA	18.8	21.6
No problems	69.0	61.6
Accident-Industrial this year	1.4	1.9
Accident-other year	1.7	0.0
Diabetes-any year	1.2	2.1
T.B. -any year	2.4	2.9
Hospitalization for mental illness-any year	.9	1.0
Hospitalization for alcoholism-any year	.5	.6
Drug addiction	.5	.2
Victim of assault-this related to drinking	4.0	0.0
Suicidal attempts	0.0	.2
Physically disabled	0.0	.8
Other	0.0	7.0
	100.3%	99.9%

* Category not used in 1967

Females Only

DRINKING PROBLEMS	1967	1968
NA	41.6	39.4
Yes	25.4	25.8
No	18.5	25.8
No, but others in family have problems	14.6	9.1
	100.1%	100.1%

AVERAGE ANNUAL INCOME	1967	1968
NA	79.4	51.5
Under $3,000	9.1	12.6
Over $3,000 and under $5,000	7.9	13.8
Over $5,000	3.4	7.2
Welfare, Social Security or Pension/Unemployed	*	13.6
Not applicable-children	*	1.0
Job training: vocational training	*	.2
	99.8%	99.9%

INTENSIVE CASEWORK ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER		
NA	.9	0.0
Yes	39.6	30.9
No	59.5	69.1
	100.0%	100.0%

PSYCHIATRIC CASEWORK ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER		
NA	.2	0.0
Yes	6.8	6.4
No	93.0	93.6
	100.0%	100.0%

VISITS OF INTERVIEWEE ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER		
NA	12.1	0.2
None	0.0	12.8
One	29.6	27.4
Two	12.0	17.3
Three	9.9	9.3
Four	7.5	6.0
Five to nine	12.7	13.4
10-14	5.9	6.2
15-19	4.5	7.4
20 or more	6.1	0.0
	100.3%	100.0%

RELOCATED BY B.I,A.		
NA	7.5	4.1
Yes	13.6	14.6
No	78.9	81.2
	100.0%	99.9%

CASE IDENTIFICATION ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER		
NA	1.2	0.0
Person seen most	71.0	74.6
Person seen least	14.8	11.3
Person not seen	11.0	13.0
Child in family seen	2.1	1.0
	100.1%	99.9%

* Category not used in 1967

Females Only

COURT HEARINGS IN FAMILY	1967	1968
NA	91.2	42.1
None	0.0	33.2
Truancy	.7	.8
Dependency	3.1	3.9
Runaway	1.2	2.5
Curfew	.7	.2
Other	3.3	17.3
	100.2%	100.0%

COURT HEARINGS OF THIS PERSON	1967	1968
NA	94.0	52.2
None	0.0	41.4
Drunk and disorderly	1.9	2.7
Assault	.5	0.0
Roberry	.5	0.0
Runaway	0.0	.2
Other	3.3	3.5
	100.2%	100.0%

COURT HEARINGS OF SPOUSE		
NA	95.5	42.7
None	0.0	20.0
Drunk and disorderly	1.9	3.7
Assault	.9	.4
Roberry	.2	1.4
Other	1.4	1.2
No spouse	0.0	31.6
	99.9%	100.0%

PREMATURITY IN FAMILY		
NA	94.9	61.2
None	0.0	22.3
No children	0.0	12.2
One	3.3	3.0
Two	.9	.8
Three	.7	0.0
Four or more	.2	.4
	100.0%	100.0%

ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER INDIAN DATA

Education Unknown Only: Comparison of 1967 and 1968
(N = 205 and 102)

(Figures are percentages)

SEX	1967	1968
NA	.5	0.0
Male	55.6	58.8
Female	43.9	41.2
	100.0%	100.0%

AGE	1967	1968
NA	6.8	6.9
14 and under	0.0	0.0
15-19	4.0	2.9
20-24	17.5	18.6
25-29	16.0	20.6
30-34	16.5	14.7
35-39	13.0	10.8
40-44	9.5	10.8
45-49	6.0	7.8
50-54	5.5	3.9
55-59	1.5	2.0
60-64	2.5	0.0
65 and over	1.5	1.0
	100.3%	100.1%

TRIBE		
NA	1.2	1.0
Chippewa	34.2	30.4
Minominee	8.9	16.7
Dakota (Sioux)	10.4	3.9
Winnebago	7.9	5.9
Potowatomi	3.5	3.9
Oneida	2.5	2.0
Cherokee	1.0	3.9
Stockbridge	0.0	1.0
Ottawa	3.5	4.9
Navajo	1.5	2.0
Eskimo	.5	0.0
Choctaw	1.0	1.0
Other	16.3	12.7
White	6.9	10.8
Negro	.5	0.0
Spanish	.5	0.0
	100.3%	100.1%

MARITAL STATUS (CURRENT)		
NA	6.8	0.0
Single	17.6	21.6
Married	47.8	48.0
Separated	19.0	14.7
Divorced	5.4	7.8
Widowed	2.0	4.9
Unmarried mother	1.5	2.9
	100.1%	99.9%

MARITAL STATUS (TYPE)		
NA	34.1	8.8
Not applicable--not married	0.0	31.4
Intratribal (same tribe)	27.8	20.6
Intertribal (two tribes)	19.5	21.6
Interracial (Indian-non-Indian)	18.5	17.6
Previously married	0.0	0.0
	99.9%	100.0%

Education Unknown Only

HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	1967	1968
NA	5.9	7.8
Yes	65.9	77.5
No	28.3	14.7
	100.1%	100.0%

RELIGION	1967	1968
NA	55.6	59.8
No religious preference	0.0	3.9
Roman Catholic	22.0	22.6
Protestant	17.6	9.8
Episcopal	4.9	2.9
Orthodox	0.0	0.0
Native American Church	0.0	0.0
Tribal	0.0	1.0
Mormon	0.0	0.0
	100.1%	100.0%

EDUCATION		
NA	100.0	100.0
0-5 years	0.0	0.0
6-8 years	0.0	0.0
9 years	0.0	0.0
10-11 years	0.0	0.0
12 years	0.0	0.0
13 years or more (no degree)	0.0	0.0
Vocational Training completed	0.0	0.0
College completed	0.0	0.0
	100.0%	100.0%

PLACE OF EDUCATION		
NA	89.3	82.4
Reservation Day School	4.4	5.9
Reservation Residential School	2.0	2.0
Off Reservation Day School (integrated)	1.5	5.9
Off Reservation Residential School (integrated)	0.0	0.0
Urban School	2.9	3.9
Reservation Day School (integrated)	0.0	0.0
	100.1%	100.1%

CHILDHOOD HOME		
NA	78.0	87.3
Raised by both parents	17.1	6.9
Raised by one parent	3.4	3.9
Raised by one parent and step parent	1.5	1.0
Raised by relatives	0.0	0.0
Raised in foster home	0.0	0.0
Raised in institution	0.0	0.0
Raised in combination of above	0.0	1.0
	100.0%	100.1%

Education Unknown Only

MOBILITY (Change of address)	1967	1968
NA	53.7	25.5
None	16.6	32.4
One	5.9	22.5
Two - three	11.7	7.9
Four or more	3.4	3.0
Drifter	8.8	8.8
	100.1%	100.1%

TYPE OF MOBILITY	1967	1968
NA	48.1	24.5
Not applicable --no moves	*	33.3
Within city	34.3	7.8
Within uptown	5.4	12.7
From city to city	2.5	4.9
From city to Reservation	2.0	3.2
From reservation to city	7.8	12.7
	100.1%	100.1%

REASONS FOR MOVES		
NA	81.0	34.3
Not applicable --no moves	*	33.3
Employment	5.9	7.8
Family affairs or difficulties	11.7	12.7
Visits	0.0	0.0
Education	0.0	0.0
Other	1.5	11.8
	100.1%	99.9%

ARRIVAL IN CHICAGO		
NA	11.2	13.7
Before 1953	7.3	6.9
1953-1956	4.9	4.9
1957-1961	12.2	7.8
1962-1965	17.6	13.7
1966	4.9	2.9
1967	42.0	24.5
1968	0.0	24.5
Native-born in Chicago	0.0	1.0
	100.1%	99.9%

RELATIVES IN CHICAGO		
NA	19.5	18.6
Yes	46.3	59.8
No	34.1	21.6
	99.9%	100.0%

INDIAN BLOOD		
NA	80.5	71.6
Less than 1/4	0.0	0.0
1/4 to 1/2	2.0	1.0
1/2 to 3/4	6.3	3.9
3/4 to full	11.2	12.7
Non-Indian	0.0	10.8
	100.0%	100.0%

TRADITIONALISM (ability to speak Indian language		
NA	95.1	72.6
Speak Indian language only one in family	0.0	0.0
Speak Indian language all in family do	3.4	12.7
No, but parents do, along with English	0.0	2.9
No, but parents do, Indian only	0.0	0.0
No, and neither do parents	1.5	1.0
Understans but does not speak	0.0	0.0
Non-Indian	0.0	10.8
	100.0%	100.0%

HEALTH		
NA	26.6	51.0
No problems	65.7	35.2
Accident - this year	2.0	2.0
Diabetes - any year	.5	2.0
T.B. - any year	2.5	2.0
Hospitalization for mental illness-any year	1.0	1.0
Hospitalization for alcoholism-any year	0.0	1.0
Drug addiction	.5	1.0
Victim of assault this related to drinking	1.5	0.0
Suicidal attempts	0.0	0.0
Physically disabled	0.0	1.0
Other	0.0	3.9
	100.3%	100.1%

* Category not used in 1967

Education Unknown Only

DRINKING PROBLEMS	1967	1968
NA	62.8	54.9
Yes	24.5	29.4
No	7.4	11.8
No, but others in family have problem	5.4	3.9
	100.1%	100.0%

AVERAGE ANNUAL INCOME	1967	1968
NA	99.0	66.7
Under $3,000	.5	8.8
Over $3,000 and under $5,000	.5	14.7
Over $5,000	0.0	7.8
Welfare, Social Security or Pension/Unemployed	*	2.0
Not applicable-children	*	0.0
Job training: vocational training	*	0.0
	100.0%	100.0%

INTENSIVE CASEWORK ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER		
NA	1.5	0.0
Yes	5.9	6.9
No	92.7	93.1
	100.1%	100.0%

PSYCHIATRIC CASEWORK ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER		
NA	.5	0.0
Yes	5.4	10.8
No	94.1	89.2
	100.0%	100.0%

VISITS OF INTERVIEWEE ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER		
NA	16.1	0.0
None	0.0	24.5
One	44.4	44.1
Two	17.1	13.7
Three	6.8	5.9
Four	7.3	2.0
5-9	4.4	7.8
10-14	2.4	1.0
15 or more	1.5	1.0
	100.0%	100.0%

RELOCATED BY B.I.A.		
NA	11.2	16.7
Yes	10.7	9.8
No	78.0	73.5
	99.9%	100.0

CASE IDENTIFICATION ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER		
NA	3.4	0.0
Person seen most	66.3	69.6
Person seen least	14.1	6.9
Person not seen	16.1	23.5
Child in family seen	0.0	0.0
	99.9%	100.0%

* Category not used in 1967

Education Unknown Only

COURT HEARINGS IN FAMILY	1967	1968
NA	96.6	46.1
None	0.0	21.6
Truancy	1.0	0.0
Dependency	1.0	1.9
Runaway	0.0	1.9
Curfew	0.0	0.0
Other	1.5	28.4
	100.1%	99.9%

COURT HEARINGS OF THIS PERSON	1967	1968
NA	99.0	71.6
None	0.0	22.6
Drunk and disorderly	0.0	3.9
Robbery	0.0	1.0
Assault	.5	0.0
Other	.5	1.0
	100.0%	100.1%

COURT HEARINGS OF SPOUSE		
NA	100.0	45.1
None	0.0	18.6
No spouse	0.0	32.3
Drunk and disorderly	0.0	3.9
Assault	0.0	0.0
Robbery	0.0	0.0
Other	0.0	0.0
	100.0%	99.9%

PREMATURITY IN FAMILY		
NA	100.2	54.9
None	0.0	21.6
No children	0.0	23.5
One	0.0	0.0
Two	0.0	0.0
Three	0.0	0.0
Four or more	0.0	0.0
	100.2%	100.0%

ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER INDIAN DATA

Non-High School Graduates Only: Comparison of 1967 and 1968
(N = 532 and 656)

(Figures are percentages)

SEX	1967	1968
NA	0.0	0.0
Male	58.5	51.4
Female	41.5	48.6
	100.0%	100.0%

AGE	1967	1968
NA	.6	.2
14 and under	1.5	0.0
15-19	6.6	5.2
20-24	18.8	17.1
25-29	16.1	20.3
30-34	17.0	16.0
35-39	9.5	11.1
40-44	11.0	11.9
45-49	8.9	7.3
50-54	4.5	4.3
55-59	3.4	3.3
60-64	1.5	2.0
65 and over	.9	1.4
	100.3%	100.1%

TRIBE		
NA	.2	0.0
Chippewa	35.5	33.2
Menominee	15.5	19.9
Dakota (Sioux)	9.6	8.1
Winnebago	7.5	5.3
Potowatomi	2.8	3.3
Oneida	4.0	4.9
Cherokee	1.3	1.2
Stockbridge	.8	.5
Ottawa	2.8	1.5
Navajo	2.1	2.3
Eskimo	1.5	1.2
Choctaw	2.6	3.1
Cheyenne	.8	1.0
Other	10.8	10.4
White	2.1	3.3
Negro	0.0	.2
Spanish	.4	.6
	100.3%	100.0%

MARITAL STATUS (CURRENT)		
NA	3.0	0.0
Single	18.8	17.7
Married	41.7	44.9
Separated	26.3	21.0
Divorced	5.3	7.3
Widowed	2.3	3.8
Unmarried mother	2.6	5.2
	100.0%	99.9%

MARITAL STATUS (TYPE)		
NA	28.0	4.3
Intratribal (same tribe)	37.9	34.8
Intertribal (two tribes)	21.7	20.7
Interracial (Indian-non-Indian)	12.4	13.7
Previously married	0.0	0.0
	100.0%	100.0%

Non-High School Graduates Only

HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	1967	1968
NA	2.7	1.7
Yes	74.2	74.4
No	23.2	23.9
	100.1%	100.0%

RELIGION	1967	1968
NA	10.9	3.0
No religious preference	0.0	6.7
Roman Catholic	49.8	52.3
Protestant	25.9	28.2
Episcopal	11.5	9.0
Orthodox	.2	.1
Native American Church	.4	.5
Tribal	.4	.3
Mormon	.9	0.0
	100.0%	100.1%

EDUCATION		
NA	0.0	0.0
0-5 years	4.1	4.6
6-8 years	27.3	25.6
9 years	18.0	15.4
10-11 years	50.6	54.5
12 years	0.0	0.0
13 years or more	0.0	0.0
Vocational Training completed	0.0	0.0
College completed	0.0	0.0
	100.0%	100.1%

PLACE OF EDUCATION		
NA	53.0	55.6
Reservation Day School	27.6	17.7
Reservation Residential School	3.6	6.6
Off Reservation Day School (integrated)	7.9	9.5
Off Reservation Residential School (integrated)	.2	.6
Urban School	7.7	6.7
Reservation Day School (integrated)	0.0	3.4
	100.0%	100.1%

CHILDHOOD HOME		
NA	56.4	63.0
Raised by both parents	28.9	18.8
Raised by one parent	7.7	6.0
Raised by one parent and step parent	3.8	2.4
Raised by relatives	1.1	2.9
Raised in foster home	2.1	.3
Raised in institution	0.0	.2
Raised in combination of above	0.0	6.3
	100.0%	99.9%

Non-High School Graduates Only

MOBILITY (Change of address)	1967	1968
NA	29.5	9.6
None	19.2	34.8
One	15.0	26.9
Two-three	15.8	17.4
Four or more	9.0	4.1
Drifter	11.5	7.2
	100.0%	100.1%

TYPE OF MOBILITY	1967	1968
NA	38.0	9.6
Not applicable--no moves	*	34.2
Within city	27.6	11.9
Within uptown	20.1	26.7
From city to city	4.1	5.3
From city to reservation	3.4	2.6
From reservation to city	6.8	9.6
Combination of two preceeding categories	*	.2
	100.0%	100.1%

REASONS FOR MOVES		
NA	63.7	23.0
Not applicable--no moves	*	34.6
Employment	8.5	7.2
Family affairs or difficulties	24.6	10.4
Visits	.4	.2
Education	1.1	.3
Other	1.7	24.4
	100.0%	100.1%

ARRIVAL IN CHICAGO		
NA	3.6	.9
Before 1953	6.4	7.2
1953-1956	9.4	7.9
1957-1961	19.7	14.5
1962-1965	33.3	24.9
1966	9.4	16.0
1967	18.2	9.8
1968	0.0	17.7
Native-born in Chicago	0.0	1.2
	100.0%	100.1%

RELATIVES IN CHICAGO		
NA	3.4	4.7
Yes	72.9	69.2
No	23.7	26.1
	100.0%	100.0%

INDIAN BLOOD		
NA	50.2	46.0
Less than 1/4	.4	.5
1/4 to 1/2	8.5	5.9
1/2 to 3/4	18.0	14.8
3/4 to full	22.9	28.8
Non-Indian	0.0	3.9
	100.0%	99.9%

TRADITIONALISM (Ability to speak Indian language)		
NA	76.7	57.0
Speak Indian language only one in family	.2	0.0
Speak Indian language all in family do	7.0	24.2
No, but parents do, along with English	3.6	8.5
No, but parents do Indian only	.6	.3
No, and neither do parents	12.0	4.1
Understands but does not speak	0.0	1.8
Non-Indian	0.0	4.0
	100.1%	99.9%

HEALTH		
NA	23.5	19.7
No problems	61.5	60.5
Accident--this year	6.5	2.4
Diabetes--any year	1.3	3.1
T.B.--any year	2.7	3.9
Hospitalization for mental illness-any year	.6	.8
Hospitalization for alcoholism-any year	1.1	.3
Drug addiction	.2	.8
Victim of assault this related to drinking	3.0	0.0
Suicidal attempts	0.0	.3
Physically disabled	0.0	1.7
Other	0.0	6.6
	100.3%	100.1%

* Category not used in 1967

Non-High School Graduates Only

DRINKING PROBLEMS	1967	1968
NA	34.4	33.7
Yes	43.0	42.9
No	15.2	18.8
No, but others in family have problem	7.3	4.6
	99.9%	100.0%

AVERAGE ANNUAL INCOME	1967	1968
NA	80.8	55.3
Under $3,000	10.0	14.0
Over $3,000 and under $5,000	6.1	14.8
Over $5,000	3.2	5.8
Welfare, Social Security or Pension/Unemployed	*	8.8
Not applicable-children	*	.9
Job training: vocational training	*	.3
	100.1%	99.9%

INTENSIVE CASEWORK ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER		
NA	.4	0.0
Yes	32.7	22.2
No	66.9	77.7
	100.0%	99.9%

PSYCHIATRIC CASEWORK ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER		
NA	0.0	0.0
Yes	5.6	4.6
No	94.4	95.4
	100.0%	100.0%

VISITS OF INTERVIEWEE ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER		
NA	11.2	.1
One	28.2	30.5
Two	14.5	20.1
Three	10.4	8.4
Four	7.2	4.9
5-9	11.7	11.9
10-14	7.2	5.3
15 or more	9.8	6.4
None	0.0	12.4
	100.2%	100.0%

RELOCATED BY B.I.A.		
NA	4.0	2.3
Yes	17.1	14.8
No	78.9	82.9
	100.0%	100.0%

CASE IDENTIFICATION ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER		
NA	.8	0.0
Person seen most	74.6	77.0
Person seen least	13.0	10.2
Person not seen	9.4	11.9
Child in family seen	2.3	.9
	100.1%	100.0%

* Category not used in 1967

Non-High School Graduates Only

COURT HEARINGS IN FAMILY	1967	1968
NA	94.5	43.7
None	0.0	26.7
Truancy	.9	.6
Dependency	2.4	2.9
Runaway	.6	1.4
Curfew	.6	.1
Other	1.1	24.5
	100.1%	99.9%

COURT HEARINGS OF THIS PERSON	1967	1968
NA	89.4	56.4
None	0.0	33.2
Drunk and disorderly	5.3	5.9
Assault	1.1	.1
Robbery	.9	.9
Runaway	0.0	.6
Other	3.1	2.7
	100.3%	99.9%

COURT HEARINGS OF SPOUSE		
NA	97.0	41.3
None	0.0	18.0
No spouse	0.0	35.7
Drunk and disorderly	1.1	2.0
Assault	.9	.5
Robbery	0.0	1.4
Other	.9	1.2
	99.9%	100.1%

PREMATURITY IN FAMILY		
NA	96.5	57.8
None	0.0	19.0
No children	0.0	19.5
One	2.1	2.1
Two	.8	1.1
Three	.6	0.0
Four or more	.2	.4
	100.2%	99.9%

ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER INDIAN DATA

High School Graduates Only: Comparison of 1967 and 1968
(N = 289 and 292)

(Figures are percentages)

SEX	1967	1968
NA	0.0	0.0
Male	60.2	57.5
Female	39.8	42.5
	100.0%	100.0%

AGE	1967	1968
NA	1.5	0.3
14 and under	.4	0.0
15-19	1.8	1.0
20-24	21.1	16.8
25-29	27.1	26.0
30-34	15.1	21.9
35-39	12.7	15.4
40-44	8.8	6.5
45-49	6.3	4.8
50-54	4.6	5.5
55-59	.7	1.7
60-64	0.0	0.0
65 and over	.4	0.0
	100.3%	99.9%

TRIBE		
NA	1.0	0.0
Chippewa	24.5	21.6
Menominee	12.9	12.7
Dakota (Sioux)	17.8	13.0
Winnebago	9.8	7.2
Potowatomi	1.0	2.4
Oneida	2.1	3.1
Cherokee	1.0	.3
Stockbridge	0.0	0.0
Ottawa	3.5	3.1
Navajo	2.4	1.7
Eskimo	1.0	2.0
Choctaw	1.4	2.0
Cheyenne	2.1	2.7
Other	16.1	20.6
White	3.5	7.2
Negro	0.0	0.0
Spanish	.3	.3
	100.3%	99.9%

MARITAL STATUS (CURRENT)		
NA	3.5	.3
Single	22.5	17.8
Married	39.1	51.0
Separated	23.5	21.2
Divorced	8.0	7.2
Widowed	1.4	1.0
Unmarried Mothers	2.1	1.4
	100.1%	99.9%

MARITAL STATUS (TYPE)		
NA	33.6	4.8
Not applicable--not married	20.0	23.0
Intratribal (same tribe)	27.0	23.3
Intertribal (two tribes)	23.2	30.1
Interracial (Indian-non-Indian)	16.3	18.8
	100.1%	100.0%

High School Graduates Only

HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	1967	1968
NA	2.8	3.1
Yes	74.0	74.7
No	23.2	22.3
	100.0%	100.1%

RELIGION	1967	1968
NA	10.7	2.1
No religious preference	0.0	8.6
Roman Catholic	46.4	51.4
Protestant	31.1	28.1
Episcopal	9.0	7.5
Orthodox	1.0	1.4
Native American Church	.7	.3
Tribal	.3	0.0
Mormon	.7	.7
	99.9%	100.1%

EDUCATION		
NA	0.0	0.0
0-5	0.0	0.0
6-8	0.0	0.0
9 years	0.0	0.0
10-11 years	0.0	0.0
12 years	81.7	82.5
13 years or more (no degree)	14.5	12.7
Vocational training completed	3.1	4.8
College completed	.7	0.0
	100.0%	100.0%

PLACE OF EDUCATION		
NA	55.4	59.9
Reservation Day School	22.5	12.7
Reservation Residential School	7.3	5.8
Off Reservation Day School (integrated)	6.2	9.3
Off Reservation Residential School (integrated)	1.4	.7
Urban School	7.3	8.6
Reservation Day School (integrated)	0.0	3.1
	100.1%	100.1%

CHILDHOOD HOME		
NA	58.1	65.4
Raised by both parents	29.1	19.5
Raised by one parent	3.5	5.8
Raised by one parent and step parent	3.8	1.4
Raised by relatives	1.4	2.4
Raised in foster home	3.1	1.0
Raised in institution	1.0	.3
Raised in combination of above	0.0	4.1
	100.0%	99.9%

High School Graduates Only

MOBILITY (Change of address)	1967	1968
NA	32.5	10.9
None	21.8	33.9
One	13.8	30.8
Two-three	15.6	14.7
Four or more	3.8	2.4
Drifter	12.5	7.2
	100.0%	99.9%

TYPE OF MOBILITY	1967	1968
NA	43.3	8.9
Not applicable--no moves	*	33.9
Within city	27.4	13.4
Within uptown	13.9	27.4
From city to city	5.9	6.2
From city to reservation	4.5	3.8
From reservation to city	5.2	6.2
Combination of two preceeding categories	*	.3
	100.2%	100.1%

REASONS FOR MOVES		
NA	71.4	21.5
Not applicable--no moves	*	33.9
Employment	6.6	3.8
Family affairs or difficulties	19.9	10.3
Visits	0.0	.7
Education	.7	.3
Other	1.7	29.5
	100.3%	100.1%

ARRIVAL IN CHICAGO		
NA	2.8	1.7
Before 1953	6.2	8.2
1953-1956	6.2	6.5
1957-1961	17.3	12.7
1962-1965	32.2	23.3
1966	14.5	18.8
1967	20.8	11.6
1968	0.0	15.8
Native-born in Chicago	0.0	1.4
	100.0%	100.0%

RELATIVES IN CHICAGO		
NA	4.7	7.2
Yes	59.4	58.6
No	36.1	33.2
	100.3%	100.0%

INDIAN BLOOD		
NA	59.2	44.9
Less than 1/4	0.0	.7
1/4 to 1/2	5.9	4.1
1/2 to 3/4	18.3	12.3
3/4 to full	16.6	30.8
Non-Indian	0.0	7.2
	100.0%	100.0%

TRADITIONALISM (Ability to speak Indian language)		
NA	78.9	50.3
Speak Indian language only in family	.7	0.0
Speak Indian language all in family do	8.7	28.8
No, but parents do, along with English	3.1	8.2
No, but parents do, Indian only	.3	0.0
No, and neither do parents	8.3	4.1
Understands but does not speak	0.0	1.0
Non-Indian	0.0	7.5
	100.0%	99.9%

HEALTH		
NA	21.8	24.0
No problems	63.5	58.9
Accident - this year	4.6	4.8
Diabetes - any year	1.1	.3
T.B. - any year	2.8	2.4
Hospitalization for mental illness-any year	1.1	1.0
Hospitalization for alcoholism - any year	.7	2.0
Drug addiction	.4	0.0
Victim of assault this related to drinking	4.6	0.0
Suicidal attempts	0.0	0.0
Physically disabled	0.0	.7
Other	0.0	5.8
	100.3%	99.9%

* Category not used in 1967

High School Graduates Only

DRINKING PROBLEMS	1967	1968
Na	40.8	38.3
Yes	40.5	36.0
No	12.2	21.1
No,but others in family have problem	6.6	4.5
	100.0%	100.0%

AVERAGE ANNUAL INCOME	1967	1968
NA	79.2	59.5
Under $3,000	8.9	9.3
Over $3,000 and under $5,000	7.2	11.0
Over $5,000	4.4	10.6
Welfare, Social Security or Pension/Unemployed	*	9.3
Not applicable--children	*	.3
Job training: vocational training	*	0.0
	99.7%	100.0%

INTENSIVE CASEWORK ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER		
NA	1.4	0.0
Yes	29.1	17.1
No	69.6	82.9
	100.1%	100.0%

PSYCHIATRIC CASEWORK ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER		
NA	1.4	0.0
Yes	8.3	5.7
No	90.3	94.2
	100.0%	99.9%

VISITS OF INTERVIEWEE ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER		
NA	11.8	0.0
None	0.0	16.7
One	29.2	24.0
Two	16.7	20.2
Three	9.0	11.6
Four	5.6	7.5
Five to nine	14.6	10.3
10-14	4.5	4.5
15 or more	9.0	5.1
	100.4%	99.9%

RELOCATED BY B.I.A.		
NA	3.5	3.4
Yes	13.1	20.2
No	83.4	76.4
	100.0%	100.0%

CASE IDENTIFICATION ST.AUGUSTINE'S CENTER		
NA	.6	0.0
Person seen most	76.1	70.9
Person seen least	12.8	13.4
Person not seen	10.4	15.7
Child in family seen	0.0	0.0
	99.9%	100.0%

High School Graduates Only

COURT HEARINGS IN FAMILY	1967	1968
NA	95.2	40.8
None	0.0	30.8
Truancy	.3	.7
Dependency	1.4	2.4
Runaway	.7	1.0
Curfew	0.0	.3
Other	2.4	24.0
	100.0%	99.9%

COURT HEARINGS OF THIS PERSON	1967	1968
NA	92.7	55.8
None	0.0	36.3
Drunk and disorderly	3.5	4.1
Robbery	1.4	1.4
Assault	1.0	0.0
Other	1.4	2.4
	100.0%	100.0%

COURT HEARINGS OF SPOUSE	1967	1968
NA	96.8	38.0
None	0.0	24.7
No spouse	0.0	34.6
Drunk and disorderly	1.4	1.4
Assault	.3	0.0
Robbery	.3	.3
Other	1.0	1.0
	99.8%	100.0%

PREMATURITY IN FAMILY	1967	1968
NA	97.5	51.4
None	0.0	19.9
No children	0.0	26.0
One	2.1	1.4
Two	0.0	0.0
Three	0.0	0.0
Four or more	.3	.3
	99.9%	100.0%